117 Putnam Drive, Suite A $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Agenda
Tuesday, August 17, $2021 \diamond$ 6:30 PM
Putnam County Administration Building - Room 203

## Opening

1. Welcome - Call to Order
2. Approval of Agenda
3. Invocation - Jonathon Dawson, Lakepoint Community Church
4. Pledge of Allegiance (JW)
5. Special Presentation - Girls Softball Proclamation

## Zoning Public Hearing

6. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone 29.54 acres on Old Phoenix Road from AG to R-PUD [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2] (staff-P\&D)
7. Request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2 [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4] (staff-P\&D)
8. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3] (staff-P\&D)
9. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road Parcel A from AG to C-PUD [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3] (staff-P\&D)

## Budget Public Hearing

10. Presentation of Proposed FY22 Budget (staff-CM \& Finance)
11. Comments from Commissioners and/or Staff
12. Comments from the Public

## Regular Business Meeting

13. Public Comments
14. Consent Agenda
a. Approval of Minutes - August 6, 2021 Regular Meeting (staff-CC)
b. Approval of Minutes - August 6, 2021 Executive Session (staff-CC)
c. Approval of Minutes - August 6, 2021 Budget Work Session (staff-CC)
15. Request for Final Plat Subdivision Approval for Eagles Rest at Cuscowilla Cottages (staffP\&D)
16. Petition to waive final six-month time interval regarding the Application for Rezoning from Danny Copelan at 931 Pea Ridge Road [Map 092, Parcel 017001001] (DB)
[^0]
# 18. Approval of American Rescue Plan (ARP) Hazard Pay for Putnam County Employees (staffCM) 

## 19. Discussion on Projects for State Rescue Money (BW)

## Reports/Announcements

20. County Manager Report
21. County Attorney Report
22. Commissioner Announcements

## Executive Session

## 23. Enter Executive Session as allowed by O.C.G.A. 50-14-4 for Personnel, Litigation, or Real Estate

24. Reopen meeting and execute Affidavit concerning the subject matter of the closed portion of the meeting
25. Action, if any, resulting from the Executive Session

## Closing

26. Adjournment
[^1]
## File Attachments for Item:

6. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for M addox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone 29.54 acres on Old Phoenix Road from AG to R-PUD [M ap 106, Parcel 002, District 2] (staff-P\&D)

## Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone 29.54 acres on Old Phoenix Road from AG to R-PUD. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].

## PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT-LISA JACKSON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant is requesting to rezone 29.5 acres from AG to R-PUD. If approved, the 29.5 acres would become the third phase of the thriving Phoenix Crossing subdivision. The adjacent subdivision was rezoned in 2005 from AG to R-1R PUD. The original development consisted of 88.62 acres and was developed in two phases. The first phase consisted of 33 lots, and the second phase has 91 lots totaling 124 lots. The proposed development will consist of 53 lots. Piedmont Water is both the water and sewer provider for this development. According to the impact analysis, there will be one full-movement vehicular access on Old Phoenix and Garrett Drive. A 24-hour bidirectional traffic volume count collected on Tuesday, June 15, 2021, indicated a northbound volume of 2,226 vehicles and a southbound volume of 2,123 vehicles for a two-way volume of 4,349 vehicles. Growth in the area has been generally low, with average annual growth ranging from $-2.1 \%$ to $1.4 \%$, and with the most recent year of growth being negative and ranging from $-14.3 \%$ to $-0.3 \%$. 4 . The study shows that the proposed subdivision will generate 42 a.m. peak hour trips, 55 p.m. peak hour trips, and 580 -weekday trips. It also suggests delays will increase slightly from the no-build condition, but all locations, including the project access on Old Phoenix Road, will operate well. In addition, both entrances should be constructed with one entering and one exiting lane, or as required by the County. Each exiting approach should be controlled by a side street stop sign and accompanying stop bar.

The proposed use is consistent with the allowed uses, as listed in Sec. 66-119(A) of the R-PUD zoning district. The future land use comprehensive plan is consistent with the proposed residential use. Therefore, the proposed use is compatible with the purpose and intent of the comprehensive plan. The surrounding properties are $\mathrm{R}-1 \mathrm{R}$ to the north and east, being Phoenix Crossing subdivision; to the west and south are AG properties. While the property can be used as it is currently zoned, it would be more marketable and of more significant benefit to the community rezoned. The rezoning will not adversely affect the existing use, value, or usability of adjacent or nearby properties. There is no evidence that the proposed development would cause excessive or burdensome use of public services, nor should it affect police, fire protection, or sewer services. If approved, the staff recommends that the developer should install a deceleration lane on Old Phoenix Road.



## Staff recommendation is for approval to rezone 29.54 acres from AG to R-PUD on Old Phoenix Road [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2] with the following conditions:

(1)The developer shall construct a deceleration lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the main entrance on Old Phoenix Road.
(2) Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the County.

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning \& Zoning Commission's recommendation is for approval to rezone 29.54 acres from $A G$ to $R-P U D$ on Old Phoenix Road [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2] with the following conditions:
(1)The developer shall construct a deceleration lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the main entrance on Old Phoenix Road.
(2)Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the County.

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION MINUTES:

The Putnam County Planning \& Zoning Commission conducted a public hearing on Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 6:30 PM in the Putnam County Administration Building, 117 Putnam Drive, Room 203, Eatonton, Georgia.

## Present: Martha Farley, Maurice Hill, Jr., Tim Pierson, John Mitchell Staff Present: Lisa Jackson, Courtney Andrews and Kenteria Williams

Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone 29.54 on Old Phoenix Road from AG to R-PUD. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2]. * Attorney Jay Dell represented this request. He stated that the intent is to develop the property the same as Phoenix Crossing. He added that the proposed use was suitable for the zoning and development of neighboring properties. It will not affect the value of nearby or adjacent properties and is consistent with the future comprehensive plan. They will acquire both water and sewer from

Piedmont Water. Attorney Dell stated that the Phoenix Crossing Subdivision has been a vibrant neighborhood that has taken off and it shows a need for this type of housing. No one spoke in opposition of this request.

Staff recommendation is for approval to rezone 29.54 acres from AG to R-PUD on Old Phoenix Road [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2] with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the main entrance on Old Phoenix Road.
(2) Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the County.

Motion to approve the request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone
29.54 acres from AG to R-PUD on Old Phoenix Road [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2] with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the main entrance on Old Phoenix Road.
(2) Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the County.
made by Member Hill and seconded by Member Mitchell.
Voting Yea: Vice-Chairman Pierson, Member Hill, Member Farley, Member Mitchell

5. Request by Wallace Gerald Wright for a side yard setback variance at 149 Collis Marina Road. Presently zoned R-1 [Map 104B, Parcel 013, District 3].
6. Request by Thomas \& Gwen Ralston for a rear yard setback variance at 189 S. Spring Road. Presently zoned R-2 [Map 115C, Parcel 019, District 3].
7. Request by Thomas W Gardner for a side and rear yard setback variance at 348A Cold Branch Road. Presently zoned R-2 [Map 112C, Parcel 009, District 4].
8. Request by Mt. Pleasant Baptist Church for a side yard setback variance at 1628 Godfrey Road NW. Presently zoned AG. [Map 016, Parcel 015, District 1].
9. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, Agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP for a side yard setback variance on Old Phoenix Road. Presently zoned AG. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].
10. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone 29.54 on Old Phoenix Road from AG to R-PUD. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].*
11. Request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2. [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4].*
12. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3].*
13. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road Parcel A from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3].*

## 117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024

Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us

## APPLICATION FOR REZONING


7. The area of land proposed to be rezoned (stated in square feet if less than one acre):
29.5 acres
8. The proposed zoning district desired: $\qquad$
9. The purpose of this rezoning is (Attach Letter of Intent)

## Develop single family residential subdivision similar to adjacent property. See attacked letter of Intent.

10. Present use of property: Vacant Land

Desired use of property: Single - Family
11. Existing zoning district classification of the property and adjacent properties: Existing: AG 1
North: R1R-PU0 South: A6人 $\qquad$ West: AG 1
12. Copy of warranty deed for proof of ownership and if not owned by applicant, please attach a signed and notarized letter of agency from each property owner for all property sought to be rezoned. See attached
13. Legal description and recorded plat of the property to be rezoned. See attached
14. The Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map category in which the property is located. (If more than one category applies, the areas in each category are to be illustrated on the concept plan. See concept plan insert.): $\qquad$
15. A detailed description of existing land uses: $\qquad$ Vacant land
16. Source of domestic water supply: well $\qquad$ , community water $\qquad$ , or private provider $\checkmark$. If source is not an existing system, please provide a letter from provider. see attached.

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

## 117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024

Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
17. Provision for sanitary sewage disposal: septic system $\qquad$ , or sewer $\downarrow$. If sewer, please provide name of company providing same, or, if new development, provide a letter from sewer provider. See attached
18. Complete attachment of Disclosure of Campaign Contributions Form by the applicant and/or the applicant's attorney as required by the Georgia Conflict of Interest in Zoning Act (O.C.G.A. 36-67A). See
19. The application designation, date of application and action taken on all prior applications filed for rezoning for all or part of the subject property. (Please attach on separate sheet.) Not applicable
20. Proof that property taxes for the parcels) in question have been paid. See attached.
21. Concept plan. See attached.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, a concept plan need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- A concept plan may be required for commercial development at director's discretion

22. Impact analysis. See $a+t$ ached

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, an impact analysis need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- An Impact analysis (including a traffic study) is required when rezoning from residential zoned or used property to commercial or industrial districts.

THE ABOVE STATEMENTS AND ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS ARE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. APPLICANT HEREBY GRANTS PERMISSION FOR PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PERSONNEL OR ANY LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF PUTNAM COUNTY TO ENTER UPON AND INSPECT THE PROPERTY FOR ALL PURPOSES ALLOWED AND REQURED BY THE PUTNAM



Paid: \$ $\qquad$ (cash) $\qquad$ (check) $\qquad$ (credit card) $\qquad$
Receipt No. $\qquad$ Date Paid:
Date Application Received: $\qquad$
Reviewed for completeness by:
Date of BOC hearing: $\qquad$ Date submitted to newspaper:
Picture attached: yes $\qquad$ no $\qquad$

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us

## LETTER OF AGENCY- Rezoning Applciation

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OWNERS OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA, HEREBY APPOINT SDH Atlatna LLC and Jay V. Dell, attorney at law TO BE MY AGENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPLYING FOR rezoning OF PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS MAP 106 PARCEL 002 , CONSISTING OF 29.5 ACRES, WHICH HAS THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: Old Phoenix Raod, EATONTON, GEORGIA 31024. ATTACHED HERETO IS A COPY OF A DEED AND OR PLAT OF SURVEY DESCRIBING THE PROPERTY OWNED BY THE PROPERTY OWNER(S) TO WHICH THIS LETTER OF AGENCY APPLIES.

THE ABOVE NAMED AGENT HEREBY IS AUTHORIZED TO COMPLETE AND SIGN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY APPLICATION FOR rezoning ON OUR BEHALF. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS LETTER OF AGENCY WILL BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE PART OF SAID FORM AND WILL BE RELIED UPON BY THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY. FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY ACCEPTING THIS LETTER OF AGENCY, WE HEREBY INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY AND ITS AGENTS AND/OR EMPLOYEES IN THE EVENT THAT THE ABOVE NAMED AGENT SHOULD MISUSE THIS LETTER OF AGENCY AND WE SUFFER DAMAGES AS A RESULT.
THIS $\qquad$ DAY OF June , 2021.

PROPERTY OWNER(S): Maddox Family Partnerhsip LLLP by Jeff Maddox


ADDRESS: 167 N Wesley Chapel Road
Eatonton, GA 31024
PHONE:

ALL SIGNATURES WERE HEREBY SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS
24th DAY OF June , 2021


MY COMMISSION EXPIRES:


## Letter of Intent

June 24, 2021

Putnam County Planning and Development
Attn: Lisa Jackson, Director
117 Putnam Drive, Ste. B
Eatonton, GA 3124

## RE: Rezoning Application of SDH Atlanta LLC <br> Current Owner: Maddox Family Partnership LLLP <br> Tax Map Parcel: 106002 <br> Address: Old Phoenix Road, Eatonton, GA

## Dear Lisa:

We are filing an application to rezone the above referenced property from AG-1 to R-PUD. The property consists of 29.5 acres and is adjacent to Old Phoenix Road and the existing subdivision known as Phoenix Crossing. The intent is to develop this tract for entry level single family detached housing. This will fill a desperate need in the County for affordable single family housing. The development will be similar in all respects to Phoenix Crossing subdivision.

There will be 53 total lots with open space as shown on the Concept Plan submitted herewith. The subdivision will have access from Old Phoenix Road and Garrett Drive (within Phoenix Crossing). We plan on 15 ' front setbacks, 20' rear setbacks, and 10' side setbacks (the same as Phoenix Crossing). The housing type will primarily be ranch style, but there will be some 2-story houses, ranging from approximately 1400-2500 square feet with 3 and 4 bedroom plans. Each house will have an attached 2 car garage with landscaping similar to Phoenix Crossing.

We are currently developing Phoenix Crossing and this product has been hugely successful. We are currently selling 5.4 homes per month with an average sales price of $\$ 220,000$. Our target market will be the families and workers that live and work in our local community.

Thank you for your consideration and we look forward to working with and in Putnam County, GA.

Sincerely,
SDH Atlanta, LLC

By:
Tina Hughes
Name: Tina Hughes

SIGNATURE CERTIFICATE

## REFERENCE NUMBER 30974793-9352-424D-AE2F-68FBEED18CDC

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Reference Number <br> 30974793-9352-424D-AE2F-68FBEED18CDC |  |
| Transaction Type Signature Request |  |
| Sent At <br> 06/24/2021 12:00 EDT |  |
| Executed At <br> 06/24/2021 12:07 EDT |  |
| Identity Method email |  |
| Distribution Method email |  |
| Signed Checksum <br> 4b8a9746d802a26460346f780627c06383fb5994a9943614f0d90e3ccc0eb399 |  |
| Signer Sequencing Disabled |  |
| Document Passcode <br> Disabled |  |

## DOCUMENT DETAILS

## Document Name

Loi 210624
Filename
loi_210624.pdf
Pages
1 page
Content Type
application/pdf
File Size
66.1 KB

Original Checksum
1fb5bb99c73aea889a37e8a24924d416598134ad23183a22a8500ed0fc993ca0
uencing

Document Passcode
Disabled

## SIGNERS

| SIGNER | E-SIGNATURE | EVENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Name Tina Hughes | Status signed | Viewed At 06/24/2021 12:04 EDT |
| Email thughes@smithdouglas.com | Multi-factor Digital Fingerprint Checksum <br> 23850f82f962fba89bd9fdb706ab488be82add0029707ecda583154920de2ded | Identity Authenticated At 06/24/2021 12:07 EDT |
| Components 1 | IP Address 45.24.130.179 | Signed At 06/24/2021 12:07 EDT |
|  | Device <br> Chrome via Windows |  |
|  | Typed Signature |  |
|  | Tina Hughes |  |
|  | Signature Reference ID F6D93740 |  |

## AUDITS

| TIMESTAMP | AUDIT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 06/24/2021 12:00 EDT | Jay Dell (jay.dell@jvdelllaw.com) created document 'loi_210624.pdf' on Chrome via Windows from 64.191.61.149. |
| 06/24/2021 12:00 EDT | Tina Hughes (thughes@smithdouglas.com) was emailed a link to sign. |
| 06/24/2021 12:04 EDT | Tina Hughes (thughes@smithdouglas.com) viewed the document on Chrome via Windows from 45.24.130.179. |
| 06/24/2021 12:07 EDT | Tina Hughes (thughes@smithdouglas.com) authenticated via email on Chrome via Windows from 45.24.130.179. |
| 06/24/2021 12:07 EDT | Tina Hughes (thughes@smithdouglas.com) signed the document on Chrome via Windows from 45.24.130.179. |
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After filing, please rectum to:
Law Offices of Trenton Brown III, P.C., Attorney at Law 105 south Jefferson Avenue, Eatonton, Georgia 31024-3085

## STATE OF GEORGIA

COUNTY OF PUTNAM

## WARRANTY DEED <br> (NO TITLE OPINION GIVEN)

THIS INDENTURE, made the $30^{\text {mi }}$ day of December, 2002 between Jeff A. Maddox, Sr. as party or parties of the first part, hereinafter called Grantor, and The Maddox Family Partnership, LLLP., as party or parties of the second part, hereinafter called Grantee (the words "Grantor" and "Grantee" to include their respective heirs, successors, and assigns where the context requires or permits).

WITNESSETH that: Granter, for and in consideration of the sum of ten dollars and 00/100 (\$10.00) and other valuable consideration in hand paid at or before the sealing and delivery of these presents, the receipt and sufficiency whereof is hereby acknowledged, by these presents does hereby grant, bargain, sell, alien, convey and confirm unto said Grantee,

See Exhibit " $A$ " attached hereto and by reference made a part hereof.
TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said tract or parcel of land, with all and singular the rights, members and appurtenances thereof, to same being, belonging, or in anywise appertaining, to the only proper use, benefit and behoof of the said Grantee forever in FEE SIMPLE.

AND THE SAID Granter will warrant and forever defend the right and title to the above described property unto the said Grantees against the claims of all persons whomsoever.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Grantor has signed and sealed this deed, the day and year first above written.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:


My Commission expirestletzy y Public, Putnam
My Co 1 M

Jeff A. Maddox, Sr .


## SEAL AFFIXED

## 668

## EXHIBIT "A"

All that tract or parcel of land, lying and being in the $308^{\text {th }}$ G. M. District, Putnam County, Georgia, containing 29.535 acres, more or less, and being designated as Parcel No 1 of the "Bonner Place" Tract as shown on a plat prepared for the Frank Maddox Estate by Robert H. Harwell, Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. 1683, dated November 6 th , 1998, and recorded in Cabinet D, Plat Book 27, Slide 40, Page 282, Clerk's Office, Putnam County Superior Court, and by reference said plat is hereby made a part of this description.

Legal Description<br>Tax Map Parcel 106002<br>Old Phoenix Road, Putnam County, GA

All that tract or parcel of land, lying and being in the 2081h G.M. District, Putnam County, Georgia, containing 29.535 acres, more or less, and being designated as Parcel No. 1 of the "Bonner Place" Tract as shown on a plat prepared for the Frank Maddox Estate by Robert H. Harwell, Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. 1683, dated November 6, 1998, and recorded in Cabinet D, Plat Book 27, Slide 40, Page 282, Cleric's Office, Putnam County Superior Court, and by reference said plat is hereby made a part of this description.

May 26, 2021

Smith Douglas Homes<br>Tina Hughes

Subject: Water \& Sewer Capacity, Putnam County Parcel 106002

Ms. Hughes:
Piedmont Water Company will make available water and sewer capacity for up to 53 homes on the above referenced property subject to the following conditions:

- Customer will acquire easements for water and sewer line extensions from the Phoenix Crossing water and sewer system
- The execution of a Customer User Agreement

Any additional improvements to the Phoenix Crossing systems to provide these services will the responsibility of the developer, as will on-site infrastructure on the site.

Please call if you have any additional questions on this matter.


Brent Hurst
Chief Operating Officer

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\bigcirc$ 706-485-0552 fax $\bigcirc$ www.putnamcountyga.us
DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANTS CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name:

2. Address: $\qquad$
3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

If yes, who did you make the contributions to? : $\qquad$ .

Signature of Applicant:


PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT
117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond 706-485-0552$ fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANT'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name:

2. Address:

3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No If yes, who did you make the contributions to? : $\qquad$ .

Signature of Applicant:


PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT
117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANTS CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name:

2. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? _Yes If yes, who did you make the contributions to? : $\qquad$ -

Signature of Applicant:


Taxes

## INTERNET TAX RECEIPT

2020014318
PARECEL 1 BONNER PLACE
MADDOX FAMILY PARTNERSHIP LLLP
106002

| DESCRIIPTION | TAXAMOLNT | EXEMPTION? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE | 5141,662 |  |  |
| COUNTY | 537.88 | \$51.97800 | 8078 |
| SCHOOL | \$73.92 | 351.978 .00 | 15.772 |
| SPEC SERV | 31.77 | 551.97800 | 0.378 |

TO MADDOX FAMILY PARTNERSHIP ULLP
167 N WESLEY CHAPEL RD
EATONTON, GA 31024

FROM
Putnam County Tax Commissioner 100 South Jefferson Ave Sulte 207 Eatonton, GA 31024-1061 (706) 485-6441


Scan this code with
your mobile phone
your mobile ph
lo view thes bill

INTERNET TAX RECEIPT


# Traffic Impact Study 

## Proposed Old Phoenix Road Residential Subdivision Putnam County, Georgia

June 24, 2021

## Traffic Impact Study

# Proposed Old Phoenix Road Residential Subdivision Putnam County, Georgia 

study prepared for:

Smith Douglas Homes
110 Village Trail, Suite 215
Woodstock, GA 30188

June 24, 2021


MAR('R. A(AAMPORA, PE, LLC
Traffic Engineering

858 Myrtle Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30308 (678) 637-1763
e-mail: acamporatraffic@comcast.net
web: www.acamporatraffic.com
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## Introduction

This study assesses the traffic impact of a proposed residential subdivision in Putnam County, Georgia. The site is located on the east side of Old Phoenix Road, as shown in the location map in Figure 1. The project will include 53 single-family homes. One full-movement vehicular access will be provided on Old Phoenix Road and one fullmovement access will be provided on Garrett Drive, which connects between Old Phoenix Road and New Phoenix Road.

The purpose of this traffic impact study is to determine existing traffic operating conditions in the vicinity of the proposed subdivision, project future traffic volumes, assess the impact of the subject development, then develop conclusions and recommendations to mitigate the project traffic impact and ensure safe and efficient existing and future traffic conditions in the vicinity of the project.


Figure 1 - Site Location Map

## Existing Traffic Conditions

Existing traffic operating conditions in the vicinity of the proposed subdivision were assessed. The following is a description of existing transportation facilities, traffic volumes, and intersection operations.

## Description of Existing Roadways

Old Phoenix Road is a two lane rural major collector that begins at a side street stop sign controlled intersection at GA 16, passes the subject site, intersects New Phoenix Road at an all-way stop sign controlled intersection, then continues to the north. The terrain along Old Phoenix Road is very gently rolling and the posted speed limit is 55 mph , with an advisory 45 mph limit in the vicinity of the subject site. A 24 -hour bi-directional traffic volume count collected for this study at the project access location on Tuesday, June 15, 2021, showed a northbound volume of 2,226 vehicles and a southbound volume of 2,123 vehicles, for a two-way volume of 4,349 vehicles.

New Phoenix Road is a two lane collector that begins at a side street stop sign controlled intersection at GA 16, intersects with Old Phoenix Road, then continues to the west and terminates at GA 44. The terrain is gently rolling and the posted speed limit is 55 mph .

Sparta Highway (Georgia State Route 16) is an east/west rural major collector that provides regional mobility through this area of central Georgia. The terrain is very gently rolling and the posted speed limit is 55 mph . In 2019 (the latest year for which data was available at this location) the Georgia Department of Transportation (Georgia DOT) recorded an Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) volume of 1,740 vehicles per day (vpd) on GA 16 east of Old Phoenix Road. A 24 -hour bi-directional traffic volume count collected for this study at this same location on Tuesday, June 15, 2021, showed a two-way volume of 2,399 vehicles.

Garrett Drive is a two lane local road that connects Old Phoenix Road to New Phoenix Road through the Phoenix Crossing subdivision.

Pedestrian, Bicycle, and Transit Accessibility

There are no sidewalks or dedicated bicycle lanes adjacent to the proposed development on either Old Phoenix Road or New Phoenix Road. There is no regularly scheduled mass transit service in the vicinity of the site.

## Existing Traffic Volumes

Existing full turning movement peak hour traffic volume counts were collected at the following intersections:

1. Old Phoenix Road at New Phoenix Road
2. Sparta Highway (GA 16) at Old Phoenix Road
3. New Phoenix Road at Garrett Drive

The counts were collected on Tuesday, June 15, 2021, from 7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and from 4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. Area schools were in not session on the day on which the counts were recorded and volumes may or may not be atypical due to the COVID-19 pandemic, addressed below.

In addition to the intersection counts, 24-hour traffic volume counts were collected on Old Phoenix Road at the project access location and on GA 16 at the location of Georgia DOT Count Station 237-0114. This second 24-hour was compared with the Georgia DOT counts from pre-COVID-19 conditions to develop an adjustment factor to account for the pandemic and school being in recess, as described below.

In order to account for possibly-decreased volumes due to the pandemic and school being in recess, an adjustment factor was considered to be applied to the counted volumes. The 2019 Georgia DOT AADT volume closest to the site (Count Station 237-0114 on US 16 east of Old Phoenix Road, data presented later in Table 2), was compared to the 24 -hour count collected at that location for this study. The 2019 Georgia DOT AADT was $1,740 \mathrm{vpd}$ and the five year average growth rate was $-2.1 \%$, with the last year having a decrease of $-14.3 \%$, at that count station. Because the trend on GA 16 has been decreasing, extrapolating that AADT from 2019 to 2021 would result in an even lower AADT. The count collected for this study is 2,399 vehicles, which is $38 \%$ higher than the 2019 AADT. Because the current counted 24-hour volume is so much higher than the expected 2021 AADT, the volumes counted for this study were not adjusted/increased and the current counts are considered to be representative of "normal" existing conditions. It is noted that the effect of the ending of the pandemic and return to pre-pandemic conditions in the Fall of 2021 may be tempered by lifestyle changes that occurred during the pandemic, such as working from home and some degree of at-home schooling, so that any adjusting of the counts collected for this study, in light of the above volume comparison, would be considered highly speculative.

From the intersection turning movement count data, the highest four consecutive 15-minute interval volumes at each intersection, during each time period, were determined. These volumes make up the existing weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour traffic volumes at each intersection and are shown in Figure 2. The raw count data is found in Appendix A.


Figure 2 - Existing Weekday A.M. and P.M. Peak Hour Traffic Volumes

## Existing Intersection Operations

Existing traffic operations were analyzed at the study intersections using Synchro software, version 10, in accordance with the methodology presented in the Transportation Research Board's 2016 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM 6). This methodology is presented in Appendix B. The results of the analysis are shown in Table 1. Computer printouts containing detailed results of the existing analysis are located in Appendix C. Levels of service and delays are provided for each overall intersection and for each controlled approach or movement. Locations that operate unacceptably (LOS E or LOS F) are presented in bold type.

Table 1 - Existing Intersection Operations

| Intersection / Approach | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) |
| 1. Old Phoenix Road at New Phoenix Road | A | 9.8 | B | 11.3 |
| northbound approach | B | 10.7 | A | 8.9 |
| . southbound approach | A | 9.1 | B | 12.8 |
| eastbound approach | A | 8.5 | A | 8.7 |
| westbound approach | A | 8.6 | A | 8.6 |
| 2. GA 16 at Old Phoenix Road | A | 6.3 | A | 6.6 |
| southbound left turn | C | 19.5 | B | 12.2 |
| southbound right turn | A | 9.3 | B | 10.9 |
| eastbound left turn | A | 8.2 | A | 7.7 |
| 3. New Phoenix Road at Garrett Drive | A | 0.9 | A | 1.0 |
| northbound approach | A | 9.2 | A | 9.3 |
| westbound left turn | A | 7.3 | A | 7.5 |

The existing analysis reveals good operating conditions at all study intersections. No mitigation is identified for the existing condition.

## No-Build Traffic Conditions

A no-build condition was developed. This represents the traffic conditions that will exist in the future at the anticipated time of the build-out of the proposed subdivision, but not including the subdivision's trips. The purpose of the analysis of this condition is to isolate the traffic impacts of the proposed development from background growth in volumes that are expected to occur in the area while the subdivision is under construction.

Georgia DOT AADT volume counts were obtained on nearby roadways for the five years from 2015 to 2019 (the latest year for which volumes are available). Table 2 presents the historic Georgia DOT counts and the annual growth rates between the counts.

Table 2 - Historic Georgia DOT Traffic Volume Counts and Annual Growth Rates

| Year | GA 16 E of Old Phoenix | Annual Growth | Old Phoenix S of Lake Oconee | Annual Growth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station ID | 237-0114 |  | 237-0178 |  |
| 2015 | 1,930 |  | 3,560 |  |
| 2016 | 2,030 | 5.2\% | 3,770 | 5.9\% |
| 2017 | 2,060 | 1.5\% | 3,880 | 2.9\% |
| 2018 | 2,030 | -1.5\% | 3,830 | -1.3\% |
| 2019 | 1,740 | -14.3\% | 3,820 | -0.3\% |
| avg growth |  | -2.1\% |  | 1.4\% |

Growth in the area has been generally low, with average annual growth ranging from $-2.1 \%$ to $1.4 \%$, and with the most recent year of growth being negative and ranging from $-14.3 \%$ to $-0.3 \%$. Based on the growth trends identified in Table 2, no background growth factor was applied to the existing volumes when projecting the future no-build volumes. However, the Phoenix Crossing subdivision, adjacent to the subject development, is approved for 110 single family homes. As of the date of this study, 53 of those homes are occupied, while 37 are under construction and 20 are undeveloped. Therefore, the trips for the remaining (unbuilt or under construction homes) were calculated and assigned to the study intersections to develop a no-build condition. The trips were calculated using the ITE Trip Generation Manual and assigned using the same trip distribution as the subject subdivision of this study. Trip generation and distribution is discussed further in the Project Traffic Characteristics section of this report. The existing volumes plus the trips from the approved but unbuild and unoccupied homes in the Phoenix Crossing subdivision produce the no-build traffic volumes that will be on the roadway network in the future when the proposed subdivision is completely developed, but excluding the proposed subdivision's trips.

## Programmed Transportation Infrastructure Improvements

The Georgia DOT projects website was reviewed for planned (anticipated) and programmed (scheduled and funded) transportation infrastructure improvements in the study area. No projects were identified at the study intersections or in the immediate vicinity within the anticipated build-out time of the proposed subdivision.

## No-Build Intersection Operations

The no-build condition includes the no-build traffic volumes, as described above. These were entered into the Synchro model and the no-build traffic operations were analyzed at the study intersections using Synchro 10 software in accordance with the HCM 6 methodology. The results of the no-build analysis are shown in Table 3. Computer printouts containing detailed results of the no-build analysis are located in Appendix D. Levels of service and delays are provided for each overall intersection and for each controlled approach or movement. Locations that operate unacceptably (LOS E or LOS F) are presented in bold type.

Table 3-No-Build Intersection Operations

| Intersection / Approach | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) |
| 1. Old Phoenix Road at New Phoenix Road | A | 10.0 | B | 12.0 |
| northbound approach | B | 11.1 | A | 9.1 |
| southbound approach | A | 9.3 | B | 13.8 |
| eastbound approach | A | 8.6 | A | 8.9 |
| westbound approach | A | 8.8 | A | 8.8 |
| 2. GA 16 at Old Phoenix Road | A | 6.4 | A | 6.7 |
| southbound left turn | C | 19.8 | B | 12.4 |
| southbound right turn | A | 9.4 | B | 11.0 |
| eastbound left turn | A | 8.2 | A | 7.7 |
| 3. New Phoenix Road at Garrett Drive | A | 1.6 | A | 1.5 |
| northbound approach | A | 9.4 | A | 9.4 |
| westbound left turn | A | 7.4 | A | 7.5 |

The no-build analysis shows modest increases in delays and continued good operations at all study locations. Therefore, no mitigation is identified for the no-build condition.

## Project Traffic Characteristics

This section describes the anticipated traffic characteristics of the proposed subdivision, including a project description, how much traffic the project will generate, and where that traffic will travel.

## Project Description

The site will be developed with 53 single-family homes. One full-movement vehicular access will be provided on Old Phoenix Road and one full-movement access will be provided on Garrett Drive, which connects between Old Phoenix Road and New Phoenix Road. The site plan is presented in Figure 3.


Figure 3-Site Plan for Proposed Subdivision

## Trip Generation

Trip generation is an estimate of the number of entering and exiting vehicular trips that will be generated by the proposed development. The volume of traffic that will be generated by the subdivision was calculated using the equations in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition - with Supplement. ITE Land Use 210 - Single-Family Detached Housing was chosen as representative of the single family homes. The trip generation for the subdivision is presented in Table 4.

Table 4 - Old Phoenix Road Subdivision Trip Generation

| Land Use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITE } \\ & \text { Code } \end{aligned}$ | Size | A.M. Peak Hour |  |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |  | 24-Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total | 2-Way |
| Single Family Homes | 210 | 53 homes | 10 | 32 | 42 | 35 | 20 | 55 | 580 |

The proposed subdivision will generate 42 a.m. peak hour trips, 55 p.m. peak hour trips, and 580 weekday trips.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment

The trip distribution percentages indicate what proportion of the project's trips will travel to and from various directions. The trip distribution percentages for the subdivision were developed based on the locations and proximity of likely trip origins and destinations including regional employment centers, retail and offices in the area, nearby schools, other regional trip attractors, and the major routes of travel in the area, including GA 16 to the south and Interstate 20, a bit distant to the north. The new project trips, shown in Table 4, were assigned to the roadway network based on the distribution percentages. The trip distribution percentages and the a.m. and p.m. peak hour trips expected to be generated by the proposed subdivision are shown in Figure 4.


Figure 4 - Weekday A.M. and P.M. Peak Hour Project Trips and Trip Distribution Percentages

## Future Traffic Conditions

The future volumes consist of the no-build volumes plus the trips that will be generated by the proposed subdivision. The future volumes are shown in Figure 5.


Figure 5 - Future Weekday A.M. and P.M. Peak Hour Volumes

## Lane Configuration at Subdivision Access

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 28 - Development Regulations, Article I - In General, Section 28-66 - Required Improvements, (k) Deceleration lanes and center turn lanes states:
(1) Deceleration lanes or a center turn lane are required if sight distances required by this chapter cannot be achieved or the number of dwelling units in a development exceeds 50 , at each entrance to a multifamily or nonresidential development project intersecting a county collector or a county arterial road, or if the director deems either a deceleration or turn lane or both are necessary to protect the safety of the public.
(2) Deceleration and turn lane construction standards.
a. Deceleration lanes shall be designed and installed in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway and Encroachment Control. The lane shall be 12 feet in width exclusive of the curb and gutter width. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county.
b. Left turn lanes may be required if the average daily traffic (ADT) exceeds 2,000 vehicles per day or if the director has knowledge of other information that would warrant a detailed traffic impact study as established by the Georgia Department of Transportation to determine if a left turn is needed. The study shall be prepared in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway and Encroachment Control.
c. Other project access improvements as deemed necessary by the director of the planning and development department in addition to a required deceleration lane in order to ensure adequate site access, pedestrian access, convenience, and safety to the motoring public may be required.

The number of dwelling units in the proposed subdivision is 53 , which exceeds the 50 unit threshold and, therefore a northbound right turn lane is required on Old Phoenix Road at the proposed subdivision access. This study agrees with that requirement.

For a southbound left turn lane on Old Phoenix Road at the project access, the code states that a left turn lane may be required if the ADT exceeds 2,000 vpd and then references the Georgia DOT standards. A left turn lane analysis was performed for this location using the Georgia DOT standards as presented in their Regulations for Driveway and Encroachment Control, revision 5.0, July 3, 2019. Georgia DOT Table 4-7a Minimum Volumes Requiring Left Turn Lanes is reproduced below as Table 5.

Table 5 - Georgia DOT Left Turn Lane Volume Standards

| LEFT TURN REQUIREMENTS-FULL CONSTRUCTION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Posted Speed | 2 Lane Routes |  | More than 2 Lanes on Main Road |  |
|  | ADT |  | ADT |  |
|  | $<6000$ | $>=6000$ | <10000 | $>=10000$ |
| 35 MPH or Less | 300 LTV a day | 200 LTV a day | 400 LTV a day | 300 LTV a day |
| 40 to 50 MPH | 250 LTV a day | 175 LTV a day | 325 LTV a day | 250 LTV a day |
| $>=55 \mathrm{MPH}$ | 200 LTV a day | 150 LTV a day | 250 LTV a day | 200 LTV a day |

Table 4-7a Minimum Volumes Requiring Left Turn Lanes

Based on a posted speed limit of 55 mph and a 24 -hour volume of less than 6,000 vehicles (counted for this study as 4,349 vehicles, with a flat-to-decreasing trend) on Old Phoenix Road, the left turn volume threshold above which a left turn lane would be required is 200 left turn vehicles per day. This study projects 174 southbound left turns into the project. This is below the 200 left turn vehicles per day threshold and, therefore, according to the Georgia DOT standard, no left turn lane is required on Old Phoenix Road at the project access.

The project access at Old Phoenix Road should be built with one entering and one exiting lane, or as required by the County. The exiting approach should be controlled by side street stop sign and accompanying stop bar. This lane configuration was used in the operational analysis.

No turn lanes are considered necessary to serve the project's access at Garrett Drive because Garrett Drive is a low volume, low speed local street. This access should also be built with one entering and one exiting lane, or as required by the County. The exiting approach should be controlled by side street stop sign and accompanying stop bar.

The project will add minimal trips to the already-low volumes at the New Phoenix Road / Garrett Drive intersection and, therefore, no changes are recommended for that intersection.

## Future Intersection Operations

An operational analysis was performed for the anticipated future project build-out. Table 6 presents the results of the future analysis. Computer printouts containing detailed results of the future analysis are located in Appendix E. Levels of service and delays are provided for each overall intersection and for each controlled approach or movement. Locations that operate unacceptably (LOS E or LOS F) are presented in bold type.

Table 6 - Future Intersection Operations

| Intersection / Approach | A.M. Peak Hour |  | P.M. Peak Hour |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LOS | Delay (s/veh) | LOS | Delay (s/veh) |
| 1. Old Phoenix Road at New Phoenix Road | B | 10.3 | B | 12.6 |
| northbound approach | B | 11.5 | A | 9.3 |
| southbound approach | A | 9.4 | B | 14.7 |
| eastbound approach | A | 8.7 | A | 9.0 |
| westbound approach | A | 9.0 | A | 8.9 |
| 2. GA 16 at Old Phoenix Road | A | 6.5 | A | 6.7 |
| southbound left turn | C | 20.2 | B | 12.8 |
| southbound right turn | A | 9.4 | B | 11.1 |
| eastbound left turn | A | 8.2 | A | 7.7 |
| 3. New Phoenix Road at Garrett Drive | A | 1.7 | A | 1.6 |
| northbound approach | A | 9.3 | A | 9.4 |
| westbound left turn | A | 7.4 | A | 7.5 |
| 4. Old Phoenix Road at Subdivision Access | A | 0.9 | A | 0.8 |
| southbound left turn (entering project) | A | 8.0 | A | 7.6 |
| westbound approach (exiting project) | B | 11.1 | B | 10.6 |

The future analysis shows continued good traffic operations at all study locations. No mitigation is identified for the future condition other than the right turn lane required at the project access on Old Phoenix Road.

## Conclusions and Recommendations

This study assesses the traffic impact of a proposed residential subdivision in Putnam County, Georgia. The site is located on the east side of Old Phoenix Road and the project will include 53 single-family homes. One fullmovement vehicular access will be provided on Old Phoenix Road and one full-movement access will be provided on Garrett Drive, which connects between Old Phoenix Road and New Phoenix Road. The following are the findings and recommendations of this study:

1. Existing operations at the studied intersections are good and no mitigation is identified for the existing condition.
2. Traffic volume growth in this area has been low-to-negative. Therefore, no background growth factor was applied in developing the no-build condition. However, the approved but undeveloped homes in the adjacent Phoenix Crossing subdivision were added to the no-build analysis.
3. The no-build condition will see moderate increases in delays and continued good traffic operations. Therefore, no mitigation is identified for the no-build condition.
4. The proposed subdivision will generate 42 a.m. peak hour trips, 55 p.m. peak hour trips, and 580 weekday trips.
5. With the addition of the subdivision's trips, delays will increase slightly from the no-build condition, but all locations, including the project access on Old Phoenix Road, will operate well. Therefore, no mitigation is identified other than the right turn lane required at the project access.
6. A northbound right turn lane is required by County Code on Old Phoenix Road at the project access.
7. Both project accesses should be built with one entering and one exiting lane, or as required by the County. Each exiting approach should be controlled by side street stop sign and accompanying stop bar.
8. The site engineer should comply with ali applicable design standards at the site accesses and internal site roadways, including sight distances, turn radii, driveway widths, islands, angles with the adjacent roadways, and grades.

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision, Putnam County Traffic Impact Study

## Appendix A

Traffic Count Data and Volume Worksheets

## Old Phoenix Road Subdivision Traffic Impact Study

## Putnam County, Georgia

June 2021

Intersection: 1. Old Phoenix Road at New Phoenix Road

| Weekday A.M. Peak Hour | Northbound Old Phoenix Road |  |  |  | Southbound Old Phoenix Road |  |  |  | Eastbound New Phoenix Road |  |  |  | Westbound New Phoenix Road |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | $T$ | R | Tot | L | $T$ | R | Tot | L | $T$ | R | Tot | L | $T$ | R | Tot |
| Counted Volumes (Tuesday, June 15, 2021, 7:30-8:30) | 6 | 284 | 1 | 291 | 19 | 100 | 2 | 121 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 31 | 2 | 17 | 78 | 97 |
| COVID-19 adjustment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Existing, Adjusted Volumes | 6 | 284 | 1 | 291 | 19 | 100 | 2 | 121 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 31 | 2 | 17 | 78 | 97 |
| Total Annual Background Growth | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Remaining Phoenix Crossing Homes Trips | 3 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 10 |
| No-Build Volumes | 9 | 293 | 1 | 303 | 22 | 103 | 2 | 127 | 5 | 19 | 9 | 33 | 2 | 19 | 86 | 107 |
| Proposed Old Phoenix Road Subdivision Trips | 2 | 17 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Build Volumes | 11 | 310 | 1 | 322 | 22 | 108 | 2 | 132 | 5 | 19 | 10 | 34 | 2 | 19 | 86 | 107 |


| Weekday P.M. Peak Hour | Northbound Old Phoenix Road |  |  |  | Southbound Old Phoenix Road |  |  |  | Eastbound New Phoenix Road |  |  |  | Westbound New Phoenix Road |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | $T$ | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | 1 | T | R | Tot |
| Counted Volumes (Tuesday, June 15, 2021, 4:45-5:45) | 7 | 111 | 6 | 124 | 69 | 297 | 8 | 374 | 6 | 23 | 10 | 39 | 5 | 16 | 29 | 50 |
| COVID-19 adjustment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Existing, Adjusted Volumes | 7 | 111 | 6 | 124 | 69 | 297 | 8 | 374 | 6 | 23 | 10 | 39 | 5 | 16 | 29 | 50 |
| Total Annual Background Growth | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Remaining Phoenix Crossing Homes Trips | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 6 |
| No-Build Volumes | 9 | 117 | 6 | 132 | 78 | 307 | 8 | 393 | 6 | 26 | 13 | 45 | 5 | 17 | 34 | 56 |
| Proposed Old Phoenix Road Subdivision Trips | 1 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Build Volumes | 10 | 128 | 6 | 144 | 78 | 325 | 8 | 411 | 6 | 26 | 15 | 47 | 5 | 17 | 34 | 56 |
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Intersection: 2. Sparta Highway (Georgia State Route 16) at Old Phoenix Road

| Weekday A.M. Peak Hour | Southbound Old Phoenix Road |  |  | Eastbound GA 16 |  |  | Westbound GA 16 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | R | Tot | 1 | $T$ | Tot | T | R | Tot |
| Counted Volumes (Tuesday, June 15, 2021, 7:15-8:15 | 2 | 104 | 106 | 273 | 54 | 327 | 79 | 2 | 81 |
| COVID-19 adjustment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Existing, Adjusted Volumes | 2 | 104 | 106 | 273 | 54 | 327 | 79 | 2 | 81 |
| Total Annual Background Growth | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Remaining Phoenix Crossing Homes Trips | 2 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| No-Build Volumes | 4 | 111 |  | 275 | 54 |  | 79 | 3 |  |
| Proposed Old Phoenix Road Subdivision Trips | 3 | 8 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Build Volumes | 7 | 119 | 126 | 277 | 54 | 331 | 79 | 4 | 83 |


| Weekday P.M. Peak Hour | Southbound Old Phoenix Road |  |  | Eastbound GA 16 |  |  | Westbound GA 16 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | R | Tot | 1 | $T$ | Tot | T | R | Tot |
| Counted Volumes (Tuesday, June 15, 2021, 4:45-5:45) | 5 | 298 | 303 | 108 | 129 | 237 | 85 | 6 | 91 |
| COVID-19 adjustment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Existing, Adjusted Volumes | 5 | 298 | 303 | 108 | 129 | 237 | 85 | 6 | 91 |
| Total Annual Background Growth | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Remaining Phoenix Crossing Homes Trips | 1 | 4 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| No-Build Volumes | 6 | 302 |  | 115 | 129 |  | 85 | 8 |  |
| Proposed Old Phoenix Road Subdivision Trips | 2 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| Build Volumes | 8 | 307 | 315 | 124 | 129 | 253 | 85 | 12 | 97 |
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## Old Phoenix Road Subdivision Traffic Impact Study

Putnam County, Georgia

June 2021

Intersection: 3. New Phoenix Road at Garrett Drive
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Intersection: 4. OId Phoenix Road at Proposed Old Phoenlx Road Subdlvision Access



Old Phoenix Road at project access lo Tuesday, June 15, 2021 Peak Hour Calculation

|  | NB | Hourly | SB | Hourly | 2-Way | Hourly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 AM | 54 |  | 11 |  | 65 |  |
| 07:15 AM | 51 |  | 27 |  | 78 |  |
| 07:30AM | 93 |  | 26 |  | 119 |  |
| 07:45 AM | 80 | 278 | 21 | 85 | 101 | 363 |
| 08:00 AM | 65 | 289 | 35 | 109 | 100 | 398 |
| 08:15 AM | 59 | 297 | 28 | 110 | 87 | 407 |
| 08:30 AM | 75 | 279 | 30 | 114 | 105 | 393 |
| 08:45 AM | 50 | 249 | 23 | 116 | 73 | 365 |
| 04:00 PM | 21 |  | 42 |  | 63 | 241 |
| 04:15 PM | 25 |  | 54 |  | 79 | 215 |
| 04:30 PM | 25 |  | 65 |  | 90 | 232 |
| 04:45 PM | 26 | 97 | 61 | 222 | 87 | 319 |
| 05:00 PM | 32 | 108 | 74 | 254 | 106 | 362 |
| OS:15 PM | 32 | 115 | 89 | 289 | 121 | 404 |
| 05:30 PM | 29 | 119 | 82 | 306 | 111 | 425 |
| 05:45 PM | 28 | 121 | 60 | 305 | 88 | 426 |
| 06:00 PM | 22 | 111 | 43 | 274 | 65 | 385 |
| 06:15 PM | 22 | 101 | 48 | 233 | 70 | 334 |
| 24-Hour | 2226 |  | 2123 |  | 4349 |  |
| COVID 19 adjustme |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | NB | SB | 2-Way |
| am peak increased by COVID 19 adjust |  |  |  | 297 | 110 | 407 |
| pm peak increased by COVID 19 adjust |  |  |  | 121 | 305 | 426 |
| 24 hour increased by COVID 19 adjustı |  |  |  | 222 | 2123 | 4349 |

Tel: (770) 578-8158 I Fax: (770) 578-8159
Info@reliabletraffic.org I www.reliabletraffic.org

TMC Data
File Name : 45040001
Old Phoenix Rd @ New Phoenix Rd
Eatonton, GA
7-9 AM | 4-6 PM

|  | Old Phoenix Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Phoenix Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toat | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Tooal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toul | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Tooal | mbt. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 5 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 82 |
| 07:15 AM | 2 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 5 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 24 | 118 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 68 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 128 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 33 | 139 |
| Total | 8 | 257 | 1 | 0 | 266 | 11 | 71 | 3 | 0 | 85 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 29 | 61 | 0 | 93 | 467 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 19 | 146 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 127 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 4 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 102 |
| 08:45 AM | 3 | 55 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 7 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 15 | 105 |
| Total | 8 | 243 | 1 | 0 | 252 | 26 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 128 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 0 | 27 | 5 | 7 | 61 | 0 | 73 | 480 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 2 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 11 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 99 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 16 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 12 | 56 | 4 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 104 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 15 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 119 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 13 | 56 | 3 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 122 |
| Total | 6 | 96 | 4 | 0 | 106 | 51 | 213 | 8 | 0 | 272 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 4 | 12 | 22 | 0 | 38 | 444 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 18 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 132 |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 18 | 93 | 2 | 0 | 113 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 170 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 34 | 20 | 77 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 163 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 12 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 118 |
| Total | 7 | 102 | 7 | 0 | 116 | 68 | 299 | 6 | 0 | 373 | 7 | 26 | 9 | 0 | 42 | 5 | 18 | 29 | 0 | 52 | 583 |
| Grand Total | 29 | 698 | 13 | 0 | 740 | 156 | 683 | 19 | 0 | 858 | 20 | 69 | 31 | 0 | 120 | 17 | 66 | 173 | 0 | 256 | 1974 |
| Apprch \% | 3.9 | 94.3 | 1.8 | 0 |  | 18.2 | 79.6 | 2.2 | 0 |  | 16.7 | 57.5 | 25.8 | 0 |  | 6.6 | 25.8 | 67.6 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.5 | 35.4 | 0.7 | 0 | 37.5 | 7.9 | 34.6 | 1 | 0 | 43.5 | 1 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 0 | 6.1 | 0.9 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 0 | 13 |  |

Tel: (770) 578-8158 I Fax: (770) 578-8159
Info@reliabletraffic.org I www.reliabletraffic.org

TMC Data
File Name : 45040001
Old Phoenix Rd @ New Phoenix Rd
Eatonton, GA
Site Code : 45040001

7-9 AM | 4-6 PM

|  | Old Phoenix Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Phoenix Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toas | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 68 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 2 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 6 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 128 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 33 | 139 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 9 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 19 | 146 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 6 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 127 |
| Total Volume | 6 | 284 | 1 | 0 | 291 | 19 | 100 | 2 | 0 | 121 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 17 | 78 | 0 | 97 | 540 |
| \% App. Total |  | 97.6 |  |  |  | 15.7 | 82.6 |  |  |  | 16.1 | 58.1 | 25.8 |  |  |  | 17.5 | 80.4 |  |  |  |
| PHF | . 375 | . 888 | . 250 | . 000 | . 898 | . 528 | . 781 | . 500 | . 000 | . 738 | . 417 | . 750 | . 667 | . 000 | . 775 | . 500 | . 607 | . 780 | . 000 | 735 | 925 |



Tel: (770) 578-8158 I Fax: (770) 578-8159
Info@reliabletraffic.org I www.reliabletraffic.org

TMC Data
File Name : 45040001
Old Phoenix Rd @ New Phoenix Rd
Eatonton, GA
Site Code : 45040001
Start Date : 6/15/2021

|  | Old Phoenix Rd Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Phoenix Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Apre. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App Tomal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 13 | 56 | 3 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 122 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 18 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 132 |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 18 | 93 | 2 | 0 | 113 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 170 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 34 | 20 | 77 | 3 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 163 |
| Total Volume | 7 | 111 | 6 | 0 | 124 | 69 | 297 | 8 | 0 | 374 | 6 | 23 | 10 | 0 | 39 | 5 | 16 | 29 | 0 | 50 | 587 |
| \% App. Total |  | 89.5 |  |  |  | 18.4 | 79.4 |  |  |  | 15.4 |  | 25.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | . 583 | . 841 | . 300 | . 000 | . 838 | . 863 | . 798 | . 667 | . 000 | . 827 | . 750 | . 821 | . 500 | . 000 | 750 | . 625 | 800 | . 806 | . 000 | . 781 | 863 |



Tel: (770) 578-8158 I Fax: (770) 578-8159
Info@reliabletraffic.org I www.reliabletraffic.org

TMC Data
New Phoenix Rd @ Garrett Dr
Eatonton, GA
7-9 AM | 4-6 PM
File Name : 45040002
Site Code : 45040002
Start Date : 6/15/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed-Cars, Buses and Trucks

|  | Garrett Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Tooal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App Tooal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toual | Inc. Toal |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 23 |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 29 |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 31 |
| 07:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 36 |
| Total | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 2 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 89 | 119 |
| 08:00 AM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 36 |
| 08:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 29 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 24 |
| 08:45 AM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 24 |
| Total | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 113 |

*** BREAK $^{* * *}$

| 04:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 31 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 29 |
| 04:45 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 30 |
| Total | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 5 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 116 |


| 05:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 36 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $05: 15 ~ P M$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 39 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 45 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 40 |
| Total | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 101 | 4 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 160 |


| Grand Total | 26 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 16 | 0 | 238 | 8 | 228 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 508 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 76.5 | 0 | 23.5 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 93.3 | 6.7 | 0 |  | 3.4 | 96.6 | 0 | 0 |  |  |

Tel: (770) 578-8158 I Fax: (770) 578-8159
Info@reliabletraffic.org I www.reliabletraffic.org

TMC Data
New Phoenix Rd @ Garrett Dr
Eatonton, GA
File Name : 45040002

7-9 AM | 4-6 PM

Start Date : 6/15/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Garrett Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Tomal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak I of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 29 |
| 07:30 AM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 31 |
| 07:45 AM | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 36 |
| 08:00 AM | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 36 |
| Total Volume | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 4 | 0 | 34 | 2 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 132 |
| \% App. Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 88.2 | 11.8 |  |  |  | 97.7 |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | . 583 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | 333 | . 000 | 567 | . 250 | . 768 | . 000 | . 000 | 786 | 917 |



TMC Data
New Phoenix Rd @ Garrett Dr
Eatonton, GA
7-9 AM | 4-6 PM

File Name : 45040002
Site Code : 45040002
Start Date : 6/15/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Garrett Dr Northbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Eastbound |  |  |  |  | New Phoenix Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Tonal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Abs. Tomal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App Total | In. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of I |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 36 |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 24 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 39 |
| 05:30 PM | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 45 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 40 |
| Total Volume | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 4 | 0 | 101 | 4 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 160 |
| \% App. Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 91.8 |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 000 | . 333 | . 000 | . 625 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 836 | . 500 | . 000 | . 842 | . 333 | . 938 | . 000 | . 000 | . 942 | . 889 |



Tel: (770) 578-8158 I Fax: (770) 578-8159
Info@reliabletraffic.org I www.reliabletraffic.org

TMC Data
Old Phoenix Rd @ Sparta Hwy (GA16)
Eatonton, GA
7-9 AM | 4-6 PM
File Name : 45040003
Site Code : 45040003
Start Date: 6/15/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed-Cars, Buses and Trucks

|  | Private Drwy (Gated) Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Phoenix Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Sparta Hwy (GA16) Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Sparta Hwy (GA16) Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toand | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 55 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 105 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 25 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 124 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 66 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 132 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 22 | 84 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 146 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 85 | 0 | 87 | 270 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 90 | 3 | 0 | 93 | 507 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 112 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 28 | 65 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 123 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 68 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 16 | 117 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 47 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 20 | 108 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 111 | 238 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 67 | 3 | 0 | 70 | 460 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 40 | 16 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 104 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 53 | 30 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 132 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 63 | 27 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 140 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 66 | 23 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 150 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 222 | 96 | 110 | 1 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 95 | 2 | 0 | 97 | 526 |


| $05: 00 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 81 | 33 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 169 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $05: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 86 | 29 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 166 |
| $05: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 70 | 23 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 147 |
| $05: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 63 | 28 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 141 |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 300 | 113 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 88 | 5 | 0 | 93 | 623 |


| Grand Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 709 | 0 | 720 | 717 | 324 | 1 | 0 | 1042 | 0 | 340 | 13 | 0 | 353 | 2116 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 |  | 1.5 | 0 | 98.5 | 0 |  | 68.8 | 31.1 | 0.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 96.3 | 3.7 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.5 | 0 | 33.5 | 0 | 34 | 33.9 | 15.3 | 0 | 0 | 49.2 | 0 | 16.1 | 0.6 | 0 | 16.7 |  |
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TMC Data
File Name : 45040003
Old Phoenix Rd @ Sparta Hwy (GA16)
Site Code : 45040003
Eatonton, GA
Start Date : 6/15/2021
7-9 AM | 4-6 PM

|  | Private Drwy (Gated) Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Phoenix Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Sparta Hwy (GA16) Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Sparta Hwy (GA16) Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Aps. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Aps. Tomal | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Im. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak I of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 25 | 65 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 124 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 28 | 66 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 132 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 22 | 84 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 146 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 112 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 106 | 273 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 327 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 0 | 81 | 514 |
| \% App. Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.1 |  |  | 83.5 | 16.5 |  |  |  |  | 97.5 |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 500 | . 000 | . 839 | . 000 | . 855 | . 813 | . 675 | . 000 | . 000 | 786 | . 000 | . 859 | . 500 | . 000 | . 844 | . 880 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 07:15 AM <br> Cars, Buses and Trucks |  |
|  |  |  |

TMC Data
Old Phoenix Rd @ Sparta Hwy (GA16)
Eatonton, GA
7-9 AM | 4-6 PM

File Name : 45040003
Site Code : 45040003
Start Date : 6/15/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Private Drwy (Gated) Northbound |  |  |  |  | Old Phoenix Rd Southbound |  |  |  |  | Sparta Hwy (GA16) Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Sparta Hwy (GA16) Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | Asp. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | Peds | App. Toral | Int. Toual |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 66 | 23 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 150 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 81 | 33 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 169 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 86 | 29 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 166 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 70 | 23 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 147 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 298 | 0 | 303 | 108 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 237 | 0 | 85 | 6 | 0 | 91 | 632 |
| \% App. Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98.3 |  |  | 45.6 | 54.4 |  |  |  |  | 93.4 |  |  |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 250 | . 000 | . 000 | 250 | . 625 | . 000 | . 866 | . 000 | 881 | . 818 | . 872 | . 000 | . 000 | . 940 | . 000 | . 787 | 500 | . 000 | . 843 | 935 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> Peak Hour Begins at 04:45 PM <br> Cars, Buses and Trucks |  |
|  |  |  |

Site Code: 45040101 Old Phoenix Rd south of Garrett Dr Eatonton, GA

| Start Time | 15-Jun-21 <br> Tue | Northbound |  | Hour Totals |  | Southbound |  | Hour Totals |  | Combined Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 |  | 0 | 38 |  |  | 0 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | 0 | 25 |  |  | 4 | 38 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | 0 | 31 |  |  | 1 | 40 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:45 |  | 0 | 38 | 0 | 132 | 1 | 22 | 6 | 132 | 6 | 264 |
| 01:00 |  | 0 | 30 |  |  | 1 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | 0 | 41 |  |  | 1 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| 01:30 |  | 0 | 38 |  |  | 1 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | 0 | 37 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 37 | 3 | 130 | 3 | 276 |
| 02:00 |  | 0 | 29 |  |  | 1 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | 1 | 30 |  |  | 2 | 32 |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | 0 | 32 |  |  | 0 | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | 0 | 30 | 1 | 121 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 141 | 4 | 262 |
| 03:00 |  | 0 | 40 |  |  | 0 | 34 |  |  |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | 1 | 31 |  |  | 0 | 54 |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | 2 | 24 |  |  | 0 | 35 |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | 3 | 45 | 6 | 140 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 180 | 6 | 320 |
| 04:00 |  | 0 | 21 |  |  | 1 | 42 |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | 2 | 25 |  |  | 3 | 54 |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | 1 | 25 |  |  | 1 | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | 1 | 26 | 4 | 97 | 1 | 61 | 6 | 222 | 10 | 319 |
| 05:00 |  | 8 | 32 |  |  | 3 | 74 |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | 12 | 32 |  |  | 5 | 89 |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | 13 | 29 |  |  | 0 | 82 |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | 22 | 28 | 55 | 121 | 3 | 60 | 11 | 305 | 66 | 426 |
| 06:00 |  | 22 | 22 |  |  | 4 | 43 |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | 26 | 22 |  |  | 9 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | 38 | 30 |  |  | 9 | 31 |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | 53 | 23 | 139 | 97 | 7 | 21 | 29 | 143 | 168 | 240 |
| 07:00 |  | 54 | 15 |  |  | 11 | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | 51 | 14 |  |  | 27 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | 93 | 24 |  |  | 26 | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | 80 | 8 | 278 | 61 | 21 | 13 | 85 | 97 | 363 | 158 |
| 08:00 |  | 65 | 10 |  |  | 35 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | 59 | 22 |  |  | 28 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | 75 | 16 |  |  | 30 | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | 50 | 7 | 249 | 55 | 23 | 16 | 116 | 62 | 365 | 117 |
| 09:00 |  | 44 | 7 |  |  | 15 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | 40 | 7 |  |  | 31 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | 47 | 6 |  |  | 29 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 09:45 |  | 40 | 9 | 171 | 29 | 30 | 16 | 105 | 42 | 276 | 71 |
| 10:00 |  | 36 | 9 |  |  | 25 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:15 |  | 35 | 11 |  |  | 28 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:30 |  | 32 | 9 |  |  | 34 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:45 |  | 41 | 3 | 144 | 32 | 27 | 11 | 114 | 41 | 258 | 73 |
| 11:00 |  | 34 | 7 |  |  | 25 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 11:15 |  | 34 | 2 |  |  | 36 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 11:30 |  | 37 | 3 |  |  | 31 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 11:45 |  | 30 | 1 | 135 | 13 | 29 | 6 | 121 | 29 | 256 | 42 |
| Total |  | 1182 | 1044 |  |  | 599 | 1524 |  |  | 1781 | 2568 |
| Percent |  | 53.1\% | 46.9\% |  |  | 28.2\% | 71.8\% |  |  | 41.0\% | 59.0\% |
| Grand Total |  | 1182 | 1044 |  |  | 599 | 1524 |  |  | 1781 | 2568 |
| Percent |  | 53.1\% | 46.9\% |  |  | 28.2\% | 71.8\% |  |  | 41.0\% | 59.0\% |
| ADT |  | DT 4,349 |  | DT 4,349 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Site Code: 45040102 Sparta Hwy (GA16) east of Old Phoenix Rd Eatonton, GA

| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15-Jun-21 } \\ \text { Tue } \end{gathered}$ | Eastbound |  | Hour Totals |  | West Morning | ound Afternoon | Hour Totals |  | Combined Totals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12:00 |  | 0 | 14 |  |  | 1 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:15 |  | 1 | 18 |  |  | 0 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 |  | 1 | 18 |  |  | 1 | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:45 |  | 0 | 19 | 2 | 69 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 67 | 4 | 136 |
| 01:00 |  | 1 | 16 |  |  | 0 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 01:15 |  | 1 | 14 |  |  | 0 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 01:30 |  | 1 | 28 |  |  | 0 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 01:45 |  | 0 | 18 | 3 | 76 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 78 | 3 | 154 |
| 02:00 |  | 1 | 17 |  |  | 1 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 02:15 |  | 0 | 19 |  |  | 0 | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 02:30 |  | 0 | 17 |  |  | 0 | 19 |  |  |  |  |
| 02:45 |  | 0 | 26 | 1 | 79 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 70 | 2 | 149 |
| 03:00 |  | 0 | 19 |  |  | 2 | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| 03:15 |  | 1 | 24 |  |  | 1 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 03:30 |  | 1 | 17 |  |  | 1 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 03:45 |  | 7 | 32 | 9 | 92 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 79 | 13 | 171 |
| 04:00 |  | 3 | 23 |  |  | 3 | 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 |  | 1 | 31 |  |  | 2 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 |  | 3 | 24 |  |  | 4 | 26 |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 |  | 0 | 35 | 7 | 113 | 10 | 24 | 19 | 99 | 26 | 212 |
| 05:00 |  | 2 | 32 |  |  | 5 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 05:15 |  | 5 | 33 |  |  | 11 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 05:30 |  | 6 | 39 |  |  | 16 | 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 05:45 |  | 3 | 26 | 16 | 130 | 14 | 26 | 46 | 98 | 62 | 228 |
| 06:00 |  | 16 | 22 |  |  | 17 | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| 06:15 |  | 6 | 16 |  |  | 24 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 06:30 |  | 16 | 24 |  |  | 15 | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 06:45 |  | 9 | 9 | 47 | 71 | 26 | 12 | 82 | 54 | 129 | 125 |
| 07:00 |  | 9 | 17 |  |  | 25 | 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 |  | 10 | 17 |  |  | 27 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 |  | 19 | 15 |  |  | 24 | 11 |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 |  | 22 | 10 | 60 | 59 | 20 | 9 | 96 | 51 | 156 | 110 |
| 08:00 |  | 10 | 8 |  |  | 15 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 08:15 |  | 12 | 15 |  |  | 19 | 9 |  |  |  |  |
| 08:30 |  | 12 | 9 |  |  | 17 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 08:45 |  | 17 | 7 | 51 | 39 | 20 | 4 | 71 | 28 | 122 | 67 |
| 09:00 |  | 18 | 8 |  |  | 18 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 09:15 |  | 16 | 12 |  |  | 26 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 09:30 |  | 17 | 8 |  |  | 10 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 09:45 |  | 16 | 10 | 67 | 38 | 22 | 7 | 76 | 20 | 143 | 58 |
| 10:00 |  | 16 | 11 |  |  | 21 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:15 |  | 14 | 6 |  |  | 18 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:30 |  | 20 | 2 |  |  | 20 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 10:45 |  | 15 | 6 | 65 | 25 | 17 | 1 | 76 | 13 | 141 | 38 |
| 11:00 |  | 22 | 6 |  |  | 9 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 11:15 |  | 14 | 2 |  |  | 18 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 11:30 |  | 18 | 3 |  |  | 24 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 11:45 |  | 8 | 2 | 62 | 13 | 18 | 1 | 69 | 6 | 131 | 19 |
| Total |  | 390 | 804 |  |  | 542 | 663 |  |  | 932 | 1467 |
| Percent |  | 32.7\% | 67.3\% |  |  | 45.0\% | 55.0\% |  |  | 38.8\% | 61.2\% |
| Grand Total |  | 390 | 804 |  |  | 542 | 663 |  |  | 932 | 1467 |
| Percent |  | 32.7\% | 67.3\% |  |  | 45.0\% | 55.0\% |  |  | 38.8\% | 61.2\% |

ADT ADT 2,399 AADT 2,399

Appendix B<br>Intersection Analysis Methodology

## Intersection Analysis Methodology

The methodology used for evaluating traffic operations at intersections is presented in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual, 2016 edition (HCM 6). Synchro 10 software, which emulates the HCM 6 methodology, was used for all analyses. The following is an overview of the methodology employed for the analysis of signalized intersections and roundabouts and stop-sign controlled (unsignalized) intersections. Levels of service (LOS) are assigned letters A through F. LOS A indicates operations with very low control delay while LOS F describes operations with high control delay. LOS F is considered to be unacceptable by most drivers, while LOS E is typically considered to be the limit of acceptable delay.

Signalized Intersections and Roundabouts - Level of service for a signalized intersection and a roundabout is defined in terms of control delay per vehicle. For signalized intersections and roundabouts, a composite intersection level of service is determined. The thresholds for each level of service are higher for signalized intersections and roundabouts than for unsignalized intersections. This is attributable to a variety of factors including expectation and acceptance of higher delays at signals/roundabouts, and the fact that drivers can relax when waiting at a signal as opposed to having to remain attentive as they proceed through the unsignalized intersection. The level of service criteria for signalized intersections and roundabouts are shown in Table A.

Table A - Level of Service Criteria for Signalized Intersections and Roundabouts

| Control Delay (s/veh) | LOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $>10$ A <br> $>10$ and $\leq 20$ B <br> $>20$ and $\leq 35$ C <br> $>35$ and $\leq 55$ D <br> $>55$ and $\leq 80$ E <br> $>80$ F <br> Source: Highway Capacity Manual 6  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Unsignalized Intersections - Level of service for an unsignalized intersection is defined in terms of control delay per vehicle. Control delay is that portion of delay attributable to the control device and includes initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. The delays at unsignalized intersections are based on gap acceptance theory, factoring in availability of gaps, usefulness of the gaps, and the priority of right-of-way given to each traffic stream. The level of service criteria for unsignalized intersections are presented in Table B.

Table B - Level of Service Criteria for Unsignalized Intersections

| Control Delay (s/veh) | LOS |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-10$ | A |
| $\gg 10$ and $\leq 15$ | B |
| $>15$ and $\leq 25$ | C |
| $>25$ and $\leq 35$ | D |
| $>35$ and $\leq 50$ | E |
| $>50$ | F |
| Source: Highway Capacity Manual 6 |  |

Appendix C

Existing Intersection Operational Analysis

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
1: Old Phoenix Road \& New Phoenix Road
existing a.m.


| Mcvement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, ver/h | 5 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 78 | 6 | 284 | 1 | 19 | 100 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 18 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 78 | 6 | 284 | 1 | 19 | 100 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 23 | 10 | 3 | 23 | 105 | 7 | 316 | 1 | 26 | 135 | 3 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conficting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.5 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 10.7 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLII | WBin1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $2 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $98 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 291 | 31 | 97 | 121 |
| LT Vol | 6 | 5 | 2 | 19 |
| Through Vol | 284 | 18 | 17 | 100 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 8 | 78 | 2 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 323 | 40 | 131 | 164 |
| Geometry Gpo | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.407 | 0.056 | 0.168 | 0.215 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.531 | 5.109 | 4.626 | 4.725 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 792 | 697 | 772 | 757 |
| Service Time | 2.571 | 3.169 | 2.676 | 2.771 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.408 | 0.057 | 0.17 | 0.217 |
| HCM Control Delay | 10.7 | 8.5 | 8.6 | 9.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
2: GA 16 \& Old Phoenix Road




Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
3: Garrett Drive \& New Phoenix Road



| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.2 | 9.2 |
| HCM LOS |  | A |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | WBT

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
1: Old Phoenix Road \& New Phoenix Road
existing p.m.


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 23 | 10 | 5 | 16 | 29 | 7 | 111 | 6 | 69 | 297 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 23 | 10 | 5 | 16 | 29 | 7 | 111 | 6 | 69 | 297 | 8 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 31 | 13 | 6 | 21 | 37 | 8 | 132 | 7 | 83 | 358 | 10 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.7 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 12.8 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, $\%$ | $6 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $90 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $5 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 124 | 39 | 50 | 374 |
| LT Vol | 7 | 6 | 5 | 69 |
| Through Vol | 111 | 23 | 16 | 297 |
| RT Vol | 6 | 10 | 29 | 8 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 148 | 52 | 64 | 451 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.193 | 0.076 | 0.09 | 0.553 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.696 | 5.252 | 5.029 | 4.42 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 762 | 679 | 709 | 816 |
| Service Time | 2.741 | 3.312 | 3.086 | 2.455 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.194 | 0.077 | 0.09 | 0.553 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.9 | 8.7 | 8.6 | 12.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | B |
| HCM 95tht-ile Q | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.4 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
2: GA 16 \& Old Phoenix Road



Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
3: Garrett Drive \& New Phoenix Road
existing p.m.



## Appendix D

No-Build Intersection Operational Analysis

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
1: Old Phoenix Road \& New Phoenix Road


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | SBR


| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Opposing Approach | WB | EB | SB | NB |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB | NB | EB | WB |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB | SB | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.6 | 8.8 | 11.1 | 9.3 |
| HCM LOS | A | A | B | A |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $3 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $97 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 303 | 33 | 107 | 127 |
| LT Vol | 9 | 5 | 2 | 22 |
| Through Vol | 293 | 19 | 19 | 103 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 9 | 86 | 2 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 337 | 42 | 145 | 172 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.429 | 0.061 | 0.188 | 0.229 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.589 | 5.179 | 4.688 | 4.794 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 781 | 687 | 761 | 745 |
| Service Time | 2.636 | 3.249 | 2.746 | 2.848 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.431 | 0.061 | 0.191 | 0.231 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.1 | 8.6 | 8.8 | 9.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
2: GA 16 \& Old Phoenix Road

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | 4 | F |  | \% | F |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 275 | 54 | 79 | 3 | 4 | 111 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 275 | 54 | 79 | 3 | 4 | 111 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 320 | - | - | - | 0 | 200 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 79 | 79 | 84 | 84 | 86 | 86 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 4 | 19 | 19 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mvmt Flow | 348 | 68 | 94 | 4 | 5 | 129 |


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 98 | 0 | - | 0 | 860 | 96 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 96 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 764 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.14 | - | - | - | 6.44 | 6.24 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.44 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.44 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.236 | - | - | - | 3.536 | 3.336 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1483 | - | - | - | 324 | 955 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 923 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 456 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1483 | - | - | - | 248 | 955 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 248 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 706 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 456 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 6.8 |  | 0 |  | 9.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL EBT |  | WBT WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1483 | - | - | - | 248 | 955 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.235 | - | - | - | 0.019 | 0.135 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.2 | - | - | - | 19.8 | 9.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | - | - | - | C | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.5 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
3: Garrett Drive \& New Phoenix Road


| Major/Minor | Major1 |  | Major2 | Minor1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 0 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 170 | 53 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | . | - | 53 | . |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 117 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | - | - | 4.12 | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | - | 2.218 | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1535 | - | 820 | 1014 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | . | 970 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 908 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% | - | - |  | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | - | - | 1535 | - | 818 | 1014 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 818 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 970 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 905 | - |  |


| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0 | 0.2 | 9.4 |
| HCM LOS |  | A |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBLn1 | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 861 | - | -1535 | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.04 | - | -0.002 | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 9.4 | - | - | 7.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.1 | - | - | 0 | - |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
1: Old Phoenix Road \& New Phoenix Road
no-build p.m.


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 26 | 13 | 5 | 17 | 34 | 9 | 117 | 6 | 78 | 307 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 26 | 13 | 5 | 17 | 34 | 9 | 117 | 6 | 78 | 307 | 8 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 35 | 17 | 6 | 22 | 44 | 11 | 139 | 7 | 94 | 370 | 10 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.9 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 13.8 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, $\%$ | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $89 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $5 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 132 | 45 | 56 | 393 |
| TTVol | 9 | 6 | 5 | 78 |
| Through Vol | 117 | 26 | 17 | 307 |
| RT Vol | 6 | 13 | 34 | 8 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 157 | 60 | 72 | 473 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.209 | 0.089 | 0.102 | 0.59 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.78 | 5.326 | 5.108 | 4.482 |
| Convergence, YN | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 746 | 668 | 696 | 805 |
| Service Time | 2.834 | 3.399 | 3.18 | 2.523 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.103 | 0.588 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.1 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 13.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | B |
| HCM 95th-ile Q | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 3.9 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
2: GA 16 \& Old Phoenix Road



Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
3: Garrett Drive \& New Phoenix Road



## Appendix E

Future Intersection Operational Analysis

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
1: Old Phoenix Road \& New Phoenix Road

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 10.3 |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |  | 4 |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 19 | 86 | 11 | 310 | 1 | 22 | 108 | 2 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 19 | 10 | 2 | 19 | 86 | 11 | 310 | 1 | 22 | 108 | 2 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.74 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 24 | 13 | 3 | 26 | 116 | 12 | 344 | 1 | 30 | 146 | 3 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.7 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  | 9.4 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $3 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $17 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $96 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $82 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 322 | 34 | 107 | 132 |
| LT Vol | 11 | 5 | 2 | 22 |
| Through Vol | 310 | 19 | 19 | 108 |
| RT Vol | 1 | 10 | 86 | 2 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 358 | 44 | 145 | 178 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.458 | 0.063 | 0.191 | 0.239 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.605 | 5.235 | 4.756 | 4.824 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 780 | 678 | 749 | 740 |
| Service Time | 2.657 | 3.313 | 2.819 | 2.886 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.459 | 0.065 | 0.194 | 0.241 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.5 | 8.7 | 9 | 9.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2.4 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.9 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
2: GA 16 \& Old Phoenix Road



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1481 | - | - | - | 245 | 954 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.237 | - | - | -0.033 | 0.145 |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | - | - | -20.2 | 9.4 |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | C | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.9 | - | - | - | 0.1 | 0.5 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
3: Garrett Drive \& New Phoenix Road



Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
4: Old Phoenix Road \& project access


| Approach | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.1 | 0 | 0.4 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -625 | 1223 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.06 | 0.007 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 11.1 | 8 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision 1: Old Phoenix Road \& New Phoenix Road

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 12.6 |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 26 | 15 | 5 | 17 | 34 | 10 | 128 | 6 | 78 | 325 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 26 | 15 | 5 | 17 | 34 | 10 | 128 | 6 | 78 | 325 | 8 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.83 | 0.83 | 0.83 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 8 | 35 | 20 | 6 | 22 | 44 | 12 | 152 | 7 | 94 | 392 | 10 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 9 |  |  | 8.9 |  |  | 9.3 |  |  | 14.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $89 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $79 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $4 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 144 | 47 | 56 | 411 |
| LT Vol | 10 | 6 | 5 | 78 |
| Through Vol | 128 | 26 | 17 | 325 |
| RT Vol | 6 | 15 | 34 | 8 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 171 | 63 | 72 | 495 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.229 | 0.094 | 0.104 | 0.62 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.819 | 5.393 | 5.198 | 4.509 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 741 | 659 | 683 | 797 |
| Service Time | 2.88 | 3.471 | 3.275 | 2.555 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.231 | 0.096 | 0.105 | 0.621 |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.3 | 9 | 8.9 | 14.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 4.4 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
2: GA 16 \& Old Phoenix Road



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1462 | - | - | - | 473 |
| 940 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.09 | - | - | -0.019 | 0.371 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | - | - | - | 12.8 |
| 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.3 | - | - | - | 0.1 |

Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
3: Garrett Drive \& New Phoenix Road
future p.m.



| Approach | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 0 | 1.4 | 9.4 |
| HCM LOS |  | A |  |



Old Phoenix Road Subdivision
4: Old Phoenix Road \& project access
future p.m.



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -668 | 1407 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.041 | 0.017 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 10.6 | 7.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | 0 |  |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.1 | 0.1 |

Civil Engineering • Land Planning • Land Surveying

June 24, 2021 (revised 6/29/2021- open space)

## IMPACT ANALYSIS (IA) <br> PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT OLD PHOENIX TRACT

1.a. The proposed use is consistent with the stated purpose of singlefamily residential development, effectively an additional phase to the adjoining development "Phoenix Crossing" Phases I and II.
1.b. The proposed use of the subject property is generally the same use and conditions of the adjoining "Phoenix Crossing" development approved and constructed a few years ago.
1.c. In my professional opinion the proposed use, identical to the adjacent development to the north. Said development has been quite the success and an additional phase intended to the south will likely benefit the "Phoenix Crossing" development. Likewise, the proposed development will have no adverse impact to the undeveloped property to the south and east, rather it will likely stimulate further like kind of a development which too will meet or exceed protection of streams, wetlands and stormwater management.
1.d. I believe the intent of the Comprehensive Plan would welcome such low to mid density developments as proposed and previously approved in the past, thus it should be accepted as compatible.
1.e. This vacant property could certainly remain in its current state; however, the current state does not depict the best and economical use of said property, thus the property currently as it effectively has no marketable use.
1.f. Based on recent developments adjacent and near this property a similar development as proposed will not have any excessive or burdensome use of public facilities or services or exceed the present or funded capabilities, included but not limited to streets, water or sewer utilities and police or fire protection. Rather, this proposed development will provide safe streets, setbacks and additional access to the adjacent community, creating open space, preservation of environmental resources. The streets will be sufficient to allow appropriate public safety (fire/police) access to new homeowners. All proposed infrastructure of streets, sanitary sewer, fire and domestic water, stormwater facilities and such will be funded solely by the developer. No city or county funds will be requested or needed.
1.g. In my professional opinion the proposed use is supported by the approval of similar developments nearby and adjacent. The proposed use is consistent with Comp Plan and precedence.
1.h. The proposed use as indicated on the zoning exhibits, traffic study, environmental study as well as my experience with the design and engineering of such developments are purposely conceived with a reasonable balance with the promotion of public health, safety, and a reasonable use of the property.
2. A Traffic Impact Study at Proposed Old Phoenix Road Residential Subdivision has been prepared by Marc R. Acampora, Traffic Engineering and provided with the entire zoning package.
3. The number of proposed dwelling units is 53 total. We believe the development would likely reflect approximately $32 \%$ open space net of storm water management areas. In any case, a minimum of $20 \%$ will be provided.
4. The environmental study prepared by a consultant indicated that there is a small stream and limited wetland areas (sources indicated in study to per provided as part of the application docs) which will be prepared and corroborated with the US Army Corps of Engineers prior to any land disturbance. Further Storm Water Management and erosion control BMP will be designed and implemented such that there would be no adverse to the environment, natural or historic, of surrounding the area to be rezoned.
5. The proposed development will have streets wider than that required per Appendix D of the Fire Apparatus Access Roads document. On street parking will not be allowed and ample parking on each home site will allow free and easy access to fire routes and access to homes.
6. The topography of the property is gentle and quite conducive to such a development. The one stream identified by the environmental consultant indicates a small relatively gentle gradient and will be protected and preserved during development. The road crossing of said creek will be coordinated and separately permitted by the USACOE.
7. The land use to the north is the essentially built out development named Phoenix Crossing, zoned R-1R and parcels to the south are generally vacant and zoned AG-1

Daryl R. Cook, P.E.
Watts \& Browning Engineers, Inc.




## File Attachments for Item:

7. Request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2 [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4] (staff-P\&D)

## Request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2. [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4].

## PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT-LISA JACKSON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant is requesting to rezone 5.40 acres from $\mathrm{R}-1$ to $\mathrm{R}-2$. He plans to combine this lot with the adjacent R-2 property he owns, identified as Map 111, Parcel 049. To combine the parcels, they must be the same zoning status. The surrounding lots on this street are all zoned $\mathrm{R}-2$ with an $\mathrm{R}-1$ neighborhood directly behind them. The proposed use is consistent with the allowed uses, as listed in Sec. 66-84-Uses allowed of the R-2 zoning district. This rezoning to R-2 will not adversely impact the use of public facilities or services. The Future Land Use Concept plan lists the property as residential. Additionally, the proposed use will not adversely the existing use, value, or useability of adjacent or nearby properties.



Staff recommendation is for approval to rezone 5.40 acres from $\mathbf{R - 1}$ to $\mathbf{R - 2}$ on Emory Drive [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4].

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning \& Zoning Commission's recommendation is for approval to rezone 5.40 acres from $R-1$ to $R-2$ on Emory Drive [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4].

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION MINUTES:

The Putnam County Planning \& Zoning Commission conducted a public hearing on Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 6:30 PM in the Putnam County Administration Building, 117 Putnam Drive, Room 203, Eatonton, Georgia.

Present: Martha Farley, Maurice Hill, Jr., Tim Pierson, John Mitchell
Staff Present: Lisa Jackson, Courtney Andrews and Kenteria Williams
Request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2. [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4]. * Mr. Duane Gentes represented this request. He stated that he owns two pieces of property, and he would like to join them together. He would like to rezone the 5.40 acres to R-2. He added that he wanted to place a garage on the property, but he couldn't because the 5.40-acre lot didn't have a primary residence. It will be the same zoning as the property where his home is located. No one spoke in opposition of this request.

Staff recommendation is for approval to rezone 5.40 acres from $R-1$ to $R-2$ on Emory Drive [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4].

Motion to approve the request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2 made by Member Mitchell and seconded by Member Hill.
Voting Yea: Vice-Chairman Pierson, Member Hill, Member Farley, Member Mitchell

5. Request by Wallace Gerald Wright for a side yard setback variance at 149 Collis Marina Road. Presently zoned R-1 [Map 104B, Parcel 013, District 3].
6. Request by Thomas \& Gwen Ralston for a rear yard setback variance at 189 S. Spring Road. Presently zoned R-2 [Map 115C, Parcel 019, District 3].
7. Request by Thomas W Gardner for a side and rear yard setback variance at 348 A Cold Branch Road. Presently zoned R-2 [Map 112C, Parcel 009, District 4].
8. Request by Mt. Pleasant Baptist Church for a side yard setback variance at 1628 Godfrey Road NW. Presently zoned AG. [Map 016, Parcel 015, District 1].
9. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, Agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP for a side yard setback variance on Old Phoenix Road. Presently zoned AG. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].
10. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone 29.54 on Old Phoenix Road from AG to R-PUD. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].*
11. Request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2. [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4].*
12. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3].*
13. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road Parcel A from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3].*

## APPLICATION FOR REZONING

## if REZONING

## application no. PLAN ZO21-01334 MAP / / 1 PARCEL 00/044 <br> 1. Owner Name: Dupable A. Gentes

 DATE: $6 / 24 / 21$ZONING DISTRICT $\qquad$ RI
2. Applicant Name (If different from above):

3. Mailing Address: $\square$
4. Email Address: $\qquad$
5. Phone: (home) $\qquad$ (office) $\qquad$ (cell)
6. The location of the subject property, including street number, if any: $\qquad$ Emory Dr.
7. The area of land proposed to be rezoned (stated in square feet if less than one acre):

```
5.40
```

8. The proposed zoning district desired:

9. The purpose of this rezoning is (Attach Letter of Intent)

10. Present use of property: $R /$

Desired use of property: $R \mathcal{Z}$
11. Existing zoning district classification of the property and adjacent properties:

Existing:
North: $\qquad$ East: $\qquad$ West: $\qquad$
12. Copy of warranty deed for proof of ownership and if not owned by applicant, please attach a signed and notarized letter of agency from each property owner for all property sought to be rezoned.
13. Legal description and recorded plat of the property to be rezoned.
14. The Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map category in which the property is located. (If more than one category applies, the areas in each category are to be illustrated on the concept plan. See concept plan insert.):
15. A detailed description of existing land uses: $\qquad$ RAw HANd
16. Source of domestic water supply: well $\qquad$ , community water $\qquad$ , or private provider $\qquad$ . If source is not an existing system, please provide a letter from provider.

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

## 117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024

Tel: 706-485-2776 $\bigcirc$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
17. Provision for sanitary sewage disposal: septic system $\sqrt{ }$, or sewer ___. If sewer, please provide name of company providing same, or, if new development, provide a letter from sewer provider.
18. Complete attachment of Disclosure of Campaign Contributions Form by the applicant and/or the applicant's attorney as required by the Georgia Conflict of Interest in Zoning Act (O.C.G.A. 36-67A).
19. The application designation, date of application and action taken on all prior applications filed for rezoning for all or part of the subject property. (Please attach on separate sheet.)
20. Proof that property taxes for the parcels) in question have been paid.
21. Concept plan.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, a concept plan need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- A concept plan may be required for commercial development at director's discretion

22. Impact analysis.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, an impact analysis need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- An Impact analysis (including a traffic study) is required when rezoning from residential zoned or used property to commercial or industrial districts.

THE ABOVE STATEMENTS AND ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS ARE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. APPLICANT HEREBY GRANTS PERMISSION FOR PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PERSONNEL OR ANY LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF PUTNAM COUNTY TO ENTER UPON AND INSPECT THE PROPERTY FOR ALL PURPOSES ALLOWED AND REQUIRED BY THE PUTNAM


My name is Duane Gentes, I own the property at 000 Emory Dr. Map 111 Parcel 001044. I would like to rezone it from R1 to R2 so that I can combine it to the adjacent property which I own Map 111A Parcel 049.

Thanks


Duane Gentes

DOC\# 004995
FILED IN OFFICE $11,03 / 200503 \mathrm{~m}$ - F FM BK $=634 \quad \mathrm{FG}=601-692$ SHEILA H. FERRY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT Putnam Co Clerk of Court

After recording, please return to: Dorothy J. Adams, Esq. ADAMS \& FORD, LIP 108 West Marion Street Eatonton, Georgia 31024 706.485.2003
djeciadamsfordiaw.csem

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE RESERVED FOR Chishux surv fl
REAL RESTATE TRANSFER TAX PAID $=\$ 9.00$ PFC) 117-2009-0013 45

## Warranty Deed

State of Georgia, County of Putnam
This Indenture, made this $23^{\text {RD }}$ day of October, 2009, between Shirley Turner, f/K/a Shirley Wanda Nogales and Shirley Lee Nogales, of Gwinnett County, Georgia, as party of the first part, hereinafter called Granter, and DUANE GENTES, as party of the second part, hereinafter called Grantee, (the words "Grantor" and "Grantee" to include their respective heirs, successors, and assigns where the context requires or permits).

Witnesseth: Granter, for and in consideration of the sum of Ten Dollars ( $\$ 10.00$ ) and other good and valuable consideration, in hand paid at and before the sealing and delivery of these presents, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, has granted, bargained, sold, aliened, conveyed, and confirmed, and by these presents does hereby grant, bargain, sell, alien, convey, and confirm unto the said Grantee, the following described property, to-wit:
[See Exhibit " $A$ " attached hereto]
To Have And To How the said lot, tract, or parcel of land, with all and singular the rights, members, and appurtenances thereof, to the same being, belonging, or in anywise appertaining, to the only proper use, benefit, and behoof of the said Grantee forever in Fee Simple.

And The Said Grantor will warrant and forever defend the right and title to the above-described property unto the said Grantee against the claims of all persons whomsoever.

In Wines Whereof, the Grantor has signed and sealed this deed, the day and year first above written.


Signed, sealed, and delivered in

All that tract or parcel of land, together with any improvements located thereon, situate, lying, and being in the $313^{\text {th }}$ GMD, Putnam County, Georgia, known and designated as 1.158 acres as shown on that certain property survey prepared by Marshall Company, dated August 20, 1987 and recorded in Plat Book 14, Page 134, Clerk's Office, Putnam Superior Court, said plat and the record thereof incorporated herein and made a part hereof by reference thereto.

This is the same property as that described in that certain warranty deed recorded in Deed Book 7-C, Page 304, aforesaid records; this conveyance includes the interest in the access area shown on plat at Plat Book 12, Page 79 as set out in that warranty deed (. 06 share per acre owned), and this property and conveyance is subject to the protective covenants set out in said deed.



PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT
117 Putnam Drive, Suite B 0 Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond 706-485-0552$ fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANT'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name:
2. Address:

3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No If-yes, who did you make the contributions to? :


$\begin{aligned} & \text { FROM PAMELA K LAANCASTER } \\ & \text { PUTNAM COUNTY TAX COMM } \\ & 100 \text { S JEFFERSON ST \# } 207\end{aligned}$
EATONTON GA 31024 DUEINFULLBY 12/01/2020


FROM PAMELA K. LANCASTER
PUTNAM COUNTY TAX COMM
100 S JEFFERSON ST \# 207
EATONTON GA 31024 DUEINFULLBY 12/01/2020


FROM PAMELA K. LAANCASTER
PUTNAM COUNTY TAX COMM 100 S JEFFERSON ST \# 207 EATONTON GA 31024



FROM PAMELA K. LANCASTER
PUTNAM COUNTY TAX COMM
100 S JEFFERSON ST \# 207
EATONTON GA 31024
DUE IN FULL BY
12/01/2020

## A qPublic.net ${ }^{\text {( }}$ Putnam County, GA





## File Attachments for Item:

8. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of M iddle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD [M ap 103, Parcel 001001, District 3] (staff-P\&D)

## Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG-C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3].

## PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT-LISA JACKSON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant is requesting to rezone 66.56 acres from AG to C-PUD. If approved, the subject property will be combined with the interior property (Map 103, Parcel 001). The purpose of rezoning this property is to develop a new, mixed-use development to support the non-profit mission and vision of Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia's Helms College expansion. Helms Career Institute was established in 2007 as a private, independent, post-secondary career school that provides experiential learning and career education for workforce development. The proposed development is a part of a strategic plan for expansion that supports the college's future growth to meet local talent needs in high-demand occupations. The proposed development will include retail and hotel components supporting and complimenting degrees sponsored by Helms College, residential units for students, recreation amenities such as tennis courts, jogging trails, agritourism, a conference retreat center with restaurants. The agritourism aspect will include gardens and farms that will provide hands-on experience for students and community members to learn about the farm-to-table philosophies and offerings.

According to the traffic study, the proposed development will consist of the following: a Goodwill store; Helms College for 50 students; Edgar's Bakery; retailing including a spa; a high-turn-over sit-down restaurant; a supermarket; 127 multi-family residential units; 18 student housing units; 41 vacation villas; an event/banquet hall, and a 175 -room hotel. Piedmont Water will provide water and sewer. As proposed, the development will be completed in four phases as determined by the market conditions and demand. Phase one will consist of the Goodwill store, Helms College for 25 students, Edgar's Bakery, and 3,400 square feet of retail is to be completed by 2023. The second phase will include an additional 25 students to the college, 11,200 square feet of retail, 42 multifamily units, and 6 student housing units to be finished in 2024. Phases three and four will consist of 12,320 square feet of retail, 10,600 square feet of a sit-down restaurant, a supermarket, 85 Multi-family residential units, 12 student housing units, 41 vacation villas, an event/banquet hall, and a 175 -room hotel.

The traffic analysis projects that the total site-generated trips will be 10,975 , and the mixed-use reduction is 1,814 . Thus the 24 -hour total volume of two-way traffic will be 9,161 . However, it concludes that the most significant impact from the proposed development will be during the am and pm peak hours. There will be a maximum of 29 vehicles turning left at either driveway, 35 vehicles turning right at the northern driveway, and 140 at the southern driveway. The turning movement will average approximately 1 to 2 vehicles per minute, according to the study. It further adds that the intersection of Harmony Rd., SR 44, and Old Phoenix Rd. currently has a large volume of right-turn movement during peak hours. There are long delays at this intersection, given that there is no dedicated
right-turn lane. Although delays are in occurrence, the study states that there will be no significant impact on the traffic if the developer will construct left-turn lanes and deceleration lanes. In addition, the current delays will improve once GDOT completes the proposed SR 44 widening project.

There will be two full-access entrances on Harmony Road identified as Driveway One (southern) and Driveway Two (northern). The study further recommends that the first driveway has two entering and two exit lanes while the second driveway has one entering and two exit lanes. The following is recommended for each driveway: the eastbound lane approach should have a separate left and right-turn lane for exiting traffic; a northbound left-turn lane to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic; a southbound deceleration lane to be installed on Harmony Road for entering traffic. The subject property is adjacent to a combination of residential, commercial, and agriculture zoned properties. It fronts Harmony Road, which is a main arterial road. The property also has frontage on Lake Drive and Lakemore Drive.

As stated in Sec. 66-115(b) of the Putnam County Code of Ordinances, the C-PUD zoning allows more than one type of use in a building or set of buildings, including some combination of residential and selective nonresidential uses such as commercial, office and institutional uses. Furthermore, the proposed development is consistent with the existing residential, multi-family, and commercial developments in this area. The comprehensive plan matches the proposed use for future residential and mixed-use development. In the final DRI report, the Middle Georgia Regional Commission noted that the proposed development site lies within an area of projected rapid growth as identified in the 2016 Regional Plan. The report recommends that the local government take action early to ensure that growth occurs in a manner that makes it possible to provide necessary public services.

By implementing the required conditions, the proposed project should have minimal impact on the adjacent properties, roads, and nearby intersections. There is no evidence that the proposed development would cause excessive or burdensome use of public services, nor should it adversely affect police, fire protection, or sewer services. If approved, the staff recommends that the developer should install a deceleration lane and left-turn lane at the main entrances of the development. There shall be no entrance on Lakemore Drive and only an emergency gated entrance on Lake Drive.



## Staff recommendation is for approval to rezone 66.56 acres from AG to C-PUD at 916 Harmony Road [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3] with the following conditions:

(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane and turn lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the two main entrances on Harmony Road. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county. It shall be completed by the developer prior to the completion of phase one.
(2) The developer shall direct construction traffic through the second main entrance located the farthest away from the intersection of Harmony Road and Hwy 44.
(3) There shall be no car or truck entrance/exit located on Lakemore Road. There shall be a locked gate for golf cart entrance only.
(4) Only a gated and locked Emergency Exit shall be located on Lake Drive.

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning \& Zoning Commission's recommendation is for approval to rezone 66.56 acres from AG to C-PUD at 916 Harmony Road [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3] with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane and turn lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the two main entrances on Harmony Road. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county. It shall be completed by the developer prior to the completion of phase one.
(2) The developer shall direct construction traffic through the second main entrance located the farthest away from the intersection of Harmony Road and Hwy 44.
(3) There shall be no car or truck entrance/exit located on Lakemore Road. There shall be a locked gate for golf cart entrance only.
(4) Only a gated and locked Emergency Exit shall be located on Lake Drive.

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION MINUTES:

The Putnam County Planning \& Zoning Commission conducted a public hearing on Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 6:30 PM in the Putnam County Administration Building, 117 Putnam Drive, Room 203, Eatonton, Georgia.

## Present: Martha Farley, Maurice Hill, Jr., Tim Pierson, John Mitchell Staff Present: Lisa Jackson, Courtney Andrews and Kenteria Williams

The following items 12-13 were heard as one before the board.
Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3]. * Mr.

James Stiff represented this request. He stated that he was the president of Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia. They are requesting to rezone the 71 acres so that they can complete the purchase of the property on Harmony Road, to create a campus for Goodwill and Helms College. Helms College is affiliated with Goodwill. He added that they came to Putnam County in 2010 with the Goodwill store and has since expanded to Milledgeville. They would like to grow their presence on the lake. The had a plan to create an agritourism campus, where the students could learn about culinary agriculture and be involved with an agritourism business. They would also have applied learning on the farm that would be a part of the campus, and an applied learning area for students in the school of hospitality. He explained that they are developing a bachelor's degree in hospitality management. It would be applied learning in a hotel and villas. Mr. Stiff stated that they were working on an agreement to develop a hotel that would allow for planned applied learning for the students. The front of the property would have a town center where they would create new economic energy for the community. He added that they would also have Goodwill businesses and relocate the Goodwill retail store from Lake Oconee Parkway. He stated that he has had community outreach meetings and gained a lot of insight on the project. Mrs. Ellen Garland explained that the proposed development includes: a town center, green space for community gatherings, retail, restaurants, institutional use for Helms College, Hotel and Retreat Center, gardens, plants, outdoor amenities, as well as a housing component. She added that the project is expected to move forward in multiple stages and will be completed based on market conditions. Mrs. Garland stated that they intend on implementing staff recommendations based on the traffic study. She added that the only additional request they had was to use Lakemore for gated golf cart access. Member Mitchell asked Mrs. Garland to explain the duration of the phases. Mrs. Garland stated that they were expecting a 3-4-year buildout with multiple phases. This will be based on the market conditions for the various uses.

At this time those who signed in to speak in favor of the request, were given 3 minutes.

## Rush Atly <br> Tom Fry

At this time those who signed in to speak in opposition of the request, were given 3 minutes.

## Tammy Calloway

At this time Mr. Stiff used the remainder of his time. He thanked those who spoke on their behalf and assured the homeowners in opposition that they will follow all requirements of the county. He stated that they own a farm in Grovetown and are involved in some of the things Mrs. Calloway mentioned. He added that they are working with a third party for the hotel. Mr. Stiff explained that the students will only be there when they have applied learning
when it is appropriate for their curriculum. There will be professional teams that will operate the various enterprises. He stated that their goal is to exceed expectations.

Staff recommendation is for approval to rezone 66.56 acres from AG to C-PUD at 916 Harmony Road [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3] with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane and turn lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the two main entrances on Harmony Road. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county. It shall be completed by the developer prior to the completion of phase one.
(2) The developer shall direct construction traffic through the second main entrance located the farthest away from the intersection of Harmony Road and Hwy 44.
(3) There shall be no car or truck entrance/exit located on Lakemore Road. There shall be a locked gate for golf cart entrance only.
(4) Only a gated and locked Emergency Exit shall be located on Lake Drive.

Motion to approve the request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane and turn lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the two main entrances on Harmony Road. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county. It shall be completed by the developer prior to the completion of phase one.
(2) The developer shall direct construction traffic through the second main entrance located the farthest away from the intersection of Harmony Road and Hwy 44.
(3) There shall be no car or truck entrance/exit located on Lakemore Road. There shall be a locked gate for golf cart entrance only.
(4) Only a gated and locked Emergency Exit shall be located on Lake Drive.
made by Member Hill and seconded by Member Mitchell.
Voting Yea: Vice-Chairman Pierson, Member Hill, Member Farley, Member Mitchell

5. Request by Wallace Gerald Wright for a side yard setback variance at 149 Collis Marina Road. Presently zoned R-1 [Map 104B, Parcel 013, District 3].
6. Request by Thomas \& Gwen Ralston for a rear yard setback variance at 189 S. Spring Road. Presently zoned R-2 [Map 115C, Parcel 019, District 3].
7. Request by Thomas W Gardner for a side and rear yard setback variance at 348 A Cold Branch Road. Presently zoned R-2 [Map 112C, Parcel 009, District 4].
8. Request by Mt. Pleasant Baptist Church for a side yard setback variance at 1628 Godfrey Road NW. Presently zoned AG. [Map 016, Parcel 015, District 1].
9. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, Agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP for a side yard setback variance on Old Phoenix Road. Presently zoned AG. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].
10. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone 29.54 on Old Phoenix Road from AG to R-PUD. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].*
11. Request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2. [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4].*
12. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3].*
13. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road Parcel A from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3].*

## APPLICATION FOR REZONING

## REZONING

APPLICATION NO.
MAP $\qquad$ PARCEL 103001001

June 24, 2021
ZONING DISTRICT A5 -Agricultural AC 128

1. Owner Name: Peggy Allen, Susan Fox
2. Applicant Name (If different from above): James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc.
3. Mailing Address: 3145 Washington Road, Augusta GA 30907
4. Email Address: jstif@goodwillworks.org
5. Phone: (home) $\qquad$ (office) 706.854.4769 (cell)
6. The location of the subject property, including street number, if any: 916 Harmony Road, Eatonton, GA 31024 Parcel B
7. The area of land proposed to be rezoned (stated in square feet if less than one acre): 66.557 Acres
8. The proposed zoning district desired: C-PUD
9. The purpose of this rezoning is (Attach Letter of Intent)

Please see enclosed Letter of Intent, Purpose and Impact Statement.
10. Present use of property: Agricultural \& Residential

Desired use of property: Mixed Use
11. Existing zoning district classification of the property and adjacent properties:

Existing: $\qquad$
North: Residential South: Agricultural
East: $\underline{\text { Commercial } \text { West: Residential }}$
12. Copy of warranty deed for proof of ownership and if not owned by applicant, please attach a signed and notarized letter of agency from each property owner for all property sought to be rezoned.
13. Legal description and recorded plat of the property to be rezoned.
14. The Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map category in which the property is located. (If more than one category applies, the areas in each category are to be illustrated on the concept plan. See concept plan insert.):
15. A detailed description of existing land uses:

Existing zoning is agricultural with a residential unit on-site. There is a single family home on the property and a horse barn and pasture.
16. Source of domestic water supply: well $\qquad$ , community water $\qquad$ , or private provider $\times$. If source is not an existing system, please provide a letter from provider.

PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT
117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
17. Provision for sanitary sewage disposal: septic system $\qquad$ , or sewer $X$ If sewer, please provide name of company providing same, or, if new development, provide a letter from sewer provider.
18. Complete attachment of Disclosure of Campaign Contributions Form by the applicant and/or the applicant's attorney as required by the Georgia Conflict of Interest in Zoning Act (O.C.G.A. 36-67A).
19. The application designation, date of application and action taken on all prior applications filed for rezoning for all or part of the subject property. (Please attach on separate sheet.)
20. Proof that property taxes for the parcel(s) in question have been paid.
21. Concept plan.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, a concept plan need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- A concept plan may be required for commercial development at director's discretion

22. Impact analysis.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, an impact analysis need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- An Impact analysis (including a traffic study) is required when rezoning from residential zoned or used property to commercial or industrial districts.

THE ABOVE STATEMENTS AND ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS ARE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. APPLICANT HEREBY GRANTS PERMISSION FOR PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PERSONNIEL OR ANY LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF PUTNAM COUNTY TO ENTER UPON AND INSPECT THE PROPERTY FOR ALL PURPOSES ALLOWED AND REQUIRED BY. THE PUTNAM


Paid: \$ $\qquad$ (cash) $\qquad$ Receipt No.
Date Application Received:
$\qquad$ (check) x Date Paid:

Reviewed for completeness by: Date of BOC hearing: $\qquad$ Date submitted to newspaper:
Date sign posted on property: $\qquad$ Picture attached: yes $\qquad$ no $\qquad$

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

## 117 Putnam Drive, Suite B 0 Eatonton, GA 31024

Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
17. Provision for sanitary sewage disposal: septic system $\qquad$ , or sewer $X$. If sewer, please provide name of company providing same, or, if new development, provide a letter from sewer provider.
18. Complete attachment of Disclosure of Campaign Contributions Form by the applicant and/or the applicant's attorney as required by the Georgia Conflict of Interest in Zoning Act (O.C.G.A. 36-67A).
19. The application designation, date of application and action taken on all prior applications filed for rezoning for all or part of the subject property. (Please attach on separate sheet.)
20. Proof that property taxes for the parcel(s) in question have been paid.
21. Concept plan.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, a concept plan need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- A concept plan may be required for commercial development at director's discretion

22. Impact analysis.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, an impact analysis need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- An Impact analysis (including a traffic study) is required when rezoning from residential zoned or used property to commercial or industrial districts.

THE ABOVE STATEMENTS AND ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS ARE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. APPLICANT HEREBY GRANTS PERMISSION FOR PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PERSONNEL OR ANY LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF PUTNAM COUNTY TO ENTER UPON AND INSPECT THE PROPERTY FOR ALL PURPOSES ALLOWED AND REQURED BY, THE PUTNAM



## Item 9: Letter of Intent

## LETTER OF INTENT - PURPOSE OF REZONING APPLICATION

Updated: June 22, 2021

This letter and its enclosed components detail the intent to rezone two (2) parcels in Eatonton, Georgia, along Harmony Road.

The purpose of this rezoning application is to support a new, mixed-use development to support the non-profit mission and vision of Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia's Helms College expansion. Since its creation as the Helms Career Institute in 2007, the modern-day Helms College is a private, independent, postsecondary career school that provides experiential learning and career education for workforce development.

As a part of its strategic plan, Goodwill wishes to expand Helms College with a new, mixed-use development on Harmony Road in Eatonton, Georgia, to support the College's future growth to meet local talent needs in high demand occupation areas. Hereby referenced as the Lake Oconee Helms College campus, the proposed development will include:

- Educational buildings and classrooms.
- Retail and hotel components that support and complement degrees sponsored by Helms College.
- Residential units for students and others.
- Recreation amenities for guests and possibly nearby residents via a private club membership, such as tennis courts, jogging trails, and agritourism offerings.
- A conference retreat center with restaurant venues.

Intertwined throughout the campus is an agritourism theme, including gardens and farms, to provide hands-on experience for students and members of the community to learn about farm-to-table philosophies and offerings.

## Item 12: Recorded Deeds \& Letter of Agency

After Berording Retersio:
Blotingame, Burch, Garmil \& Ashley, P.C
1040 Founders Row, Suite B
Greensboro, Geargis 30642
$15668-0004 / j \mathrm{vd}$
FILED IN OFFZKCE
0 0/21/200E $02=26 \mathrm{PM}$
BK=647 PL=196-190
SHETLA H. FERRY'
CLEEK OF SUPERIOR
COUFT


QUITCLAMM DEED
STATE OF GEORGIA, GREFNE COUNTY
THIS INDENTURE, made uhe $30^{\text {th }}$ duy or July, 2008, by und between Nancy J. Alleq; as party of the first parh, hercipuftuer culled Grantor, and Allen Investment Partners, LLLP, its beirs. successors and assigns, as party of the second part, hereinaffer called Grantee, (the words "Granlor" and "Grantec" to include their respective heirs, suceessors and assigns where the context requires or permiss).

## WITNESSETH:

That Grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of Ont Dollar ( $\$ 1.00$ ) and other valuable consideration in hand paid al and before the sealing and delivery of these presents, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, by these presents does hereby remise, convey and forever QUITCI_AIM unto the said Grantce, all of its entire right, title and interest passing hereunder, whetever the same be, in and to that certain property described as follows, to wit:

> All thos irast be parcel of land situate, lying. and being in $389^{44}$ GM District. $3^{14}$ Land District, and land Las 341 and 352 of Punnam County, Ocorgla mnere particularly described as Parcel -B". vontaining 66.557 acres. more or lass, as stuwn on that certein plat of survey prepand for Nomity Johnson Allen by Jomes E, Smith, Jt., RLSN 1895 , dased June 16, 2008 and feeondod at Phat Book 32. Page 76, Putnam County, Oourgia real estate rocopds. Said plai of suryoy and the reconded eopy theriesf are onsorpotited herein by refirener for all parpases,
> This Convayance is SUEAECT TO a reservation of $20^{\prime}$ Ingiess \& Egross Eisement as shown on the phat of survey referenced in the paragraph inunediately above to Grantor, her heirs, zuceessors and essigns that shail be appurtenant to and run with the tifle 10 Porcel " $\lambda$ ", coatutaing 5.000 acres, more or less, us ahown pn said plat of survey, Said 20' Ingress \& Egress Fasement stanll be Tor the purpose of vehicular and pedeatrian access to and frum Parcel " $A$ " and Harmony-Duvis Rbad, boing a pobtle road with a $80^{\prime}$ ryghtof-way.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said described premises to Grantec, so that neither Grantor nut any person or perspns claiming under Gruntor shull at any time, by any mears or ways, huve, claim or demand any right or title to said premiscs or appurtenunces, or any rights thercof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Grantor has signed and senled this deed, the day and year first above writien.

Signed, scaled and delivered in the presenec of:



Whon recorded, please relurn to:
Bussart \& Lm, UC
2500 Windy Rlidge Parkway, Slo 320
Allanls, GA 30338
B\& File tg8-0312

## ASSENT OF EXECUTOR TO DEVISE

## State of Georgia <br> County of Futton

##  Read Exitie Trani: t: <br> 

WHEREAS, CHARLES MTCHELL ALLEN ("Decedenr') died a resident of Fulton County, Gaorgla, leaving a will which was probaled in solemn torm in said Counly on Augusi 30. 1995; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned, NANCY JOHNSON ALLEN, was qualified as Execuinx of said Eslate and was issued Lellars Tastameptary, which are rocorded at LT Book 151, Page 157, Fulton County Records; and

WHEREAS, urider the terms of said will the following described properly was devised to NANCY J. ALLEN:

- 1


## SEE EXHI日IT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENGE.

 and is now administering the estale under the terms of said will; and it has been delermined that all debts and claims against the estale have been fully pald.NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned, as Execulor of the Will of the Depedent, hercby assents to the devise of said property under the terms of spid will. so that ruil fee simple ifte therelo is vesled in NANCY J. ALLEN, as provided in said will.

Signed, sealed and dellivered

 NANCY JOHNSON ALIEN, as Executrix under the Last Will and Testmment of Charles M. Allen, deceased

## 458

EXHIBITT "A"

ALL THAT TRACT or parcel of land Ying and being in Lend Lol 341 of the $3^{34}$ Land District. $389^{\circ}$ G.M. Disiticl. Puham Counly, Georgla, and being more particularty described as lollows:

TO FIND THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, Commance al a point localed al the inlersection of the westerly right of way of Harmiony-Dayis Road ( 80 lcol fight of way) and the northejly right ol way of Georgla Slate Road 44: thence in a northerly direclion along the weslerly right of wey of Harmony-Davis Road a distance of 1.888 .8 jeel to an'iron pin lound; thence south 45 degrees 22 mitnutes 07 seconds west a distance of 1,049 , 91 leet to an fron pin sel and the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence south 45 degrees 22 minules 07 saconds west a distance of 286.87 leet to an tran pin sel; thance north 43 degrees 37 minutes 02 seconds wasl a distance ol 792.18 leet io an tron pin set: thence noph 48 degrees 22 minultes 58 secands east a dislance of 286.82 leet to an hron pln set; ihence south 43 degrees 37 minulés 02 seconds easta distance of 787.10 feel to an ition pin set and the point of beginning; contaiting approximately 5.20 acres and being more particularly shown on a survay prepared for Peggy Allen by James E. Smlth, Jt., Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. 1895, deted November 7, 1997.

Being a porlion of the property conveyed Irom John E Singleton 10 Charles M. Allen and Nangy J. Allen by walranly deed daled October 4, 1984 and recorded al Deed Book 84. Page 356, Putnam Courly, Georgla Records.

## TOGETHER WITH A 20-FOOT WIDE EASEMENT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS TO

 AND FROM HARMONY-DAVIS ROAD, and being more particularly described as follows:TO FIND THE CENTERUNE OF THE EASEMENT, commence al a poinl localed at the interseclion of the wéslatly igith of way ol Harmony-Davis Road ( 80 fool right of way) ànt the northerly right of way or Georgige Stale Road 44; thence in a northerty direction along the westerty right of way of Harmomy-Davis Road a distance of $1,188,8$ feet to an tron pin round, Unence north 32 degrees 57 minutes 18 seconds west along the weslerly right of way of Harmony-Davis Road e distance of 556.70 to the centefline of a 10 -Jool drive and the CENTERLINE OF THE EASEMENT: thènce ihe foflowing catts along the centientine of sald easemant south 80 degraes 34 minuites 20 seconds west 64.20 Icet to a potnt; south 69 degrees 50 miluulos 05 seconds west 22.18 ieel (o a point; south 54 degrees 03 minutes 54 seconds west 63,70 . laet lo a point; south 57 tlegrees 25 minules 44 seconds wast 97.12 feet to a point; sollth 57 degrees 07 minulas 38 seconds wesi 57 . 23 , leet to a polnt'south 61 degress 18 minutes 69 ssconds wesl 92.08 leot to in point; south 70 degrees 27 minutes 06 seconds weș 46,04 leet lo a point; soulh 74 degrees 46 minules 22 setonds west 19.00 feel to a poinl; south 16 degrees 31 minutes 51 seconds east 88.64 leef 10 a point; south 22 degrees 52 minules 57 seconds west' 143.24 leel to a point; soulh 33 degrees 34 minutes 26 seconds west 77,61 loel lo a point; south 35 degrees 23 minutes 28 seconds wast 193.38 feel lo a point; south 50 degrees 29 mirutes 35 seconds cast 60.97 leat lo a poinl; soulh 35 degrees 43 minules 13 seconds west 71.40 leet to a point: south 44 degrees 47 minutes 48 seconds west 108.76 feet to a point: and south 26 degrees 33 minules 55 seconds west 93.40 leet to a point localed al the edge of the 5.20 property described above; all as more particularly shown on a survey prepared lor Peggy Allen by Jomes E. Smilh, Jt., Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. $\mathbf{8 9 9 5}$. daled Nowernber 7, 1997.

DOC ~ 200177
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## EXECUTOR'S DEED

## STATE OF GEORGIA COUNTY OF PUTNAM

THIS INDENTURE, made this the $1 \mathrm{~F}^{\text {in }}$ day of Ditenher. 2011, between JANET ALLEN CRITIENDEN, as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of TANCI JOHNSON ALLEN, late of the State of Georgia and County of Putnam, deceased, as party of the first part (the "Grantor"), and ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS, LLLP, a Georgia limited liability limited partnership, as party of the second part (the "Orantee"); the words "Granter" and "Grantee" to include their respective heirs, successors and assigns where the context requires or permits.

WITNESSETH: That the said Granter (acting under and by virtue of the power and authority contained in the said Will, the same having been duly probated and recorded in the Probate Court of Pumam County, Georgia (estate no. IIES0074), said Will having been proven in solemn form), for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND $00 / 100$ DOLLARS ( $\$ 10.00$ ) and other good and valuable consideration, in hand paid at and before the sealing and delivery of the presents (the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged), has granted, bargained, sold and conveyed, and by these presents does grant, bargain, sell and convey unto the said Grantee, all that tract or parcel of land lying and being in Pumam County, Georgia and more particularly described as follows:

## SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF

## See copy of Death Certificate (Exhibit "B") attached hereto and made a part hereof.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said tree or parcel of land, with all and singular the rights, members and appurtenances thereof, to the same being, belonging, or ia anywise appertaining, to the only proper use, benefit and behoof of the said Grantee, forever, N FEE SIMPLE; in as full and ample a manner as the same was held, possessed and enjoyed, or might have been held, possessed and enjoyed, by the said decedent.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Grantor has signed and sealed this deed, the day and year first above written.


JANET ALLENCKHEEIDEN, as Executor as aforesaid
Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of:


## CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

## State of California County of Suskipar


(SEAL.)
\} $\mathrm{ss}:$

e Notary Public, personally appeared.
Janet Allen Cfitteniden
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name (s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and aclonowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in hisher/their authorized capacity'ies). and that by bisher/heir signatures) on the instrument the persons) or the entity upon behalf of which the person ns) acted. executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.


## EXHIBIT "A"

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND SITUATE, LYING AND BEING IN 389 TH GM DISTRICT, 3RD LAND DISTRICT, AND LAND LOT $34!$ OF PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS PARCEL "A" CONTAINING 5.00 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, AS SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN PLAT OF SURVEY PREPARED FOR NANCY JOHNSON ALLEN BY JAMES E. SMITH, JR., RLSN 1895، DATED JUNE 16, 2008 AND RECORDED AT PLAT BOOK 32, PAGE 76, PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA REAL ESTATE RECORDS. SAID PLAT OF SURVEY AND THE RECORDED COPY THEREOF ARE INCORPORATED IIEREIN BY REFERENCE FOR ALL PURPOSES.

INCLLUDING ^ $20^{\prime}$ INGRESS \& EGRESS EASEMENT AS SHOWN ON THE PLAT OF SURVEY REFERENCED IN THE PARAGRAPH IMMEDIATELY ABOVE THAT IS APPURTENANT TO AND RUNS WITH THE TITLE TO PARCEI. " $A$ ". SAID $20^{\circ}$ INGRESS \& EORESS EASEMENT SHALL BE FOR THE PURPOSE OF VEHICULAAR AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS ACROSS PARCEL "B" TO AND FROM PARCEL "A" AND HARMONY-DAVIS ROAD, BEING A PUBLIC ROAD WITH AN 80' RIGHT-OF-WAY.

044597

When recorded, please retum to:
Bussan \& LIL, LLC
2500 Windy Ridge Parkway, Sutte 320
Allanta, GA 30339
all File No, 98-0312
QUITCLAIM DEED
STATE OF GEORGIA countr of I altal

$$
\text { THIS INDENTURE made this } \frac{21^{2}}{\text { NANCY J. ALLEN, }} \text { day of SNy } \text {, 1998, between }
$$



Putnain County Geurfith
floal Estate ransfer for
PaidS 10.40
Datrin $1-16-90$
Curan 41010
Theptyluch ol swentir
of the State of Georgia, as party or partios of the first part, hereinafler called Grantor, and
PEGGY ALLEN,
as party of parlies of The second part, hereinaller called Grantee (the words "Grantor and "Grantee" to inctude their respective heirs, successors and asslgns where the conlext requires of permils).

WITNESSETH that; Grantor, for Ten Doliars $(\$ 10,00)$ and other good and valuable consideralion, the recelpl and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowtedged, does hereby remise, convey and forever QUITCLAIM Unto the said grantee:

ALL THAT TRACT or parcel of land lying and being in Land 341 of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ Land District,
$389^{\circ}$ G.M. Districi, Pulnam County, Georgia and being more partlcularly described in
Exhibit "A" attachiod herelo and Incorporaled herein by felerence.
TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said described premises to Granten, so thal neither Granlor nor any person or persions ctaiming under Grantor shall at any lime, by any means or ways, have, Claim or demand any right of tille to said premises or appurlenances, or any fighls thereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Grantor has signed and sealed this deed, the day and yeat first above writter



EXHIBIT "A"

ALL THAT TRACT or parcel of land yyingland being in Land Lolf3nt of the $3^{\text {nl }}$ Land Districl. $389^{\text {n }}$ G.M. Districl. Pulnam Counly. Geargla, and being more patticulatly daseribed as lollows:

TO FIND THE TRUE POINT OF EEGINNING, commence at a point tocated at the Inlersection of the westerly right of way of Harmony-Davis Road ( 80 fool righl of way) and the northerly right of way of Georgia State Road 44; thence in a northerly direction along the westerty right ol way ol Harmony-Davis Road a dislance of $1,188.8$ teet to an \%on pin lound: thence south 45 degrees 22 minules 07 secands wesl a dislance of 1.049 .91 leel to an iron pin sel and the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence south 45 degrees 22 minules 07 secords west a distance ol 286.87 feet to an fron pin set; thence noth 43 degreas 37 minutes 02 seconds west a distance ol 792.18 teel to an'tran pin set: thence north 48 degrees 22 minuies 58 seconds east a distance o! 286.82 feet to an iron pin sel thence south 43 degrees 37 minutes 02 seconds east a distánce of 787.10 feet to an iroh pin sel and the poinl of beginning; conlaining approximalely 5.20 acres and being more parlicularly shown on a survey prepared for Peggy Allen by James E. Smith, Jr., Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. 1895, daled November 7, 1997.

Being a portion of the property conveyed from John E. Singleion to Charles M. Avten and Nancy J. Allen by warranly dead daled Oclober 4, 1984 and recorded al Deed Book 84, Page 156, Pulnam Counly, Georgla Records.

TOGETHER WITH A 20-FOOT WIDE EABEMENT FORINGRESS AND EGRESS TO AND FRQM HARMONY-DAVIS ROAD, and beling more particularty described as follows;

TO FIND THE CENTERLINE OF THE EASEMENT, commence al a point localed at the Intersoclion of the westerty plght of way of Harmony-Davis Road ( 80 (ool righl of way) and the nertherly right of way of Georgia State Road 44; thenco in a northerty directlon. alonth the westerty righl of way of Harmony-Davis Road a dislance of $1,188,8$ feel lo an Ifon pin found; thience north 32 degrees 57 minutes 18 seconds west along the weslerly right of way of Harmony-Davis Road a distance ol 556.70 to the centerline of a 10 -fool drive and the CENTERLINE OF THE EASEMENT; thence the following calls along the centerline of said easement: south 80 degrees 34 minules 20 seconds west 64.20 feel to a point; soulh 69 degrees 50 minules DS seconds wesl 22.18 feel to a point; soyth 54 viegrces 03 minutes 54 seconds west 6370 feet to a point; south 57 degrees 25 minules 44 seconds west 97.12 leel to a poinli, south 57 degrees 07 minules 39 seconds wesl 57.23 feel to a poinl; south 69 tegrees 10 minutes 59 seconds wesl 82.00 leel to a point; south 70 degreas 27 mhutes 06 seconds wesl 46.0 f feel to a poink; south 74 degriees 46 minutes 22 seconds wesl 99.00 leel to a point; south 16 degrees 31 minutes $5 i$ seconds easl 88.64 leel to a point; south 22 degrees 52 nilnutes 57 secarnds west 143.24 leel to o pulnt; soulh 33 degrees 34 minutes 26 seconds wesi 77.61 leel lo a point; soulh 35 degrees 23 minules 28 seconds wesl 193,38 leel lo a poinl; souih 50 degrees 29 minuses 35 seconds east 80.97 leet to a point; south 35 degrees 43 minutes 13 seconds wesl 71.40 feot to a potnt; south 44 degrees 47 minites 48 seconds west 108.76 feet to a point; and south 25 degrees 33 minules 55 seconds west 93.40 leet to a poinl locpted at the edge of the 5.20 property described above; all as more particularly shown on a survey prepared for Peggy Allen by James E. Smith, Jr., Georgia Regislesed Land Surveyor No, 1895, dated Novernber 7, 1997.

# PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT 

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B 0 Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 0 706-485-0552 fax www.putnamcountyga.us

LETTER OF AGENCY-

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OWNERS OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA, HEREBY APPOINT James Stiff TOBY MY AGENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPLYING FOR rezoning OF PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS MAP 103 PARCELS 001001 and 001 , CONSISTING OF 71.554 ACRES, WHICH HAS THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: 916 Harmony Road EATONTON, GEORGIA 31024. ATTACHED IS A COPY OF A DEED AND OR LAT OF SURVEY DESCRIBING THE PROPERTY OWNED BY THE PROPERTY OWNER(S) TO WHICH THIS LETTER OF AGENCY APPLIES.

THE ABOVE-NAMED AGENT HEREBY IS AUTHORIZED TO COMPLETE AND SIGN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY APPLICATION FOR rezoning ON OUR BEHALF. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS LETTER OF AGENCY WILL BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE PART OF SAID FORM AND WILL BE RELIED UPON BY THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY. FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY ACCEPTING THIS LETTER OF AGENCY, WE HEREBY INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY AND ITS AGENTS AND/OR EMPLOYEES IN THE EVEN THAT THE ABOVE NAMED AGENT SHOULD MISUSE THIS LETTER OF AGENCY AND WE SUFFER DAMAGES AS A RESULT.


ADDRESS: 918 Harmony Road, Eatonton, GA 31024
PHONE: $\qquad$

ALL SIGNATURES WERE HEREBY SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS


# PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT 

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B 0 Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 0 706-485-0552 fax www.putnamcountyga.us

## LETTER OF AGENCY-

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OWNERS OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA, HEREBY APPOINT James Stiff TO BY MY AGENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPLYING FOR rezoning OF PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS MAP 103 PARCELS 001001 and 001 , CONSISTING OF 71.554 ACRES, WHICH HAS THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: 916 Harmony Road EATONTON, GEORGIA 31024. ATTACHED IS A COPY OF A DEED AND OR LAT OF SURVEY DESCRIBING THE PROPERTY OWNED BY THE PROPERTY OWNERS) TO WHICH THIS LETTER OF AGENCY APPLIES.

THE ABOVE-NAMED AGENT HEREBY IS AUTHORIZED TO COMPLETE AND SIGN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY APPLICATION FOR rezoning ON OUR BEHALF. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS LETTER OF AGENCY WILL BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE PART OF SAID FORM AND WILL BE RELIED UPON BY THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY. FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY ACCEPTING THIS LETTER OF AGENCY, WE HEREBY INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY AND ITS AGENTS AND/OR EMPLOYEES IN THE EVEN THAT THE ABOVE NAMED AGENT SHOULD MISUSE THIS LETTER OF AGENCY AND WE SUFFER DAMAGES AS A RESULT.
THIS 22nd DAY OF $\qquad$ , 2021.

PROPERTY OWNERS): $\qquad$ Fox NAME (PRINTED)


SIGNATURE
108 Walking Horse Lane, Eatonton, GA 31024
ADDRESS: $\qquad$
PHONE: $\qquad$

ALL SIGNATURES WERE HEREBY SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS


Item 13: Legal Description \& Recorded Plat

Page 17

## LEGALDESCRIPTION PARCEL "B"

All that Tract or Parcel of land, lying and being located in Land Lot 341 and Land Lot 352 of the 3rd District, in the 389th G.M. District, Putnam County, Georgia, containing 71.554 Acres ( $3,116,910$ SQ.FT.), more or less and being more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at 1/2" rebar set on the southwestern most 80 foot Right of Way of Harmony Road, said $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar set being located 1188.80 feet northwest from the right of way intersection of Georgia State Route No. 44; thence departing said right of way, proceed the following: South 45 degrees 05 minutes 27 seconds West for a distance of 544.83 feet to a $3 / 4$ " rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 03 minutes 46 seconds West for a distance of 108.94 feet to a point; thence South 45 degrees 07 minutes 02 seconds West for a distance of 69.90 feet to a point; thence South 44 degrees 55 minutes 38 seconds West for a distance of 64.11 feet to a point; thence South 45 degrees 05 minutes 26 seconds West for a distance of 79.87 feet to $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 05 minutes 49 seconds West for a distance of 90.18 feet to a $3 / 4$ " rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 03 minutes 10 seconds West for a distance of 91.80 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 28 seconds West for a distance of 385.81 feet to a point; thence North 44 degrees 43 minutes 28 seconds West for a distance of 386.54 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 17 minutes 08 seconds West for a distance of 286.82 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 44 degrees 42 minutes 23 seconds East for a distance of 773.30 feet to a $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 04 minutes 17 seconds West for a distance of 166.53 feet to a $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 12 minutes 34 seconds West for a distance of 74.99 feet to a $3 / 8$ " rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 04 minutes 43 seconds West for a distance of 74.72 feet to a $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 11 minutes 16 seconds West for a distance of 75.21 feet to a $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 02 minutes 05 seconds West for a distance of 74.95 feet to a $3 / 8$ " rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 15 minutes 52 seconds West for a distance of 75.08 feet to a $3 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 44 degrees 40 minutes 57 seconds West for a distance of 151.27 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 45 degrees 09 minutes 02 seconds West for a distance of 49.90 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 78 degrees 25 minutes 46 seconds West for a distance of 290.03 feet to a $5 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found on the easternmost 60 foot Right of Way of Lakemore Drive; thence proceed along the easternmost 60 foot Right of Way of Lakemore Drive, the following: thence North 04 degrees 54 minutes 06 seconds West for a distance of 265.91 feet to a point; thence with a curve turning to the left with an arc length of 372.52 feet, a radius of 1148.78 feet, a chord bearing of North 14 degrees 12 minutes 07 seconds West and a chord length of 370.89 feet to a point; thence North 23 degrees 29 minutes 30 seconds West for a distance of 597.34 feet to a point; thence with a curve turning to the left with an arc length of 92.74 feet, a radius of 50.00 feet, a chord bearing of North 23 degrees 29 minutes 07 seconds West and a chord length of 80.00 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence with a compound curve turning to the left with an arc length of 55.08 feet, a radius of 50.00 feet, a chord bearing of South 71 degrees 59 minutes 02 seconds West and a chord length of 52.34 feet to a

1/2" rebar found; thence departing said right of way, proceed the following: thence South 75 degrees 04 minutes 32 seconds West for a distance of 369.44 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence North 37 degrees 25 minutes 08 seconds East for a distance of 109.73 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence North 66 degrees 24 minutes 57 seconds West for a distance of 100.04 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence North 66 degrees 24 minutes 57 seconds West for a distance of 192.96 feet to a 1/2" rebar found; thence North 41 degrees 27 minutes 58 seconds West for a distance of 321.06 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ ebar set; thence North 53 degrees 15 minutes 07 seconds East for a distance of 166.41 feet to a 1/2" rebar found; thence North 82 degrees 04 minutes 50 seconds East for a distance of 374.44 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar set on the westernmost 80 foot Right of Way of Lake Drive; thence proceed along the westernmost, southernmost and eastern most 80 foot Right of Way of Lake Drive, the following: thence South 07 degrees 53 minutes 44 seconds East for a distance of 80.00 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar set; thence North 82 degrees 04 minutes 50 seconds East for a distance of 80.00 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar set; thence North 07 degrees 53 minutes 44 seconds West for a distance of 80.00 feet to a $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence North 82 degrees 06 minutes 16 seconds East for a distance of 649.94 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence North 82 degrees 06 minutes 16 seconds East for a distance of 130.06 feet to a $5 / 8$ " rebar found; thence North 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds East for a distance of 186.04 feet to a $5 / 8$ " rebar found; thence North 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds East for a distance of 236.20 feet to a $3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence North 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds East for a distance of 384.74 feet to a nail found; thence North 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds East for a distance of 159.93 feet to a $3 / 4$ " rebar found; thence North 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds East for a distance of 204.00 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found on the southwestern most 80 foot Right of Way of Harmony Road; thence proceed along the southwestern most 80 foot Right of Way of Harmony Road, the following: thence South 34 degrees 03 minutes 08 seconds East for a distance of 831.27 feet to a point; thence South 34 degrees 03 minutes 08 seconds East for a distance of 556.70 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar set and the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Said property contains 71.554 Acres ( $3,116,910$ SQ.FT.),
Less and except Parcel "A" as described.



Items 16 \& 17: Source of Domestic Water \& Sewer Supply Provider Letter

CIVII ENGINEERING aNOECAPE ATCHITECTURE IANG SURVEYING

June 23, 2021

Lisa Jackson, MPA
Planning Director
Putnam County Planning \& Development
117 Putnam Drive, Suite B
Eatonton, GA 31024

Re: Helms Farm Campus at Harmony Rd - Proposed Provider for Domestic Water Service \& Sanitary Sewage Disposal

Dear Lisa,

As you are aware, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia is currently submitting an application to rezone two parcels in Eatonton, Georgia, along Harmony Road. The application requests information about the source of domestic water supply as well as sanitary sewer disposal. It is our understanding that Piedmont Water is the local provider in this area, and we have confirmed this with Brent Hurst, the Chief Operating Officer with Piedmont Water Company. Piedmont will provide both the domestic water as well as service sanitary sewage disposal. We are currently consulting with Piedmont Water to determine the anticipated demand so they can determine if the proposed development will have to pump sewage to an existing lift station with no upgrades, one with upgrades, or directly to the plant.

Please let us know if we can assist further in this matter or if you have any questions.

Sincerely,


## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\bigcirc$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANTS CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name:
2. Address:

3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes $\sqrt{ }$ No If yes, who did you make the contributions to? : $\qquad$ -.

Signature of Applicant:
Date:


## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\bigcirc$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANT'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name: Susan Fox
2. Address: 108 Walking Horse Lane

Eatonton, Georgia 31024
3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No If yes, who did you make the contributions to? :

Signature of Applicant:


Date: $\qquad$
$\qquad$

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\triangle$ Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us
DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANTS CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name:

2. Address: $\qquad$ 3 Shadowbrook circle augusta, 6A. $\square$ 30909
3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No If yes, who did you make the contributions to? : $\qquad$ -.

Signature of Applicant: Date:
 18121 CEO Goodwill Industries Middle Georgia, Inc.

## Item 20: Property Taxes

Putnam County Tax Commissioner 100 South Jefferson Ave Suite 207 Eatonton, GA 31024-1081
(706) 485-5441

Cortain persons are etigible for certain homeslead exemptions from ed valorem taxation. In addition to the regular homestead exemption authorized for all homeowners, certain elderly persons are entitled to additional exemptions. The full law felating to each exemption must be referred to in order to detarmine eligibility for the exemption. If you are eligible for one of these exemptions and are not now racelving the benefit of the exemption, you must apply for the exemption not later than Apri 1. 2021 in order to receive the exemption in future years. For more information on eligibility for exemptions or on the proper method of applying for an exemptlon, you may contact:

Putnam County Tax Assessor 100 South Jefferson Ave Sulte 109

Eatonton, GA 31024-1087 (706) 485-6376

INTERNET TAX BILL

ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LLLP
108 WALKING HORSE LANE
EATONTON, GA 31024

2020 State, County \& School Ad Valorem Tax Notice

| BIII No. | Property Description | Map Number | Fair Mkt Value | Assessed Value | Exempt Value | Taxable Volue | Millage Rate | Tax Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000315 | 01 PARCEL B HARMONY RD | 103001001 | 629624 | 251850 | 0 | 251850 | 24.228 | 8,101.82 |


| Important Messages - Ple |
| :--- |
| This gradual reduction and elimination of the state <br> property tax end the reduction in your tax bilt this year is <br> the result of property tax relief passed by the Govemor <br> and the Hous of Representatives and the Georgie <br> State Senate. |


| Local Option Sales Tex Information |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mias required to produce county budget |  |
| Mils reduction due to seles tax rolbeck |  |
| Actual milt rete set by county otficials |  |
| Tes samngs due to sales tax rolback | 24.80 |


| Total of Bills by Tax Type |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| COUNTY | $2,034.44$ |
| SCHOOL | 3.972 .18 |
| SPEC SERV | 95.20 |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| TOTAYMENTS RECEIVED DUE |  |
| DATE DUE |  |

Please detach here and return this portlon In the envelope provided with your payment in full.

ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LLLP
108 WALKING HORSE LANE
EATONTON, GA 31024
Putnam County Tax Commissioner 100 South Jefferson Ave Sulte 207
Eatonton, GA 31024-1061
(708) 485-5441

## PAYMENT INSTRUCTIONS

- Plosas Make Check or Money Order Payable to:

Putram County Tax Commissioner

- Ya receip is desred, please nelude a stamped, sell-addressed arwelope.
- Itaves are to be pad by a mortgage compary, send them this porton only
- Yyou are paping ather the due date, please call our office for the fill mourt due
- Inerest on unpaid tax bils is appled in complance win GA Code 48-2-40.
- Penaty on unpeid tax bils is appled in complance wat GA Code 48-2-44

| Bill Number | Map Number | Tai Amoumt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020000315 | 103001001 | 6,10182 |
| OATE DUE |  | TOTAL DUE |
| 12/1/2020 | 000 |  |

INTERNET TAX BILL

INTERNET TAX RECEIPT
2020000315
PARCEL B HARMONY RD
ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LLLP
103001001

| DESCRIPTION | TAX AMOUNT | EXEMPTIOM | MIUAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE | $\$ 629,624$ |  |  |
| COUNTY | $\$ 2,034,44$ | $\$ 0.00$ | 8.078 |
| SCHOOL | $\$ 3,972.18$ | $\$ 0.00$ | 15.772 |
| SPEC SERV | $\$ 95.20$ | $\$ 0.00$ | 0.378 |

TO
ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LLLP 108 WALKING HORSE LANE
EATONTON, GA 31024

FROM
Putnam County Tax Commissioner 100 South Jefferson Ave Sulte 207 Eatonton, GA 31024-1061
(706) 485-5441

|  | FIFA CHARGE |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | PENALTY |
|  | TOTAL PAID |
|  | \$6,101.82 |
|  | TOTAL DUE |
|  | \$0.00 |
|  | ate Paid: 12/3/2020 |

Scan this code with
your mobile phone
to view this bill

| ORIGINAL TAX DUE |
| ---: |
| $\$ 6,101.82$ |
| INTEREST |
|  |
| COLLECTION COST |
|  |
| FIFA GHARGE |
|  |
| PENALTY |
|  |
| TOTAL PAID |
| $\$ 6,101.82$ |
| TOTAL DUE |
| $\$ 0.00$ |
| Date Paid: 12/3/2020 |

INTERNET TAX RECEIPT

Item 21: Concept Plan \& Project Inspiration





## Item 22: Impact Analysis

## IMPACT ANALYSIS

Impact analysis. An impact analysis is required for all applications unless the application will result in fewer than 25 single-family residential lots. The impact analysis shall be prepared by a professional engineer, a registered land surveyor, a landscape architect, a land planner or any other person professionally involved in and familiar with land development activities.

1. The application must be accompanied by a written, documented analysis of the proposed zoning change with regard to each of the standards governing consideration, (which are enumerated under Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 66-Zoning, Sec. 66-165(d)) and are as follows:
a. Is the proposed use consistent with the stated purpose of the zoning district that is being requested?
b. Is the proposed use suitable in view of the zoning and development of adjacent and nearby property?
c. Will the proposed use adversely affect the existing use, value or usability of adjacent or nearby property?
d. Is the proposed use compatible with the purpose and intent of the Comprehensive Plan?
e. Are there substantial reasons why the property cannot or should not be used as currently zoned?
f. Will the proposed use cause an excessive or burdensome use of public facilities or services or exceed the present or funded capabilities, included but not limited to streets, water or sewer utilities, and police or fire protection?
g. Is the proposed use supported by new or changing conditions not anticipated by the Comprehensive Plan or reflected in the existing zoning on the property or surrounding properties?
h. Does the proposed use reflect a reasonable balance between the promotion of the public health, safety, and a reasonable private use of the subject property?
2. A traffic impact analysis is to include the existing average daily traffic on road/streets leading to the nearest intersection and the projected average daily traffic. Additional requirements for the analysis may be provided by the Planning and Development Department and included with the application.
3. The estimated number of dwelling units and total floor area of non-residential uses (if applicable) of the proposed development.
4. Effect on the environment surrounding the area to be rezoned including the effect on all natural and historic resources. (State source of the information)
5. Impact on fire protection with respect to the need for additional firefighting equipment or personnel. (State source of the information)
6. What are the physical characteristics of the site with respect to topography and drainage courses?
7. Adjacent and nearby zoning and land use.

## IMPACT ANALYSIS

1. The application must be accompanied by a written, documented analysis of the proposed zoning change with regard to each of the standards governing consideration, (which are enumerated under Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 66-Zoning, Sec. 66-165(d)) and are as follows:
a. Is the proposed use consistent with the stated purpose of the zoning district that is being requested?

Yes. The purpose of the planned unit development zoning is "to encourage the development of large tracts of land to produce logically organized development with compatible land uses." The proposed development meets this purpose.
b. Is the proposed use suitable in view of the zoning and development of adjacent and nearby property?

Yes. Adjacent and nearby uses include commercial, residential, and agricultural, all components that will be incorporated into the planned unit development to serve as a bridge and connection to adjacent parcels.
c. Will the proposed use adversely affect the existing use, value or usability of adjacent or nearby property?

## No.

d. Is the proposed use compatible with the purpose and intent of the Comprehensive Plan?

Yes.
e. Are there substantial reasons why the property cannot or should not be used as currently zoned?

The current zoning does not allow for the proposed mixed-use program.
f. Will the proposed use cause an excessive or burdensome use of public facilities or services or exceed the present or funded capabilities, included but not limited to streets, water or sewer utilities, and police or fire protection?
No.
g. Is the proposed use supported by new or changing conditions not anticipated by the Comprehensive Plan or reflected in the existing zoning on the property or surrounding properties?

Yes.
h. Does the proposed use reflect a reasonable balance between the promotion of the public health, safety, and a reasonable private use of the subject property?

Yes.
2. A traffic impact analysis is to include the existing average daily traffic on road/streets leading to the nearest intersection and the projected average daily traffic. Additional requirements for the analysis may be provided by the Planning and Development Department and included with the application.
The traffic impact analysis is attached as Item 22a: Traffic Impact Analysis.
3. The estimated number of dwelling units and total floor area of non-residential uses (if applicable) of the proposed development.

The development program may include up to approximately 150 dwelling units. The total floor area for the non-residential uses may include up to $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 5 5 0}$ square feet.
4. Effect on the environment surrounding the area to be rezoned including the effect on all natural and historic resources. (State source of the information)
Studies for a Phase I Environmental Assessment and delineation of Waters of the State and wetlands disclosed no issues.
5. Impact on fire protection with respect to the need for additional firefighting equipment or personnel. (State source of the information)
Per Georgia Civil, the site infrastructure being provided as part of this development will have adequate fire water service and protection with fire suppression systems to meet all life safety codes and provide access for all fire vehicle apparatus.
6. What are the physical characteristics of the site with respect to topography and drainage courses?

An ALTA Survey is enclosed, the site generally slopes from Harmony Road to the lake.
7. Adjacent and nearby zoning and land use:
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to determine the traffic impact that will result from the proposed Helms Farm Campus development that will be located on Harmony Road across from Sammons Industrial Parkway (South), north of Village Lane in Putnam County, Georgia. The traffic analysis evaluates the current operations compared to the future conditions with the traffic generated by the development. The development will consist of:

- Goodwill Store: 16,800 sf
- Helms College: 50 Students
- Edgar's Bakery: 7,000 sf
- Retail including Spa: 26,920 sf
- High-Turn-over Sit-Down Restaurant: 13,100 sf
- Super Market: 14,500 sf
- Multi-family (mid-rise) Residential: 127 Units
- Student Housing: 18 Units
- Vacation Villas (Resorts): 41 Units
- Event Hall or Banquet Hall: 22,000 sf
- Hotel: 175 Rooms


A\&R Engineering Inc.

The development proposes two site driveways at the following locations:

- Site Driveway 1: Full-access (Southern) driveway on Harmony Road
- Site Driveway 2: Full-access (Northern) driveway on Harmony Road

Existing and future operations after completion of the project were analyzed at the intersections of:

- Harmony Road at SR 44 (Greensboro Road) / Old Phoenix Road
- Harmony Road at Village Lane
- Harmony Road at Sammons Industrial Parkway (South)
- Harmony Road at Harmony Lane
- Harmony Road at Scott Road / Private Driveway
- Harmony Road at Site Driveway 1 (Southern)
- Harmony Road at Site Driveway 2 (Northern) / Private Driveway

Recommendations to improve traffic operations have been identified as appropriate and are discussed in detail in the following sections of the report. The location of the development and the surrounding study network is shown in Figure 1.


A\&R Engineering Inc.

### 2.0 EXISTING FACILITIES / CONDITIONS

The following is a brief description of each of the roadway facilities located in proximity to the site:

### 2.1.1 SR 44 (Greensboro Road)

SR 44 (Greensboro Road) is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 45 mph in the vicinity of the site. Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) traffic counts (Station ID 237-0146) indicate that the daily traffic volume on SR 44 (Greensboro Road) in 2019 was 15,200 vehicles per day north of Harmony Road. GDOT classifies SR 44 (Greensboro Road) as a Rural Minor Arterial roadway.

### 2.1.2 Harmony Road

Harmony Road is a north-south, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 45 mph in the vicinity of the site. GDOT traffic counts (Station ID 237-0181) indicate that the daily traffic volume on Harmony Road in 2019 was 3,570 vehicles per day north of Scott Road. GDOT classifies Harmony Road as a Rural Minor Collector roadway.

### 2.1.3 Village Lane

Village Lane is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 25 mph in the vicinity of the site.

### 2.1.4 Sammons Industrial Parkway

Sammons Industrial Parkway is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 25 mph in the vicinity of the site.

### 2.1.5 Harmony Lane

Harmony Lane is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 25 mph in the vicinity of the site.

### 2.1.6 Scott Road

Scott Road is a northwest-southeast, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 35 mph in the vicinity of the site.

### 3.0 STUDY METHODOLOGY

In this study, the methodology used for evaluating traffic operations at each of the subject intersections is based on the criteria set forth in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ edition (HCM 6). Synchro software, which utilizes the HCM methodology, was used for the analysis. The following is a description of the methodology employed for the analysis of unsignalized and signalized intersections. At specific intersections in which HCM 6 is unable to report results due to limitations of the software version, HCM 2000 will be used instead. The following is a description of the methodology employed for the analysis of unsignalized and signalized intersections.

### 3.1 Unsignalized Intersections

For unsignalized intersections at which the side street or minor street is controlled by a stop sign, the criteria for evaluating traffic operations are the level-of-service (LOS) for the turning movements at the intersection and the level-of-service for the overall intersection. Level-of-service is based on the average controlled delay incurred at the intersection. Controlled delay for unsignalized intersections includes initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. Several factors affect the controlled delay for unsignalized intersections, such as the availability and distribution of gaps in the conflicting traffic stream, critical gaps, and follow-up time for a vehicle in the queue.

Level-of-service is assigned a letter designation from "A" through " $F$ ". Level-of-service " $A$ " indicates excellent operations with little delay to motorists, while level-of-service " F " exists when there are insufficient gaps of acceptable size to allow vehicles on the side street to cross safely, resulting in extremely long total delays and long queues. The level-of-service criteria for two-way stop-controlled and all-way stop-controlled (unsignalized) intersections are given in Table 1.

| TABLE 1 - LEVEL-OFLSERVICE CRITERIA FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level-of-service | Average Delay (sec) |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual

### 3.2 Signalized Intersections

For signalized intersections, it is necessary to evaluate both capacity and level-of-service in order to evaluate the overall operation of the intersection. The capacity analysis of an intersection is performed by comparing the volume of traffic using the various lane groups at the intersection to the capacity of those lane groups. This results in a volume/capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio for each lane group. A $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio greater
than 1.0 indicates that the volume of traffic has exceeded the capacity available, resulting in a temporary excess of demand. Although the capacity of the entire intersection is not defined, a composite $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio for the sum of the critical lane groups within the intersection is computed. This composite $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio is an indication of the overall intersection sufficiency.

Level-of-service for a signalized intersection is defined in terms of average controlled delay per vehicle, which is composed of initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. The level-of-service criteria for signalized intersections, based on average controlled delay, are shown in Table 2. Level-of-service " $A$ " indicates operations with very low controlled delay, while level-of-service " $F$ " describes operations with extremely high average-controlled delay. Level-ofservice " $E$ " is typically considered to be the limit of acceptable delay, and level-of-service " $F$ " is considered unacceptable by most drivers.

| TABLE 2 - LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CRITERIA FOR SICNALIZED INTERSECTIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level-of-service | Average Control Delay (sec) |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual

### 4.0 EXISTING 2021 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Existing Traffic Volumes

Traffic counts were obtained at the following study intersections:

- Harmony Road at SR 44 (Greensboro Road) / Old Phoenix Road
- Harmony Road at Village Lane
- Harmony Road at Sammons Industrial Parkway (South)
- Harmony Road at Harmony Lane
- Harmony Road at Scott Road / Private Driveway

Turning movement counts were collected on Thursday, May 27, 2021, at all the study intersections. A 24 -hour bi-directional volume count was also collected on Harmony Road north of Scott Road at the same location where GDOT had collected historic ADT in the past. All turning movement counts were recorded during the AM and PM peak hours between 7:00am to 9:00am and 4:00pm to 6:00pm, respectively. The four consecutive 15 -minute interval volumes that summed to produce the highest volume at the intersections were then determined. These volumes make up the peak hour traffic volumes for the intersections counted and are shown in Figure 2.

### 4.2 Adjusted 2021 Traffic Volumes

To account for the abnormal traffic pattern due to COVID-19, an adjustment factor was determined by calculating the difference between GDOT historical turning movements counts and current bi-directional counts at Harmony Road north of Scott Road. The historic 2017 turning movement counts from GDOT's (Station ID 237-0181) were increased by an annual growth rate of $2.5 \%$ for four years and compared to the existing bi-directional counts collected on Thursday, May 27, 2021, at the same location. The comparison of the two counts revealed that the adjusted historic traffic counts are $9 \%$ higher in the AM peak hour and 1\% higher in the PM peak hour. Therefore, the 2021 AM and PM peak hour counts shown in Figure 2 were increased by $9 \%$ and 1\%, respectively. The projected and/or adjusted existing peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 3.
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### 4.3 Existing Traffic Operations

Existing 2021 traffic operations were analyzed at the study intersections in accordance with the HCM methodology using the volumes in Figure 3. The results of the analyses are shown in Table 3. The existing traffic control and lane geometry for the intersections are shown in Figure 4.

| Intersection |  | Traffic Control | LOS (Delay) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| 1 | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) @ Harmony Road / Old <br> Phoenix Road <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Approach <br> -Southbound Approach |  | Signalized | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{D(47.7)}{D(44.3)} \\ & C(29.4) \\ & E(68.6) \\ & D(36.1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{D}{D}(37.2) \\ & C(32.5) \\ & B(19.0) \\ & E(69.0) \\ & D(42.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Harmony Road @ Village Lane <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | Stop Controlled on Eastbound Approach | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(11.2) \\ \mathrm{A}(7.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(12.4) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Harmony Road @ Sammons Industrial Parkway (S) <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Southbound Left | Stop Controlled on Westbound Approach | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (14.2) } \\ \text { A (7.9) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (14.8) } \\ \text { A }(0.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Harmony Road @ Harmony Lane <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | Stop Controlled on Eastbound Approach | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(11.2) \\ \mathrm{A}(7.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(12.0) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | Harmony Road @ Scott Road / Private Driveway <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left <br> -Southbound Left | Stop Controlled on Eastbound and Westbound Approaches | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (11.0) } \\ \text { B (14.1) } \\ \text { A (0.0) } \\ \text { A (7.7) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A (9.1) } \\ \text { B (13.3) } \\ \text { A (7.6) } \\ \text { A (7.9) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

The results of existing traffic operations analysis indicate that all the study intersections are operating at an level-of-service "D" or better in both AM and PM peak hours.


EXISTING TRAFFIC CONTROL AND LANE GEOMETRY

### 5.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed Helms Farm Campus that will be located on Harmony Road at Sammons Industrial Parkway (South), northeast of Harmony Road at Village Lane and southwest of Harmony Road at Harmony Lane in City of Eatonton, Putnam County, Georgia. The traffic analysis evaluates the current operations compared to the future conditions with the traffic generated by the development. A site plan is shown in Figure 5. The development will consist of:

- Goodwill Store: 16,800 sf
- Helms College: 50 Students
- Edgar's Bakery: 7,000 sf
- Retail including Spa: 26,920 sf
- High-Turn-over Sit-Down Restaurant: 13,100 sf
- Super Market: 14,500 sf
- Multi-family (mid-rise) Residential: 127 Units
- Student Housing: 18 Units
- Vacation Villas (Resorts): 41 Units
- Event Hall or Banquet Hall: 22,000 sf
- Hotel: 175 Rooms

The development proposes two site driveways at the following locations:

- Site Driveway 1: Full-access (Southern) driveway on Harmony Road
- Site Driveway 2: Full-access (Northern) driveway on Harmony Road

A site plan is included in Figure 5.


### 5.1 Trip Generation

Trip generation estimates for the project were based on the rates and equations published in the $10^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation report for the daily, AM and PM peak hours. This reference contains traffic volume count data collected at similar facilities nationwide. The calculated total trip generation for the proposed developments are shown in Table 4.

| TABLE 4 - TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Size | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | 24 Hour |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Two-way |
| 815 - Free-Standing Discount Store | 16,800 sf | 14 | 6 | 20 | 40 | 41 | 81 | 892 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -3 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -3 | -4 | -7 |
| Pass-by Trips (0\%) 17\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -6 | -12 |
| 930 - Fast Casual Restaurant | 7,000 sf | 10 | 4 | 14 | 54 | 45 | 99 | 2,206 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -9 | -4 | -4 | -8 | -8 | -9 | -17 |
| Pass-by Trips (49\%) 50\% |  | -66 | -3 | 0 | -3 | -23 | -18 | -41 |
| 820 - Shopping Center | 26,920 sf | 15 | 10 | 25 | 49 | 54 | 103 | 1,016 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -3 | -2 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -8 |
| Pass-by Trips (0\%) 34\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15 | -17 | -32 |
| 932 -High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Rest. | 13,100 sf | 71 | 59 | 130 | 79 | 49 | 128 | 1,470 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -4 | -2 | -3 | -5 | -5 | -6 | -11 |
| Pass-by Trips (0\%) 43\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -32 | -18 | -50 |
| 850 - Supermarket | 14,500 sf | 33 | 22 | 55 | 68 | 66 | 134 | 2,241 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -6 | -4 | -4 | -8 | -8 | -9 | -17 |
| Pass-by Trips (0\%) 36\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22 | -21 | -43 |
| 550 - University/Colleges | 50 Students | 6 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 78 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -2 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -2 |
| 221- Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) | 127 Units | 11 | 32 | 43 | 34 | 22 | 56 | 690 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -6 | -3 | -3 | -6 | -7 | -6 | -13 |
| 225 - Off-Campus Student Apartment | 18 Beds | 3 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 57 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -2 |
| 260-Recreational Homes | 41 Rooms | 6 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 142 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -1 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -3 |
| 495 - Recreational Community Center | 22,000 sf | 26 | 13 | 39 | 24 | 27 | 51 | 634 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -6 | -2 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -5 | -11 |
| 310 -Hotel | Rooms | 48 | 34 | 82 | 53 | 52 | 105 | 1,549 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -14 | -6 | -7 | -13 | -16 | -13 | -29 |
| Total Trips (without Reductions) |  | 243 | 190 | 433 | 410 | 373 | 783 | 10,975 |
| New External Trips (with Reductions) |  | 213 | 162 | 375 | 251 | 234 | 485 | 7,382 |

Daily pass-by reduction estimated to be ten times the PM pass-by volume.

The trip generation was based on the following ITE Land Uses: 221 - Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise), 225 - Off-Campus Student Apartment, 260 - Recreational Homes, 310 - Hotel, 495 - Recreational Community Center, 550 - University/Colleges, 815 - Free-Standing Discount Store, 820 - Shopping center, 850 - Supermarket, 932 - High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant and 930 - Fast Casual Restaurant. Due to the nature of the development, pass-by and mixed-use reductions have been applied per ITE standards.

### 5.2 Trip Distribution

The trip distribution describes how traffic arrives and departs from the site. An overall trip distribution was developed for the site based on a review of GDOT ADT volumes and the locations of major roadways and highways that will serve the development. The site-generated peak hour traffic volumes, shown in Table 4, were assigned to the study area intersections based on this distribution. The outer-leg distribution and AM and PM peak hour new traffic generated by the site are shown in Figure 6. Pass-by volumes have also been distributed based on existing travel patterns and are shown in Figure 7.
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### 6.0 FUTURE 2022 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

The future 2025 traffic operations are analyzed for the "Build" and "No-Build" conditions. This provides a basis of reference for determining both the contribution of the site to overall traffic conditions and the additional improvements needed to provide sufficient site access and capacity for passing traffic. Note that survey and construction drawings would be needed to verify the feasibility and extent of additional right-of-way required for any recommended improvements.

Improvements that are identified as "System Improvements" address deficiencies that are found within the existing road network prior to any impacts from the proposed development's added traffic. Improvements that are identified as "Site Mitigation Improvements" address further impacts that are a result of the proposed development's added traffic.

### 6.1 Future "No-Build" Conditions

The "No-Build" (or background) conditions provide an assessment of how traffic will operate in the study horizon year without the study site being developed as proposed, with projected increases in through traffic volumes due to normal annual growth. The Future "No-Build" volumes consist of the adjusted existing traffic volumes (Figure 3) plus increases for annual growth of through traffic.

### 6.1.1 Annual Traffic Growth

In order to evaluate future traffic operations in this area, a projection of normal traffic growth was applied to the existing volumes. The Georgia Department of Transportation recorded average daily traffic volumes at several locations in the vicinity of the site. Reviewing the growth over the last three years revealed growth of approximately $2.5 \%$ in the area. This growth factor was applied to the existing traffic volumes between collector and arterial roadways in order to estimate the future year traffic volumes prior to the addition of site-generated traffic. The resulting Future "No-Build" volumes on the roadway are shown in Figure 8.

## Future "Build" Conditions

The "Build" or development conditions include the estimated background traffic from the "No-Build" conditions plus the added traffic from the proposed development. In order to evaluate future traffic operations in this area, the additional traffic volumes from the site (Figure 6) and pass-by volumes (Figure 7) were added to base traffic volumes (Figure 8) to calculate the future traffic volumes after the construction of the development. These total future traffic volumes are shown in Figure 9.
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### 6.1.2 Auxiliary Lane Analysis

Included below are analyses for left-turn lanes and deceleration lanes for all site driveways per GDOT standards. The analyses below are based off the "trip distribution". According to the trip distribution, the total site generated trips is 10,975 and the mixed-use reduction is 1,814 . Therefore, the 24 -hour two-way volume the site is 9,161 vehicles.

### 6.1.3 Left Turn Lane Analysis

For two lane roadways with AADT's less than 6,000 vehicles and a posted speed limit of 45 mph , the daily site generated left-turn threshold to warrant a left-turn lane is 250 left-turning vehicles. The projected left-turn volumes per day for each driveway is included below.

| TABLE 5 - GDOT REQUIREMENTS FOR LEFT TURN LANES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Left- turn traffic (\% entering) | Left-turn Volume (vehicle/day) | Roadway Speed/ \# lanes / ADT | GDOT <br> Threshold (vehicle/day) |
| Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 1 (S) | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 , 7 4 8} \\ \text { (Total trips }- \text { mixed use) } \div 2 \times 0.60= \\ (10,975-1,814) \div 2 \times 0.60=2,748 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \mathrm{mph} / \\ \text { 2-lane/ <6,000 } \end{gathered}$ | 250 |
| Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 2 (N) | 15\% | $\begin{gathered} 687 \\ (\text { Total trips }- \text { mixed use }) \div 2 \times 0.15= \\ (10,975-1,814) \div 2 \times 0.15=687 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \mathrm{mph} / \\ \text { 2-lane/ <6,000 } \end{gathered}$ | 250 |

Since the projected number of left-turning vehicles at Site Driveway 1 and Site Driveway 2 exceed the threshold of 250 left turning vehicles, a left-turn lane is warranted at both site driveways per GDOT standards.

### 6.1.4 Deceleration Turn Lane Analysis

For two lane roadways with AADT's less than 6,000 vehicles and a posted speed limit of 45 mph , the daily site generated right-turn threshold to warrant a deceleration lane is 150 right turning vehicles. The projected right-turn volumes per day for each driveway is included in Table 6.

| TABLE 6 - GDOT REQUIREMENTS FOR DECELERATION LANES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Right-turn traffic (\% total entering) | Right-turn Volume (vehicle/day) | Roadway <br> Speed/ \# <br> lanes / ADT | GDOT <br> Threshold (vehicle/day) |
| Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 1 (S) | 12.5\% | $\begin{gathered} 573 \\ (\text { Total trips }- \text { mixed use) } \div 2 \times 0.125= \\ (10,975-1,814) \div 2 \times 0.125=573 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \mathrm{mph} / \\ \text { 2-lane/ } \\ <6,000 \end{gathered}$ | 150 |
| Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 2 <br> ( N ) | 12.5\% | $\begin{gathered} 573 \\ (\text { Total trips }- \text { mixed use) } \div 2 \times 0.125= \\ (10,975-1,814) \div 2 \times 0.125=573 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \mathrm{mph} / \\ \text { 2-lane/ } \\ <6,000 \end{gathered}$ | 150 |

Since the projected number of right turning vehicles at Site Driveway 1 and Site Driveway 2 exceed the threshold of 150 right turning vehicles, a deceleration lane is warranted at both site driveways per GDOT standards.

### 6.2 Future Traffic Conditions

The future 2025 "No-Build" and "Build" traffic operations were analyzed using the volumes in Figure 8 and Figure 9, respectively, and the results are shown in Table 7.

| Intersection |  | Future Condition: LOS (Delay) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NO-BUILD |  | BUILD |  |
|  |  | AM Peak | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { Peak } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| 1 | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) @ Harmony Road <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Approach <br> -Southbound Approach | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}(62.5) \\ & \mathrm{D}(47.4) \\ & \mathrm{D}(35.9) \\ & \mathrm{F}(102.9) \\ & \mathrm{D}(42.9) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{D}(41.0)$ $\mathrm{D}(39.2)$ $\mathrm{C}(23.7)$ $\mathrm{F}(80.8)$ $\mathrm{D}(32.7)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}(75.4) \\ & \mathrm{E}(64.7) \\ & \mathrm{E}(67.2) \\ & \mathrm{F}(110.6) \\ & \mathrm{D}(46.5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{D}(50.0) \\ & \hline \mathrm{D}(44.6) \\ & \mathrm{D}(36.1) \\ & \mathrm{F}(91.7) \\ & \mathrm{D}(38.0) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Harmony Road @ Village Lane <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B (11.7) } \\ & \text { A (8.0) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (13.1) } \\ \text { A (8.3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(14.4) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} C(18.5) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.9) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Harmony Road @ Sammons Industrial Parkway (South) <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Southbound Left | $\begin{aligned} & C(15.2) \\ & \text { A }(8.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C(16.1) \\ & A(0.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { C (21.8) } \\ \text { A (8.4) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} D(29.9) \\ \text { A (0.0) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Harmony Road @ Harmony Lane <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(11.7) \\ \mathrm{A}(7.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(12.6) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(12.6) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B }(14.0) \\ & \text { A }(8.3) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 5 | Harmony Road @ Scott Road / Private Driveway <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left <br> -Southbound Left | $\begin{gathered} \text { B }(11.4) \\ \text { C }(15.4) \\ \text { A }(0.0) \\ \text { A }(7.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A (9.2) } \\ \text { B }(14.5) \\ \text { A }(7.6) \\ \text { A }(8.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B }(12.0) \\ \text { C }(18.5) \\ \text { A }(0.0) \\ \text { A }(7.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { A (9.5) } \\ & C(18.0) \\ & \text { A }(7.7) \\ & \text { A }(8.2) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 1 (S) <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B (12.6) } \\ & \text { A (8.5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & C(15.8) \\ & \mathrm{A}(8.8) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 7 | Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 2 (N)/Private Driveway <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left <br> -Southbound Left | - | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { B }(13.1) \\ \text { A }(0.0) \\ \text { A }(8.2) \\ \text { A }(0.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | C (14.6) <br> A (0.0) <br> A (8.3) <br> A (0.0) |

The results of future 'No-Build" traffic operations analysis indicate that the intersection of SR 44 (Greensboro Road) and Harmony Road will operate at level-of-service "E" in AM peak hour and the northbound approach of Old Phoenix Road will operate at level-of-service " $F$ ". This approach has a large volume of right-turn movement but does not have a dedicated right-turn lane causing this approach experience longer delays. As part of GDOT's SR 44 Widening project PI 0006253 this intersection will be improved by constructing a northbound right-turn lane on Old Phoenix Road and dual westbound leftturn lanes on SR 44 and an additional southbound through lane on Harmony Road. With these improvements, the intersection should operate at satisfactory levels-of service. Since the project
completion dates are not available, we did not include these 'System Improvements' in our analysis. All other intersections will be operating at satisfactory levels-of-service in both peak hours. GDOT's intersection improvement plan is shown below and in Figure 10. In future "Build" conditions all intersections will be operating at similar levels-of-service as in "No-Build" conditions. Recommendations for future traffic control and lane geometry is shown in Figure 11.
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### 7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this study is to determine the traffic impact that will result from the proposed Helms Farm Campus development that will be located on Harmony Road across from Sammons Industrial Parkway (South), north of Village Lane in City of Eatonton, Putnam County, Georgia. The traffic analysis evaluates the current operations compared to the future conditions with the traffic generated by the development. The development will consist of:

- Goodwill Store: 16,800 sf
- Helms College: 50 Students
- Edgar's Bakery: 7,000 sf
- Retail including Spa: 26,920 sf
- High-Turn-over Sit-Down Restaurant: 13,100 sf
- Super Market: 14,500 sf
- Multi-family (mid-rise) Residential: 127 Units
- Student Housing: 18 Units
- Vacation Villas (Resorts): 41 Units
- Event Hall or Banquet Hall: 22,000 sf
- Hotel: 175 Rooms

The development proposes three site accesses at the following locations:

- Site Driveway 1: Full-access (Southern) driveway on Harmony Road
- Site Driveway 2: Full-access (Northern) driveway on Harmony Road

Both AM and PM peak hours have been analyzed in this study. This study includes the evaluation of traffic operations at the intersections of:

- Harmony Road at SR 44 (Greensboro Road) / Old Phoenix Road
- Harmony Road at Village Lane
- Harmony Road at Sammons Industrial Parkway (South)
- Harmony Road at Harmony Lane
- Harmony Road at Scott Road / Private Driveway
- Harmony Road at Site Driveway 1 (Southern)
- Harmony Road at Site Driveway 2 (Northern) / Private Driveway

The analysis included the evaluation of "Existing" operations and future operations for "No-Build" and "Build" conditions, both of which account for increases in annual growth of through traffic. The results of the analysis are listed below:

## Recommendations for Site Access Configuration

The following access configuration is recommended for the proposed site driveway intersections.

- Site Driveway 1: Full-access (Southern) driveway on Harmony Road
- The driveway to have two entering and two exiting lanes. The eastbound (driveway) approach to have separate left and right-turn lane for exiting traffic.
- The intersection to be unsignalized with a STOP sign on the eastbound approach.
- A northbound left-turn lane to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic.
- A southbound deceleration lane to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic.
- Site Driveway 2: Full-access (Northern) driveway on Harmony Road
- The driveway to have one entering and two exiting lanes. The eastbound (driveway) approach to have separate left and right-turn lane for exiting traffic.
- The intersection to be unsignalized with a STOP sign on the eastbound approach.
- A northbound left-turn lane to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic.
- A southbound deceleration lane is recommended to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic.

The proposed Helms Farm Campus development will be completed in different phases as determined by the market conditions and demand. The projected phasing of the entire development is given below. This study was evaluated to determine improvements for the full build out in year 2025.

| LAND USE | UNITS | PHASE 1 <br> 2023 | PHASE 2 <br> 2024 | PHASE 3 <br> Early 2025 | PHASE 4 <br> Late 2025 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodwill Store | $16,800 \mathrm{sf}$ | $16,800 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | - | - |
| Helms College | 50 Students | 25 Students | 25 Students | - | - |
| Edgar's Bakery | $7,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | $7,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | - | - |
| Retail | $26,920 \mathrm{sf}$ | 3400 | $11,200 \mathrm{sf}$ | $12,320 \mathrm{sf}$ | - |
| Sit-Down Restaurant | $13,100 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | $2,500 \mathrm{sf}$ | $2,500 \mathrm{sf}$ | $8,100 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Super Market | $14,500 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | - | $14,500 \mathrm{sf}$ | - |
| Multi-family Apartments | 127 Units | - | 42 | 64 | 21 |
| Student Housing | 18 Units | - | 6 | 9 | 3 |
| Vacation Villas | 41 Units | - | - | 20 Units | 21 Units |
| Event Hall/Banquet | $22,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | - | - | $22,000 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Hotel | 175 Rooms | - | - | 175 Rooms | - |

The most traffic impact from the project occurs during the AM and PM peak hours. The traffic volumes generated by the project during these peak hours in different directions is shown graphically in figure 6 on page 16. As shown on this figure, a maximum of 29 vehicles are projected to turn left at any of the two driveways. The northern driveway has only 35 vehicles turning right while the southern driveway will have 140 vehicles turning right. These turning movements amount to 1 or 2 vehicles per minute. This magnitude of traffic volumes will not create any significant impact on traffic on Harmony Road especially the development will construct left-turn lanes and deceleration lanes per our recommendations.

The results of future traffic operations analysis indicate that after addition of the new traffic generated by the proposed Helms Farm Campus development, all study intersections will continue to operate at similar levels-of-service as before. The existing delays at Old Phoenix Road at SR 44 (Greensboro Road) intersection will improve after the proposed SR 44 Widening project (PI 0006253) 10 is completed by GDOT.
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## Existing Intersection Traffic Counts

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. 

2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' $O$ ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Village Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210171
Site Code : 20210171
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars,Buses \& Trucks

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 52 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 07:15 AM | 2 | 48 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 52 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 58 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 75 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| Total | 6 | 233 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 202 | 5 | 207 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 457 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 54 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 42 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 47 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| Total | 7 | 189 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 237 | 5 | 242 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 1 | 66 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 69 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 46 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 57 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 61 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 55 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Total | 5 | 224 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 247 | 5 | 252 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 494 |
| 05:00 PM | 3 | 69 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 05:30 PM | 1 | 48 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 47 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| Total | 7 | 227 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 334 | 8 | 342 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 592 |
| Grand Total | 25 | 873 | 0 | 898 | 0 | 1020 | 23 | 1043 | 26 | 0 | 27 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1994 |
| Apprch \% | 2.8 | 97.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.8 | 2.2 |  | 49.1 | 0 | 50.9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.3 | 43.8 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 51.2 | 1.2 | 52.3 | 1.3 | 0 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## A \& R Engineering, Inc.

2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' 0 ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Village Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210171
Site Code : 20210171
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for | Entire | interse | ction | egins at | 7:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 58 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 75 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 54 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| Total Volume | 8 | 233 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 236 | 5 | 241 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 494 |
| \% App. Total | 3.3 | 96.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.9 | 2.1 |  | 41.7 | 0 | 58.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 667 | . 777 | . 000 | . 793 | . 000 | . 937 | . 625 | . 941 | . 625 | 000 | . 875 | 1.00 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 876 |



## A \& R Engineering, Inc.

2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' 0 ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Village Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210171
Site Code : 20210171
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 55 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| 05:00 PM | 3 | 69 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 05:30 PM | 1 | 48 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| Total Volume | 7 | 235 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 334 | 8 | 342 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 597 |
| \% App. Total | 2.9 | 97.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.7 | 2.3 |  | 46.2 | 0 | 53.8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 583 | . 851 | . 000 | . 840 | . 000 | . 835 | . 667 | . 838 | . 750 | . 000 | . 583 | . 650 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 838 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ SR 44 Greens boro Rd 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

Groups Printed- Cars, Buses - Trucks

|  | Old Phonix Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 ( Greensboro Rd ) Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 5 | 36 | 40 | 81 | 19 | 14 | 10 | 43 | 29 | 51 | 9 | 89 | 30 | 36 | 12 | 78 | 291 |
| 07:15 AM | 18 | 32 | 69 | 119 | 27 | 15 | 16 | 58 | 25 | 68 | 13 | 106 | 37 | 42 | 10 | 89 | 372 |
| 07:30 AM | 12 | 37 | 68 | 117 | 44 | 22 | 15 | 81 | 28 | 81 | 20 | 129 | 42 | 45 | 10 | 97 | 424 |
| 07:45 AM | 16 | 43 | 99 | 158 | 34 | 21 | 16 | 71 | 47 | 95 | 12 | 154 | 35 | 41 | 20 | 96 | 479 |
| Total | 51 | 148 | 276 | 475 | 124 | 72 | 57 | 253 | 129 | 295 | 54 | 478 | 144 | 164 | 52 | 360 | 1566 |
| 08:00 AM | 12 | 33 | 85 | 130 | 34 | 16 | 15 | 65 | 28 | 108 | 28 | 164 | 37 | 52 | 19 | 108 | 467 |
| 08:15 AM | 13 | 30 | 97 | 140 | 54 | 36 | 17 | 107 | 28 | 76 | 16 | 120 | 53 | 36 | 27 | 116 | 483 |
| 08:30 AM | 22 | 38 | 78 | 138 | 36 | 31 | 17 | 84 | 16 | 78 | 14 | 108 | 53 | 41 | 16 | 110 | 440 |
| 08:45 AM | 6 | 33 | 85 | 124 | 45 | 28 | 16 | 89 | 15 | 70 | 15 | 100 | 64 | 42 | 19 | 125 | 438 |
| Total | 53 | 134 | 345 | 532 | 169 | 111 | 65 | 345 | 87 | 332 | 73 | 492 | 207 | 171 | 81 | 459 | 1828 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 10 | 36 | 69 | 115 | 40 | 45 | 17 | 102 | 14 | 51 | 12 | 77 | 90 | 77 | 20 | 187 | 481 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 7 | 26 | 65 | 98 | 33 | 35 | 19 | 87 | 21 | 57 | 17 | 95 | 91 | 71 | 18 | 180 | 460 |
| 04:30 PM | 14 | 20 | 64 | 98 | 31 | 27 | 22 | 80 | 18 | 46 | 17 | 81 | 95 | 77 | 25 | 197 | 456 |
| 04:45 PM | 18 | 17 | 67 | 102 | 32 | 27 | 24 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 84 | 29 | 195 | 380 |
| Total | 49 | 99 | 265 | 413 | 136 | 134 | 82 | 352 | 53 | 154 | 46 | 253 | 358 | 309 | 92 | 759 | 1777 |
| 05:00 PM | 23 | 15 | 78 | 116 | 40 | 53 | 29 | 122 | 22 | 56 | 17 | 95 | 95 | 87 | 26 | 208 | 541 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 19 | 77 | 96 | 32 | 47 | 26 | 105 | 19 | 57 | 18 | 94 | 99 | 91 | 17 | 207 | 502 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 24 | 76 | 116 | 21 | 38 | 25 | 84 | 17 | 68 | 22 | 107 | 112 | 105 | 13 | 230 | 537 |
| 05:45 PM | 16 | 22 | 68 | 106 | 19 | 32 | 24 | 75 | 14 | 63 | 15 | 92 | 99 | 101 | 11 | 211 | 484 |
| Total | 55 | 80 | 299 | 434 | 112 | 170 | 104 | 386 | 72 | 244 | 72 | 388 | 405 | 384 | 67 | 856 | 2064 |
| Grand Total | 208 | 461 | 1185 | 1854 | 541 | 487 | 308 | 1336 | 341 | 1025 | 245 | 1611 | 1114 | 1028 | 292 | 2434 | 7235 |
| Apprch \% | 11.2 | 24.9 | 63.9 |  | 40.5 | 36.5 | 23.1 |  | 21.2 | 63.6 | 15.2 |  | 45.8 | 42.2 | 12 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.9 | 6.4 | 16.4 | 25.6 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 18.5 | 4.7 | 14.2 | 3.4 | 22.3 | 15.4 | 14.2 | 4 | 33.6 |  |
| Cars, Buses | 200 | 444 | 1159 | 1803 | 496 | 459 | 297 | 1252 | 331 | 948 | 237 | 1516 | 1087 | 986 | 261 | 2334 | 6905 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 96.2 | 96.3 | 97.8 | 97.2 | 91.7 | 94.3 | 96.4 | 93.7 | 97.1 | 92.5 | 96.7 | 94.1 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 89.4 | 95.9 | 95.4 |
| Trucks | 8 | 17 | 26 | 51 | 45 | 28 | 11 | 84 | 10 | 77 | 8 | 95 | 27 | 42 | 31 | 100 | 330 |
| \% Trucks | 3.8 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 10.6 | 4.1 | 4.6 |

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ SR 44 Greens boro Rd 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210170
Site Code : 20210170
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Old Phonix Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 ( Greensboro Rd ) Westbound |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for | Entire | nterse | ction B | gins at | 7:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 16 | 43 | 99 | 158 | 34 | 21 | 16 | 71 | 47 | 95 | 12 | 154 | 35 | 41 | 20 | 96 | 479 |
| 08:00 AM | 12 | 33 | 85 | 130 | 34 | 16 | 15 | 65 | 28 | 108 | 28 | 164 | 37 | 52 | 19 | 108 | 467 |
| 08:15 AM | 13 | 30 | 97 | 140 | 54 | 36 | 17 | 107 | 28 | 76 | 16 | 120 | 53 | 36 | 27 | 116 | 483 |
| 08:30 AM | 22 | 38 | 78 | 138 | 36 | 31 | 17 | 84 | 16 | 78 | 14 | 108 | 53 | 41 | 16 | 110 | 440 |
| Total Volume | 63 | 144 | 359 | 566 | 158 | 104 | 65 | 327 | 119 | 357 | 70 | 546 | 178 | 170 | 82 | 430 | 1869 |
| \% App. Total | 11.1 | 25.4 | 63.4 |  | 48.3 | 31.8 | 19.9 |  | 21.8 | 65.4 | 12.8 |  | 41.4 | 39.5 | 19.1 |  |  |
| PHF | 716 | . 837 | . 907 | . 896 | . 731 | . 722 | . 956 | . 764 | . 633 | . 826 | . 625 | . 832 | . 840 | . 817 | . 759 | . 927 | . 967 |
| Cars, Buses | 61 | 137 | 345 | 543 | 137 | 92 | 62 | 291 | 116 | 328 | 66 | 510 | 168 | 156 | 72 | 396 | 1740 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 96.8 | 95.1 | 96.1 | 95.9 | 86.7 | 88.5 | 95.4 | 89.0 | 97.5 | 91.9 | 94.3 | 93.4 | 94.4 | 91.8 | 87.8 | 92.1 | 93.1 |
| Trucks | 2 | 7 | 14 | 23 | 21 | 12 | 3 | 36 | 3 | 29 | 4 | 36 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 34 | 129 |
| \% Trucks | 3.2 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 13.3 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 8.1 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 8.2 | 12.2 | 7.9 | 6.9 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ SR 44 Greens boro Rd 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210170
Site Code : 20210170
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Old Phonix Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 ( Greensboro Rd ) Westbound |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 23 | 15 | 78 | 116 | 40 | 53 | 29 | 122 | 22 | 56 | 17 | 95 | 95 | 87 | 26 | 208 | 541 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 19 | 77 | 96 | 32 | 47 | 26 | 105 | 19 | 57 | 18 | 94 | 99 | 91 | 17 | 207 | 502 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 24 | 76 | 116 | 21 | 38 | 25 | 84 | 17 | 68 | 22 | 107 | 112 | 105 | 13 | 230 | 537 |
| 05:45 PM | 16 | 22 | 68 | 106 | 19 | 32 | 24 | 75 | 14 | 63 | 15 | 92 | 99 | 101 | 11 | 211 | 484 |
| Total Volume | 55 | 80 | 299 | 434 | 112 | 170 | 104 | 386 | 72 | 244 | 72 | 388 | 405 | 384 | 67 | 856 | 2064 |
| \% App. Total | 12.7 | 18.4 | 68.9 |  | 29 | 44 | 26.9 |  | 18.6 | 62.9 | 18.6 |  | 47.3 | 44.9 | 7.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 598 | . 833 | . 958 | . 935 | . 700 | . 802 | . 897 | . 791 | . 818 | . 897 | . 818 | . 907 | . 904 | . 914 | . 644 | . 930 | . 954 |
| Cars, Buses | 53 | 79 | 299 | 431 | 112 | 167 | 102 | 381 | 71 | 239 | 71 | 381 | 400 | 378 | 62 | 840 | 2033 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 96.4 | 98.8 | 100 | 99.3 | 100 | 98.2 | 98.1 | 98.7 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 98.2 | 98.8 | 98.4 | 92.5 | 98.1 | 98.5 |
| Trucks | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 31 |
| \% Trucks | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 1.5 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) 7-9 am l 4-6 pm

Groups Printed- Cars, Buses - Trucks

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 44 | 10 | 54 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 98 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 42 | 8 | 50 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 113 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 45 | 18 | 63 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 121 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 61 | 17 | 78 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 145 |
| Total | 0 | 192 | 53 | 245 | 5 | 203 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 477 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 51 | 8 | 59 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 130 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 48 | 8 | 56 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 134 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 38 | 10 | 48 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 112 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 47 | 6 | 53 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 120 |
| Total | 0 | 184 | 32 | 216 | 2 | 245 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 496 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 0 | 67 | 5 | 72 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 144 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 50 | 3 | 53 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 112 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 59 | 3 | 62 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 57 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 132 |
| Total | 0 | 233 | 18 | 251 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 513 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 177 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 66 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 160 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 128 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 46 | 4 | 50 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
| Total | 0 | 235 | 6 | 241 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 581 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 844 | 109 | 953 | 7 | 969 | 0 | 976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 7 | 138 | 2067 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 88.6 | 11.4 |  | 0.7 | 99.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 94.9 | 0 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 40.8 | 5.3 | 46.1 | 0.3 | 46.9 | 0 | 47.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 6.7 |  |
| Cars, Buses | 0 | 798 | 75 | 873 | 6 | 923 | 0 | 929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 5 | 102 | 1904 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 0 | 94.5 | 68.8 | 91.6 | 85.7 | 95.3 | 0 | 95.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 71.4 | 73.9 | 92.1 |
| Trucks | 0 | 46 | 34 | 80 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 163 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 5.5 | 31.2 | 8.4 | 14.3 | 4.7 | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 28.6 | 26.1 | 7.9 |

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) 7-9 am l 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210169
Site Code : 20210169
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM

| 07:30 AM | 0 | 45 | 18 | 63 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 121 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 61 | 17 | 78 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 145 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 51 | 8 | 59 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 130 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 48 | 8 | 56 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 134 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 205 | 51 | 256 | 1 | 245 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 530 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 80.1 | 19.9 |  | 0.4 | 99.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 92.9 | 0 | 7.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 840 | . 708 | . 821 | . 250 | . 888 | . 000 | . 879 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 813 | . 000 | . 250 | . 778 | . 914 |
| Cars, Buses | 0 | 194 | 39 | 233 | 1 | 223 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 472 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 0 | 94.6 | 76.5 | 91.0 | 100 | 91.0 | 0 | 91.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0 | 0 | 100 | 53.6 | 89.1 |
| Trucks | 0 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 58 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 5.4 | 23.5 | 9.0 | 0 | 9.0 | 0 | 8.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 46.4 | 10.9 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) 7-9 am l 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210169
Site Code : 20210169
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3


Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM

| 04:45 PM | 0 | 57 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 177 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 66 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 160 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 128 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 246 | 9 | 255 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 63 | 597 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 96.5 | 3.5 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 96.8 | 0 | 3.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 809 | . 321 | . 839 | . 000 | . 861 | . 000 | . 861 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 663 | . 000 | . 500 | . 685 | . 843 |
| Cars, Buses | 0 | 231 | 4 | 235 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 570 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 0 | 93.9 | 44.4 | 92.2 | 0 | 98.2 | 0 | 98.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.4 | 0 | 50.0 | 96.8 | 95.5 |
| Trucks | 0 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 27 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 6.1 | 55.6 | 7.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 50.0 | 3.2 | 4.5 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', <br> Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd
7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars,Buses \& Trucks

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 17 | 3 | 20 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 63 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 27 | 48 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 116 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 23 | 59 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 127 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 20 | 7 | 27 | 35 | 58 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 141 |
| Total | 0 | 78 | 19 | 97 | 94 | 189 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 33 | 1 | 28 | 62 | 447 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 8 | 26 | 22 | 45 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 27 | 121 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 25 | 5 | 30 | 17 | 48 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 112 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 14 | 4 | 18 | 16 | 30 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 81 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 18 | 53 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 119 |
| Total | 0 | 76 | 26 | 102 | 73 | 176 | 1 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 45 | 80 | 433 |


| 09:00 AM | 1 | 27 | 4 | 32 | 27 | 44 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 19 | 124 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 21 | 30 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 94 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 24 | 7 | 31 | 14 | 26 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 85 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 24 | 8 | 32 | 16 | 40 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 24 | 112 |
| Total | 1 | 94 | 28 | 123 | 78 | 140 | 0 | 218 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 33 | 72 | 415 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 13 | 25 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 19 | 85 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 22 | 8 | 30 | 14 | 38 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 27 | 109 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 28 | 4 | 32 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 24 | 97 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 22 | 12 | 34 | 22 | 33 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 17 | 106 |
| Total | 0 | 91 | 33 | 124 | 61 | 125 | 0 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 58 | 87 | 397 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 7 | 25 | 16 | 22 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 22 | 85 |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 20 | 6 | 26 | 17 | 34 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 24 | 101 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 34 | 12 | 46 | 16 | 40 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 124 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 31 | 8 | 39 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 28 | 109 |
| Tota | 0 | 103 | 33 | 136 | 63 | 124 | 0 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 66 | 95 | 419 |


| 12:00 PM | 1 | 22 | 10 | 33 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 26 | 93 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 27 | 4 | 31 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 24 | 98 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 20 | 34 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 34 | 117 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 27 | 5 | 32 | 14 | 37 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 32 | 115 |
| Total | 1 | 95 | 28 | 124 | 60 | 122 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 73 | 116 | 423 |


| $01: 00$ | PM | 0 | 33 | 8 | 41 | 18 | 28 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 16 | 31 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 20 |
| $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 35 | 6 | 41 | 12 | 33 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 27 |
| $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 18 | 11 | 29 | 13 | 31 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 113 |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 115 | 30 | 145 | 59 | 123 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 95 |  |


| 02:00 PM | 0 | 32 | 7 | 39 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 27 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 30 | 4 | 34 | 14 | 44 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 114 |
| 02:30 PM | 1 | 19 | 8 | 28 | 16 | 30 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 25 | 99 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 46 | 8 | 54 | 12 | 38 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 31 | 135 |
| Total | 1 | 127 | 27 | 155 | 50 | 135 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 69 | 105 | 445 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 42 | 12 | 54 | 19 | 44 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 138 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 26 | 11 | 37 | 15 | 51 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 29 | 132 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 26 | 7 | 33 | 22 | 36 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 36 | 127 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 32 | 11 | 43 | 18 | 51 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 29 | 141 |
| Total | 0 | 126 | 41 | 167 | 74 | 182 | 0 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 77 | 115 | 538 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 28 | 9 | 37 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 26 | 106 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 30 | 9 | 39 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 26 | 108 |

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' 0 ', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd 7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 37 | 8 | 45 | 21 | 31 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 34 | 131 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 44 | 7 | 52 | 19 | 37 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 149 |
| Total | 1 | 139 | 33 | 173 | 64 | 130 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 89 | 126 | 494 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 61 | 6 | 68 | 10 | 35 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 29 | 47 | 160 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 53 | 12 | 65 | 18 | 40 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 46 | 58 | 181 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 44 | 9 | 53 | 13 | 43 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 40 | 49 | 158 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 27 | 10 | 37 | 8 | 44 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 31 | 120 |
| Total | 1 | 185 | 37 | 223 | 49 | 162 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 138 | 185 | 619 |


| 06:00 PM | 1 | 32 | 8 | 41 | 11 | 31 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 37 | 4 | 41 | 17 | 30 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 31 | 119 |
| 06:30 PM | 2 | 27 | 5 | 34 | 23 | 27 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 26 | 111 |
| 06:45 PM | 2 | 15 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 71 |
| Total | 5 | 111 | 19 | 135 | 57 | 119 | 0 | 176 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 64 | 89 | 401 |
| Grand Total | 10 | 1340 | 354 | 1704 | 782 | 1727 | 1 | 2510 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 433 | 1 | 793 | 1227 | 5453 |
| Apprch \% | 0.6 | 78.6 | 20.8 |  | 31.2 | 68.8 | 0 |  | 16.7 | 8.3 | 75 |  | 35.3 | 0.1 | 64.6 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.2 | 24.6 | 6.5 | 31.2 | 14.3 | 31.7 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 7.9 | 0 | 14.5 | 22.5 |  |

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd 7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 27 | 48 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 116 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 23 | 59 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 127 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 20 | 7 | 27 | 35 | 58 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 141 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 8 | 26 | 22 | 45 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 27 | 121 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 79 | 24 | 103 | 107 | 210 | 1 | 318 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 46 | 1 | 33 | 80 | 505 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 76.7 | 23.3 |  | 33.6 | 66 | 0.3 |  | 0 | 25 | 75 |  | 57.5 | 1.2 | 41.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 859 | . 750 | . 920 | . 764 | . 890 | . 250 | . 855 | . 000 | . 250 | . 375 | . 500 | . 719 | 250 | . 750 | 741 | . 895 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd 7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 4

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 20 | 34 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 34 | 117 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 27 | 5 | 32 | 14 | 37 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 32 | 115 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 33 | 8 | 41 | 18 | 28 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 113 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 16 | 31 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 101 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 108 | 27 | 135 | 68 | 130 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 63 | 112 | 446 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 80 | 20 |  | 34.3 | 65.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 43.8 | 0 | 56.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 818 | . 750 | . 823 | . 850 | . 878 | . 000 | . 917 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 817 | . 000 | . 788 | . 824 | . 953 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd 7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 5

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 06:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 44 | 7 | 52 | 19 | 37 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 149 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 61 | 6 | 68 | 10 | 35 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 29 | 47 | 160 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 53 | 12 | 65 | 18 | 40 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 46 | 58 | 181 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 44 | 9 | 53 | 13 | 43 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 40 | 49 | 158 |
| Total Volume | 2 | 202 | 34 | 238 | 60 | 155 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 145 | 194 | 648 |
| \% App. Total | 0.8 | 84.9 | 14.3 |  | 27.9 | 72.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 25.3 | 0 | 74.7 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 828 | . 708 | . 875 | . 789 | . 901 | . 000 | . 927 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 681 | . 000 | . 788 | . 836 | . 895 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. 

2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' $O$ ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Harmony Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210172
Site Code : 20210172
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars,Buses \& Trucks

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 3 | 44 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 41 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 42 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 60 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| Total | 12 | 187 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 190 | 11 | 201 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 430 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 48 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 58 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 08:15 AM | 4 | 44 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 08:30 AM | 2 | 38 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 54 | 3 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| 08:45 AM | 2 | 43 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 57 | 4 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| Total | 12 | 173 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 225 | 15 | 240 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 455 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 4 | 63 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 3 | 45 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 52 | 5 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| 04:45 PM | 3 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 3 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| Total | 12 | 215 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 231 | 15 | 246 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 503 |


| 05:00 PM | 4 | 69 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 63 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| 05:30 PM | 4 | 47 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 60 | 4 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 05:45 PM | 4 | 44 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 65 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| Total | 15 | 223 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 277 | 16 | 293 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 557 |
| Grand Total | 51 | 798 | 0 | 849 | 0 | 923 | 57 | 980 | 54 | 0 | 62 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1945 |
| Apprch \% | 6 | 94 | 0 |  | 0 | 94.2 | 5.8 |  | 46.6 | 0 | 53.4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.6 | 41 | 0 | 43.7 | 0 | 47.5 | 2.9 | 50.4 | 2.8 | 0 | 3.2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## A \& R Engineering, Inc.

## 2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' 0 ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Harmony Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210172
Site Code : 20210172
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 42 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 60 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 48 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 58 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 08:15 AM | 4 | 44 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| Total Volume | 13 | 194 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 223 | 14 | 237 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 |
| \% App. Total | 6.3 | 93.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 94.1 | 5.9 |  | 45.5 | 0 | 54.5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 813 | . 808 | . 000 | . 848 | . 000 | . 929 | . 700 | . 926 | . 938 | . 000 | . 900 | . 917 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 910 |



## A \& R Engineering, Inc.

## 2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$, Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Harmony Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210172
Site Code : 20210172
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| 04:45 PM | 3 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 3 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 05:00 PM | 4 | 69 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 63 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| Total Volume | 12 | 239 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 272 | 13 | 285 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 561 |
| \% App. Total | 4.8 | 95.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 95.4 | 4.6 |  | 52 | 0 | 48 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 866 | . 000 | . 860 | . 000 | . 850 | . 813 | . 858 | . 650 | . 000 | . 600 | . 893 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 871 |




Linear Regression of Daily Traffic


EXisting Intersection Andiysis

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 130 | 389 | 76 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 69 | 157 | 172 | 113 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 130 | 389 | 76 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 69 | 157 | 172 | 113 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 134 | 401 | 78 | 200 | 191 | 92 | 71 | 565 | 177 | 189 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |

Switch Phase

|  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 15.0 | 54.2 | 54.2 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 18.0 | 65.8 |
| Total Split (s) | $32.7 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ |
| Total Split (\%) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 2.0 | 2. | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2. |


| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |


| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.74 | 0.14 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 0.24 |
| Control Delay | 37.5 | 47.6 | 1.9 | 38.8 | 23.7 | 5.0 | 29.2 | 55.0 | 52.7 | 14.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 37.5 | 47.6 | 1.9 | 38.8 | 23.7 | 5.0 | 29.2 | 55.0 | 52.7 | 14.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 84 | 289 | 0 | 103 | 97 | 0 | 38 | 336 | 84 | 62 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 146 | $\# 445$ | 11 | $\# 182$ | 154 | 32 | 74 | $\# 547$ | $\# 199$ | 108 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1244 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 548 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 364 | 545 | 557 | 284 | 765 | 679 | 415 | 647 | 222 | 846 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.74 | 0.14 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.80 | 0.22 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 75 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 389 | 76 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 69 | 157 | 391 | 172 | 113 | 71 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 389 | 76 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 69 | 157 | 391 | 172 | 113 | 71 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1856 | 1781 | 1811 | 1811 | 1781 | 1722 | 1856 | 1826 | 1841 | 1707 | 1737 | 1826 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 134 | 401 | 0 | 200 | 191 | 0 | 71 | 162 | 403 | 177 | 116 | 73 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 5 |
| Cap, veh/h | 415 | 534 |  | 289 | 757 |  | 478 | 164 | 407 | 202 | 482 | 303 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1183 | 1781 | 1535 | 1725 | 1781 | 1459 | 1185 | 464 | 1154 | 1626 | 997 | 627 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 134 | 401 | 0 | 200 | 191 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 565 | 177 | 0 | 189 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1183 | 1781 | 1535 | 1725 | 1781 | 1459 | 1185 | 0 | 1618 | 1626 | 0 | 1624 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 10.7 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 10.7 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.39 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 415 | 534 |  | 289 | 757 |  | 478 | 0 | 570 | 202 | 0 | 785 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.32 | 0.75 |  | 0.69 | 0.25 |  | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 415 | 534 |  | 289 | 757 |  | 478 | 0 | 570 | 233 | 0 | 816 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 33.2 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 38.7 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 18.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 2.1 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 3.2 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 35.2 | 47.3 | 0.0 | 35.4 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 73.8 | 55.2 | 0.0 | 18.3 |


| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | C | C | A | E | E | A |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 535 | A | 391 | A | 636 | 366 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 44.3 |  | 29.4 |  | 68.6 | 36.1 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | E | D |  |  |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 41.5 | 63.5 | 56.5 | 15.7 | 47.8 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 9.5 | 33.7 | 60.3 | 48.7 | 12.5 | 42.3 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 11.5 | 26.4 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 43.7 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 47.7

HCM 6th LOS

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1263 | - | 599 | - |
| - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | -0.025 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.9 | 0 | 11.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 28 | 2 | 223 | 56 | 1 | 267 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 28 | 2 | 223 | 56 | 1 | 267 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 50 | 2 | 5 | 24 | 2 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 31 | 2 | 245 | 62 | 1 | 293 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 424 | 1254 |
| - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.078 | 0.001 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 14.2 | 7.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95 Ath \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.3 | 0 |




| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1279 | -617 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.012 | -0.064 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | 0 | 11.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |  | \& |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1 | 3 | 50 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 86 | 26 | 117 | 229 | 1 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1 | 3 | 50 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 86 | 26 | 117 | 229 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 1 | 3 | 56 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 96 | 29 | 127 | 249 | 1 |  |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1316 | - | - | 607 | 493 | 1462 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | - | -.007 | 0.196 | 0.087 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | - | 11 | 14.1 | 7.7 | 0 |



| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | 4 | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | F' | \% | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 73 | 246 | 73 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 56 | 81 | 113 | 172 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 73 | 246 | 73 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 56 | 81 | 113 | 172 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 77 | 259 | 77 | 431 | 408 | 72 | 59 | 403 | 119 | 292 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |

Switch Phase

|  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 34.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 53.0 |
| Total Split (s) | $27.5 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ |
| Total Split (\%) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2. | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2. | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |


| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |


| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |


| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.65 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.48 | 0.88 | 0.66 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay | 34.9 | 34.8 | 1.9 | 19.9 | 15.5 | 3.4 | 52.8 | 48.6 | 62.2 | 31.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.9 | 34.8 | 1.9 | 19.9 | 15.5 | 3.4 | 52.8 | 48.6 | 62.2 | 31.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 42 | 151 | 0 | 173 | 159 | 0 | 40 | 195 | 67 | 163 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 98 | 272 | 10 | 296 | 271 | 23 | 80 | 300 | 101 | 220 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1244 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 548 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 350 | 669 | 647 | 712 | 1105 | 917 | 165 | 557 | 204 | 713 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.61 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.41 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 73 | 246 | 73 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 56 | 81 | 302 | 113 | 172 | 105 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 73 | 246 | 73 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 56 | 81 | 302 | 113 | 172 | 105 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1781 | 1841 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 77 | 259 | 0 | 431 | 408 | 0 | 59 | 85 | 318 | 119 | 181 | 111 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 385 | 621 |  | 627 | 1038 |  | 200 | 90 | 337 | 147 | 384 | 235 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 978 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1510 | 1070 | 345 | 1292 | 1781 | 1085 | 665 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 77 | 259 | 0 | 431 | 408 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 403 | 119 | 0 | 292 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 978 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1510 | 1070 | 0 | 1638 | 1781 | 0 | 1751 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 6.9 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 15.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 6.9 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 15.5 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.79 | 1.00 |  | 0.38 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 385 | 621 |  | 627 | 1038 |  | 200 | 0 | 427 | 147 | 0 | 619 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.20 | 0.42 |  | 0.69 | 0.39 |  | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.47 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 385 | 621 |  | 735 | 1038 |  | 211 | 0 | 444 | 204 | 0 | 693 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 29.0 | 31.1 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 47.9 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 55.3 | 0.0 | 30.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 1.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 6.4 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 30.2 | 33.1 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 70.9 | 0.0 | 30.6 |


| LnGrp LOS | C | C | C | B | D | A | E | E | A |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 336 | A | 839 | A | 462 |  | 411 |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 32.5 |  | 19.0 |  | 69.0 | 42.3 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B |  | E | D |  |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 26.7 | 45.4 | 47.9 | 72.1 | 11.1 | 36.8 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 28.5 | 27.5 | 47.5 | 61.5 | 9.5 | 32.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 20.2 | 14.9 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 5.7 | 31.0 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 1.0 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay
HCM 6th LOS
D

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1148 | -503 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.007 | -0.031 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 0 | 12.4 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 62 | 2 | 248 | 9 | 0 | 282 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 62 | 2 | 248 | 9 | 0 | 282 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 50 | 6 | 56 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 74 | 2 | 295 | 11 | 0 | 336 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -445 | 1255 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.171 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -14.8 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.6 | 0 |


|  |  | Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | * | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 13 | 12 | 12 | 241 | 275 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 13 | 12 | 12 | 241 | 275 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized |  | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length |  | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# |  | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 15 | 14 | 14 | 277 | 316 | 15 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1228 | -540 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.011 | -0.053 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8 | 0 | 12 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 146 | 2 | 204 | 34 | 61 | 157 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 146 | 2 | 204 | 34 | 61 | 157 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized |  | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 162 | 2 | 227 | 38 | 66 | 171 | 0 |  |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1406 | - | - | 873 | 650 | 1299 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | - | -001 | 0.333 | 0.051 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 0 | - | 9.1 | 13.3 | 7.9 | 0 |

## FUTURE"NO-BUILD" INTERSECTION AnALYSIS

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ | \% | $\hat{1}$ |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 143 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 76 | 173 | 190 | 125 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 76 | 173 | 190 | 125 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 147 | 442 | 87 | 221 | 210 | 101 | 78 | 623 | 196 | 209 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{pt}$ | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 15.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 17.0 | 64.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.2\% | 34.2\% | 34.2\% | 12.5\% | 46.7\% | 46.7\% | 39.2\% | 39.2\% | 14.2\% | 53.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.42 | 0.82 | 0.16 | 0.90 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.26 |
| Control Delay | 38.3 | 53.1 | 2.6 | 63.5 | 23.9 | 4.6 | 29.3 | 63.5 | 78.3 | 15.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 38.3 | 53.1 | 2.6 | 63.5 | 23.9 | 4.6 | 29.3 | 63.5 | 78.3 | 15.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 91 | 319 | 0 | 112 | 105 | 0 | 42 | 407 | 106 | 75 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 155 | \#482 | 17 | \#231 | 163 | 33 | 82 | \#656 | \#255 | 126 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1244 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 548 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 353 | 539 | 554 | 245 | 767 | 687 | 400 | 637 | 209 | 821 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.42 | 0.82 | 0.16 | 0.90 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.25 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 90 (75\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 143 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 76 | 173 | 432 | 190 | 125 | 78 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 143 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 76 | 173 | 432 | 190 | 125 | 78 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1930 | 1853 | 1884 | 1884 | 1853 | 1791 | 1856 | 1826 | 1841 | 1707 | 1737 | 1826 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 147 | 442 | 0 | 221 | 210 | 0 | 78 | 178 | 445 | 196 | 129 | 80 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 5 |
| Cap, veh/h | 418 | 548 |  | 274 | 780 |  | 462 | 160 | 400 | 216 | 489 | 303 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1209 | 1853 | 1596 | 1794 | 1853 | 1518 | 1163 | 462 | 1156 | 1626 | 1003 | 622 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 147 | 442 | 0 | 221 | 210 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 623 | 196 | 0 | 209 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1209 | 1853 | 1596 | 1794 | 1853 | 1518 | 1163 | 0 | 1618 | 1626 | 0 | 1625 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 11.7 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 41.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 11.7 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 41.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.38 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 418 | 548 |  | 274 | 780 |  | 462 | 0 | 560 | 216 | 0 | 792 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.35 | 0.81 |  | 0.81 | 0.27 |  | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 418 | 548 |  | 274 | 780 |  | 462 | 0 | 560 | 216 | 0 | 792 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 33.9 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 18.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 2.3 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 73.1 | 37.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 3.6 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 36.2 | 51.1 | 0.0 | 47.6 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 112.4 | 69.3 | 0.0 | 18.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D |  | D | C |  | C | A | F | E | A | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 589 | A |  | 431 | A |  | 701 |  |  | 405 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 47.4 |  |  | 35.9 |  |  | 102.9 |  |  | 42.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 41.0 | 64.0 | 56.0 | 17.0 | 47.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 9.5 | 35.5 | 58.5 | 50.5 | 11.5 | 41.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 11.5 | 28.5 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 43.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 62.5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | E |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 667 | 327 | 330 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 327 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 340 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 424 | 714 | 1229 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 731 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 721 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 419 | 714 | 1229 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 419 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 723 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 721 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.7 | 0.3 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1229 | -557 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.009 | -0.031 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8 | 0 | 11.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 2 | 246 | 62 | 1 | 295 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 2 | 246 | 62 | 1 | 295 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 50 | 2 | 5 | 24 | 2 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 2 | 270 | 68 | 1 | 324 |




| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 593 | 305 | 314 | 0 | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 305 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 288 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 468 | 735 | 1246 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 748 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 761 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 461 | 735 | 1246 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 461 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | 737 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 761 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.7 | 0.5 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1246 | -580 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.013 | -0.076 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.9 | 0 | 11.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \& |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1 | 3 | 55 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 95 | 29 | 129 | 253 | 1 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1 | 3 | 55 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 95 | 29 | 129 | 253 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Star | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 1 | 3 | 61 | 1 | 44 | 0 | 106 | 32 | 140 | 275 | 1 |  |



|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | 4 | 「 | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ | \% | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 81 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 62 | 89 | 125 | 190 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 81 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 62 | 89 | 125 | 190 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 85 | 286 | 85 | 475 | 451 | 79 | 65 | 445 | 132 | 322 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 34.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 53.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 27.5\% | 27.5\% | 27.5\% | 28.3\% | 55.8\% | 55.8\% | 31.7\% | 31.7\% | 12.5\% | 44.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.76 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.90 | 0.66 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay | 39.6 | 40.5 | 2.8 | 26.0 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 39.4 | 51.4 | 42.4 | 29.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 39.6 | 40.5 | 2.8 | 26.0 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 39.4 | 51.4 | 42.4 | 29.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 52 | 189 | 0 | 220 | 202 | 0 | 41 | 226 | 69 | 172 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 108 | 298 | 17 | 329 | 302 | 24 | 80 | \#380 | 111 | 248 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1244 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 548 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 293 | 584 | 582 | 659 | 1073 | 896 | 280 | 557 | 201 | 713 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.80 | 0.66 | 0.45 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 81 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 62 | 89 | 333 | 125 | 190 | 116 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 81 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 62 | 89 | 333 | 125 | 190 | 116 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1945 | 1945 | 1945 | 1945 | 1945 | 1853 | 1841 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 85 | 286 | 0 | 475 | 451 | 0 | 65 | 94 | 351 | 132 | 200 | 122 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 333 | 544 |  | 604 | 1019 |  | 316 | 94 | 350 | 181 | 418 | 255 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 977 | 1945 | 1648 | 1853 | 1945 | 1570 | 1041 | 346 | 1292 | 1781 | 1088 | 663 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 85 | 286 | 0 | 475 | 451 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 445 | 132 | 0 | 322 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 977 | 1945 | 1648 | 1853 | 1945 | 1570 | 1041 | 0 | 1638 | 1781 | 0 | 1751 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 8.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 16.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 8.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 16.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.79 | 1.00 |  | 0.38 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 333 | 544 |  | 604 | 1019 |  | 316 | 0 | 444 | 181 | 0 | 673 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.26 | 0.53 |  | 0.79 | 0.44 |  | 0.21 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.48 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 333 | 544 |  | 677 | 1019 |  | 316 | 0 | 444 | 201 | 0 | 693 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 34.1 | 36.5 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 31.9 | 0.0 | 27.9 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.8 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 2.1 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 36.0 | 40.1 | 0.0 | 28.2 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 36.7 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 28.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D |  | C | B |  | D | A | F | D | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 371 | A |  | 926 | A |  | 510 |  |  | 454 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 39.2 |  |  | 23.7 |  |  | 80.8 |  |  | 32.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 29.3 | 39.0 | 51.6 | 68.4 | 13.6 | 38.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 28.5 | 27.5 | 47.5 | 61.5 | 9.5 | 32.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 22.9 | 16.9 | 18.6 | 19.2 | 8.2 | 34.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.9 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 41.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 7 | 8 | 8 | 262 | 372 | 9 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 7 | 8 | 8 | 262 | 372 | 9 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 10 | 10 | 312 | 443 | 11 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 781 | 449 | 454 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 449 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 332 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 363 | 610 | 1107 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 643 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 727 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 359 | 610 | 1107 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 359 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 636 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 727 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.1 | 0.2 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1107 | -460 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.009 | -0.039 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.3 | 0 | 13.1 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  | 1 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 68 | 2 | 274 | 10 | 0 | 311 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 68 | 2 | 274 | 10 | 0 | 311 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 50 | 6 | 56 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 81 | 2 | 326 | 12 | 0 | 370 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | - | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 14 | 13 | 13 | 266 | 304 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 14 | 13 | 13 | 266 | 304 | 14 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 16 | 15 | 15 | 306 | 349 | 16 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Major2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 693 | 357 | 365 | 0 | - | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 357 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 336 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 409 | 687 | 1194 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 708 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 724 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 403 | 687 | 1194 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 403 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 1 | 697 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stage 2 | 724 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.6 | 0.4 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1194 | -503 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.013 | -0.062 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.1 | 0 | 12.6 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |




Future "Build" Intersection Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \% | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 207 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 76 | 205 | 239 | 149 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 207 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 76 | 205 | 239 | 149 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 213 | 442 | 87 | 221 | 210 | 167 | 78 | 656 | 246 | 285 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 15.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 20.0 | 68.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 30.8\% | 30.8\% | 30.8\% | 12.5\% | 43.3\% | 43.3\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 16.7\% | 56.7\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.96 | 0.18 | 1.13 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.33 |
| Control Delay | 54.0 | 77.0 | 3.0 | 131.3 | 27.2 | 4.6 | 28.8 | 74.3 | 88.2 | 14.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 54.0 | 77.0 | 3.0 | 131.3 | 27.2 | 4.6 | 28.8 | 74.3 | 88.2 | 14.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 150 | 338 | 0 | ~147 | 112 | 0 | 42 | $\sim 487$ | 146 | 98 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#255 | \#543 | 18 | \#309 | 175 | 44 | 81 | \#720 | \#316 | 157 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1249 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 550 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 303 | 461 | 490 | 196 | 681 | 661 | 382 | 642 | 248 | 876 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.96 | 0.18 | 1.13 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.33 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 ( $0 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 120
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 207 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 76 | 205 | 432 | 239 | 149 | 127 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 207 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 76 | 205 | 432 | 239 | 149 | 127 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1856 | 1781 | 1811 | 1811 | 1781 | 1722 | 1856 | 1826 | 1841 | 1707 | 1737 | 1826 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 213 | 442 | 0 | 221 | 210 | 0 | 78 | 211 | 445 | 246 | 154 | 131 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 5 |
| Cap, veh/h | 365 | 468 |  | 214 | 690 |  | 444 | 185 | 391 | 256 | 451 | 384 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1162 | 1781 | 1535 | 1725 | 1781 | 1459 | 1086 | 523 | 1104 | 1626 | 867 | 737 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 213 | 442 | 0 | 221 | 210 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 656 | 246 | 0 | 285 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1162 | 1781 | 1535 | 1725 | 1781 | 1459 | 1086 | 0 | 1627 | 1626 | 0 | 1604 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 19.9 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 12.4 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 19.9 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 12.4 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.68 | 1.00 |  | 0.46 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 365 | 468 |  | 214 | 690 |  | 444 | 0 | 576 | 256 | 0 | 836 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.58 | 0.95 |  | 1.03 | 0.30 |  | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 365 | 468 |  | 214 | 690 |  | 444 | 0 | 576 | 256 | 0 | 836 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 40.0 | 43.4 | 0.0 | 35.5 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 38.8 | 35.8 | 0.0 | 16.8 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 6.7 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 70.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 44.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 6.1 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 46.6 | 73.4 | 0.0 | 105.7 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 120.5 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 17.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E |  | F | C |  | C | A | F | F | A | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 655 | A |  | 431 | A |  | 734 |  |  | 531 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 64.7 |  |  | 67.2 |  |  | 110.6 |  |  | 46.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 37.0 | 68.0 | 52.0 | 20.0 | 48.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 9.5 | 31.5 | 62.5 | 46.5 | 14.5 | 42.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 11.5 | 31.2 | 14.4 | 11.8 | 15.6 | 44.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 75.4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | E |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 9 | 10 | 440 | 406 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 9 | 10 | 440 | 406 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 10 | 11 | 500 | 461 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | ajor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 987 | 465 | 468 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 465 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 522 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 274 | 597 | 1094 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 632 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 595 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 270 | 597 | 1094 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 270 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 623 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 595 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.4 |  | 0.2 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT EBLn1 |  | SBT | SBR |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1094 | - | 402 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.01 | - | 0.042 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.3 | 0 | 14.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 2 | 406 | 62 | 1 | 417 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 2 | 406 | 62 | 1 | 417 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 50 | 2 | 5 | 24 | 2 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 2 | 446 | 68 | 1 | 458 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 940 | 480 | 0 | 0 | 514 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 480 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 460 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.9 | 6.22 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.9 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.9 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.95 | 3.318 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 241 | 586 | - | - | 1052 | - |
| Stage 1 | 534 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 546 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 241 | 586 | - | - | 1052 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 241 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 534 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 545 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 21.8 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 250 | 1052 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.145 | 0.001 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 21.8 | 8.4 | 0 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | C | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.5 | 0 | - |






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 1 | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 4 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 20 | 97 | 129 | 280 | 319 | 28 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 20 | 97 | 129 | 280 | 319 | 28 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 175 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 22 | 105 | 140 | 304 | 347 | 30 |





|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 156 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 62 | 127 | 195 | 225 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 156 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 62 | 127 | 195 | 225 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 164 | 286 | 85 | 475 | 451 | 158 | 65 | 485 | 205 | 433 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( $s$ ) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 27.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 18.0 | 57.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 22.5\% | 52.5\% | 52.5\% | 32.5\% | 32.5\% | 15.0\% | 47.5\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.93 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.58 |
| Control Delay | 53.3 | 43.7 | 2.8 | 49.8 | 22.9 | 3.2 | 37.4 | 61.0 | 55.1 | 27.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 53.3 | 43.7 | 2.8 | 49.8 | 22.9 | 3.2 | 37.4 | 61.0 | 55.1 | 27.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 115 | 196 | 0 | 255 | 233 | 0 | 39 | 291 | 102 | 223 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#213 | 290 | 17 | \#414 | 329 | 36 | 80 | \#491 | \#231 | 327 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1249 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 550 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 253 | 504 | 518 | 511 | 923 | 820 | 260 | 541 | 246 | 769 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.93 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.56 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 156 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 62 | 127 | 333 | 195 | 225 | 186 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 156 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 62 | 127 | 333 | 195 | 225 | 186 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1781 | 1841 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 164 | 286 | 0 | 475 | 451 | 0 | 65 | 134 | 351 | 205 | 237 | 196 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 306 | 490 |  | 520 | 911 |  | 275 | 128 | 334 | 232 | 399 | 330 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 940 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1510 | 940 | 457 | 1198 | 1781 | 947 | 783 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 164 | 286 | 0 | 475 | 451 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 485 | 205 | 0 | 433 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 940 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1510 | 940 | 0 | 1655 | 1781 | 0 | 1729 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 18.7 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 23.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 18.7 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 23.2 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 0.45 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 306 | 490 |  | 520 | 911 |  | 275 | 0 | 462 | 232 | 0 | 729 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.54 | 0.58 |  | 0.91 | 0.50 |  | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.59 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 306 | 490 |  | 520 | 911 |  | 275 | 0 | 462 | 246 | 0 | 742 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 39.6 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 38.4 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 26.8 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 6.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 28.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 4.7 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 46.2 | 43.6 | 0.0 | 48.8 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 38.8 | 0.0 | 98.8 | 58.9 | 0.0 | 28.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D |  | D | C |  | D | A | F | E | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 450 | A |  | 926 | A |  | 550 |  |  | 638 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 44.6 |  |  | 36.1 |  |  | 91.7 |  |  | 38.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 27.0 | 36.9 | 56.1 | 63.9 | 17.1 | 39.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 21.5 | 30.5 | 51.5 | 57.5 | 12.5 | 33.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 23.5 | 20.7 | 25.2 | 21.6 | 11.5 | 35.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 50.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 68 | 2 | 462 | 10 | 0 | 487 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 68 | 2 | 462 | 10 | 0 | 487 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 50 | 6 | 56 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 81 | 2 | 550 | 12 | 0 | 580 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1136 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 562 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 556 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 580 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.7 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.75 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 223 | 449 | - | - | 1009 | - |
| Stage 1 | 574 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 560 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 223 | 449 | - | - | 1009 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 223 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 574 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 560 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 29.9 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 226 | 1009 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.369 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 29.9 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.6 | 0 | - |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement EBL | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 161 | 2 | 272 | 50 | 67 | 223 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 161 | 2 | 272 | 50 | 67 | 223 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized |  | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% |  | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow |  | 0 | 1 | 74 | 0 | 179 | 2 | 302 | 56 | 73 | 242 | 0 |  |







Traffic Volume Worksheets

# 21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road 

A\&R Engineering Traffic Volumes

## 1.Harmony Rd @ SR 44

A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Old Phoenix Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 63 | 144 | 359 | 566 | 158 | 104 | 65 | 327 | 119 | 357 | 70 | 546 | 178 | 170 | 82 | 430 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 69 | 157 | 391 | 617 | 172 | 113 | 71 | 356 | 130 | 389 | 76 | 595 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 468 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 76 | 173 | 432 | 681 | 190 | 125 | 78 | 393 | 143 | 429 | 84 | 656 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 516 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 49 | 24 | 49 | 122 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 64 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 76 | 205 | 432 | 713 | 239 | 149 | 127 | 515 | 207 | 429 | 84 | 720 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 580 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Old Phoenix Road <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 55 | 80 | 299 | 434 | 112 | 170 | 104 | 386 | 72 | 244 | 72 | 388 | 405 | 384 | 67 | 856 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 56 | 81 | 302 | 439 | 113 | 172 | 105 | 390 | 73 | 246 | 73 | 392 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 865 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 62 | 89 | 333 | 484 | 125 | 190 | 116 | 431 | 81 | 272 | 81 | 434 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 954 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 70 | 35 | 70 | 175 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 62 | 127 | 333 | 522 | 195 | 225 | 186 | 606 | 156 | 272 | 81 | 509 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 1029 |

## 21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road

A\&R Engineering
Traffic Volumes
2. Harmony Rd @ Village Ln
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 8 | 233 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 236 | 5 | 241 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 9 | 254 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 257 | 5 | 262 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 10 | 280 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 284 | 6 | 290 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 160 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 10 | 440 | 0 | 450 | 0 | 406 | 6 | 412 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 7 | 235 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 334 | 8 | 342 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 7 | 237 | 0 | 244 | 0 | 337 | 8 | 345 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 8 | 262 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 372 | 9 | 381 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 188 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 8 | 450 | 0 | 458 | 0 | 548 | 9 | 557 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road
A\&R Engineering Traffic Volumes
3. Harmony Rd @ Sammons I Pkwy
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Sammons Industrial Parkway (South) <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 205 | 51 | 256 | 1 | 245 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 223 | 56 | 279 | 1 | 267 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 246 | 62 | 308 | 1 | 295 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 33 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 160 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 0 | 406 | 62 | 468 | 1 | 417 | 0 | 418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 33 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Sammons Industrial Parkway (South) <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 246 | 9 | 255 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 63 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 248 | 9 | 257 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 2 | 64 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 274 | 10 | 284 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 2 | 70 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 188 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 0 | 462 | 10 | 472 | 0 | 487 | 0 | 487 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 2 | 70 |

## 21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road

A\&R Engineering
Traffic Volumes
August 2021
4. Harmony Rd @ Harmony Ln
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 13 | 194 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 223 | 14 | 237 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 14 | 211 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 243 | 15 | 258 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 15 | 233 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 268 | 17 | 285 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 15 | 274 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 321 | 17 | 338 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 12 | 239 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 272 | 13 | 285 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 12 | 241 | 0 | 253 | 0 | 275 | 13 | 288 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 13 | 266 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 304 | 14 | 318 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 13 | 325 | 0 | 338 | 0 | 367 | 14 | 381 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road

A\&R Engineering
Traffic Volumes
August 2021
5. Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Private Drwy <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 79 | 24 | 103 | 107 | 210 | 1 | 318 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 46 | 1 | 33 | 80 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 86 | 26 | 112 | 117 | 229 | 1 | 347 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 36 | 87 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 95 | 29 | 124 | 129 | 253 | 1 | 383 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 55 | 1 | 40 | 96 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 32 | 8 | 40 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 0 | 127 | 37 | 164 | 129 | 296 | 1 | 426 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 66 | 1 | 40 | 107 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Private Drwy <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 2 | 202 | 34 | 238 | 60 | 155 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 145 | 194 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 2 | 204 | 34 | 240 | 61 | 157 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 146 | 195 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 2 | 225 | 38 | 265 | 67 | 173 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 161 | 215 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 47 | 12 | 59 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 2 | 272 | 50 | 324 | 67 | 223 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 161 | 228 |

21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road
A\&R Engineering
Traffic Volumes
August 2021
6. Harmony Rd @ Site Drwy 1(S)
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Site Driveway 1 (Southern) Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 207 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 226 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 249 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 128 | 32 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 24 | 27 | 51 | 20 | 0 | 97 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 129 | 280 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 319 | 28 | 347 | 20 | 0 | 97 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Site Driveway 1 (Southern) Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 250 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 276 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 151 | 38 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 35 | 31 | 66 | 29 | 0 | 140 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 28 | -28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31 | 31 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 25 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 179 | 286 | 0 | 465 | 0 | 315 | 62 | 377 | 52 | 0 | 165 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road
Traffic Volumes

A\&R Engineering
August 2021
7. Harmony Rd @ Site Drwy 2(N)
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Site Driveway 2(Northern) <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Private Driveway <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 207 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 226 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 249 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 32 | 20 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 54 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 33 | 268 | 0 | 301 | 0 | 322 | 28 | 350 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Site Driveway 2(Northern) <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Private Driveway <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 250 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 276 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 38 | 29 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 62 | 29 | 0 | 35 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 18 | -18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21 | 21 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 56 | 287 | 0 | 343 | 0 | 321 | 52 | 373 | 44 | 0 | 52 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

M

July 23, 2021

Ms. Lisa Jackson
Deputy County Manager
117 Putnam Drive
Eatonton, GA 31024

## Re: DRI \#3377, Lake Oconee Helms College

Dear Ms. Jackson:

The Middle Georgia Regional Commission (MGRC) has completed its review of the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) for the Lake Oconee Helms College Development in Putnam County. MGRC conducted a careful review of the information submitted by the local government and comments received from potentially affected agencies. Two positive comments were received from the Putnam County Board of Commissioners and from the City of Eatonton. These comments are provided below:

- Putnam County:

The BOC feels the project will generate sufficient revenue to offset any additional requirements for services that the project may require. The project will provide the potential for both county employment opportunities and additional sales tax revenue.

- City of Eatonton:

This project will have significant positive impact on our community in several different ways. The increase in property tax revenue along with the educational opportunities as well as the housing and retail components are all welcomed additions to our community and region.

MGRC also reviewed the proposed project's potential regional and interjurisdictional impact and consistency with the Department of Community Affairs Quality Community Objectives, Middle Georgia Regional Plan, and Middle Georgia Regionally Important Resources Plan. After reviewing the information, MGRC staff notes that the proposed development site lies within an area of projected rapid growth as identified in the 2016 Regional Plan. It is recommended that local governments "take action early to ensure that growth occurs in a manner which makes it possible to provide necessary public services," (2016-2036 Plan for a Thriving Middle Georgia, pg. 17-18).

Ms. Lisa Jackson
Re: DRI 3377
July 23, 2021
Page Two

This project will also help the region take advantage of the identified opportunity for "coordination with technical colleges and universities ... to provide job skills training to low-skill employees," (2016-2036 Plan for a Thriving Middle Georgia, pg. 45).

Please be advised that this concludes the DRI Review Process and Putnam County may proceed with the final official action it deems appropriate regarding the proposed project. It is encouraged that Putnam County takes the materials presented in the DRI report into consideration when rendering its decision. The enclosed information is advisory in nature and under no circumstances should be considered as binding or infringing upon the host jurisdiction's right to determine for itself the appropriateness of development within its boundaries.

Sincerely,


Greg Boike
Director of Public Administration

## Enclosure

cc: Affected Local Governments and Other Interested Parties (via email) Georgia Department of Community Affairs (via email)

## Development of Regional Impact

## Comments from Affected Parties

## Project ID: DRI \#3377 - Lake Oconee Helms College (Putnam County) <br> PUTNAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Commenting Organization:


Please describe the effects (positive or negative) that the proposed project could have on your jurisdiction: The BOC feels the project will generate sufficient revenue to offset any additional requirements for services that the project may require, The project will provide the potential for both county employment opportunities and additional sales tax revenue.

## Billy Webster

Form Completed by: $\qquad$
signature: Bimesturten

Chairman
Title:
July 19, 2021 Date: $\qquad$

Mail, Fax, or Email this form to: Greg Boike
Middle Georgia Regional Commission
175 Emery Highway, Suite C
Macon, GA 31217
P: 478-751-6160
F: 478-751-6517
E: gboike@mg-rc.org

Comments on DRI \#3377 will be accepted beginning on Wednesday, July 7, 2021.
All comments are due by Thursday, July 22, 2021.

[^2]Project ID: DRI \#3377 - Lake Oconee Helms College (Putnam County)

## Commenting Organization:

 Street Address: $\qquad$ North Jefferson Ave.

City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$ Contact Person: Gary SAuders_Phone:(706) 485-3311 Email: ganders eatantangaivs Do you believe your jurisdiction will be affected by the proposed development?
YES

NO $\square$

Please describe the effects (positive or negative) that the proposed project could have on your jurisdiction:
This project will have sinificant positive! impact on our community in several different wAys, The increase in property tax revenue along with the educational opportunities as well as the housing and retail comporents are all welcomed additions to our community and region.
Form Completed by:


Title:
MAyor
Signature:


Date:


Mail, Fax, or Email this form to: Greg Boise
Middle Georgia Regional Commission
175 Emery Highway, Suite C
Macon, GA 31217
P: 478-751-6160
F: 478-751-6517
E: gboike@mg-rc.org
Comments on DRI \#3377 will be accepted beginning on Wednesday, July 7, 2021.
All comments are due by Thursday, July 22, 2021.
This request for comments has been sent to the following potentially affected parties: MGRC Council; City/county chief elected officials and key staff in the following counties: Putnam, Baldwin, Greene, Hancock, Jasper, Jones, and Morgan; School superintendents in the preceding counties; Development authorities of the preceding counties; GA Department of Natural Resources; GA Department of Transportation; Georgia Environmental Finance Authority; GA Department of Public Health; U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service; Northeast Georgia Regional Commission, and Central Savannah River Area Regional Commission.



## File Attachments for Item:

9. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of M iddle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road Parcel A from AG to C-PUD [M ap 103, Parcel 001, District 3] (staff-P\&D)

## Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road Parcel A from AG-C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3].

## PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT-LISA JACKSON STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

The applicant is requesting to rezone 5 acres from AG to C-PUD. If approved, the subject property will be combined with the exterior property (Map 103, Parcel 001001). The purpose of rezoning this property is to develop a new, mixed-use development to support the non-profit mission and vision of Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia's Helms College expansion. Helms Career Institute was established in 2007 as a private, independent, post-secondary career school that provides experiential learning and career education for workforce development. The proposed development is a part of a strategic plan for expansion that supports the college's future growth to meet local talent needs in high-demand occupations. The proposed development will include retail and hotel components supporting and complimenting degrees sponsored by Helms College, residential units for students, recreation amenities such as tennis courts, jogging trails, agritourism, a conference retreat center with restaurants. The agritourism aspect will include gardens and farms that will provide hands-on experience for students and community members to learn about the farm-to-table philosophies and offerings.

According to the traffic study, the proposed development will consist of the following: a Goodwill store; Helms College for 50 students; Edgar's Bakery; retailing including a spa; a high-turn-over sit-down restaurant; a supermarket; 127 multi-family residential units; 18 student housing units; 41 vacation villas; an event/banquet hall, and a 175-room hotel. Piedmont Water will provide water and sewer. As proposed, the development will be completed in four phases as determined by the market conditions and demand. Phase one will consist of the Goodwill store, Helms College for 25 students, Edgar's Bakery, and 3,400 square feet of retail is to be completed by 2023. The second phase will include an additional 25 students to the college, 11,200 square feet of retail, 42 multifamily units, and 6 student housing units to be finished in 2024. Phases three and four will consist of 12,320 square feet of retail, 10,600 square feet of a sit-down restaurant, a supermarket, 85 Multi-family residential units, 12 student housing units, 41 vacation villas, an event/banquet hall, and a 175-room hotel.

The traffic analysis projects that the total site-generated trips will be 10,975 , and the mixed-use reduction is 1,814 . Thus the 24 -hour total volume of two-way traffic will be 9,161 . However, it concludes that the most significant impact from the proposed development will be during the am and pm peak hours. There will be a maximum of 29 vehicles turning left at either driveway, 35 vehicles turning right at the northern driveway, and 140 at the southern driveway. The turning movement will average approximately 1 to 2 vehicles per minute, according to the study. It further adds that the intersection of Harmony Rd., SR 44, and Old Phoenix Rd. currently has a large volume of right-turn movement during peak hours. There are long delays at this intersection, given that there is no dedicated
right-turn lane. Although delays are in occurrence, the study states that there will be no significant impact on the traffic if the developer will construct left-turn lanes and deceleration lanes. In addition, the current delays will improve once GDOT completes the proposed SR 44 widening project.

There will be two full-access entrances on Harmony Road identified as Driveway One (southern) and Driveway Two (northern). The study further recommends that the first driveway has two entering and two exit lanes while the second driveway has one entering and two exit lanes. The following is recommended for each driveway: the eastbound lane approach should have a separate left and right-turn lane for exiting traffic; a northbound left-turn lane to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic; a southbound deceleration lane to be installed on Harmony Road for entering traffic. The subject property is adjacent to a combination of residential, commercial, and agriculture zoned properties. It fronts Harmony Road, which is a main arterial road. The property also has frontage on Lake Drive and Lakemore Drive.

As stated in Sec. 66-115(b) of the Putnam County Code of Ordinances, the C-PUD zoning allows more than one type of use in a building or set of buildings, including some combination of residential and selective nonresidential uses such as commercial, office and institutional uses. Furthermore, the proposed development is consistent with the existing residential, multi-family, and commercial developments in this area. The comprehensive plan matches the proposed use for future residential and mixed-use development. In the final DRI report, the Middle Georgia Regional Commission noted that the proposed development site lies within an area of projected rapid growth as identified in the 2016 Regional Plan. The report recommends that the local government take action early to ensure that growth occurs in a manner that makes it possible to provide necessary public services.

By implementing the required conditions, the proposed project should have minimal impact on the adjacent properties, roads, and nearby intersections. There is no evidence that the proposed development would cause excessive or burdensome use of public services, nor should it adversely affect police, fire protection, or sewer services. If approved, the staff recommends that the developer should install a deceleration lane and left-turn lane at the main entrances of the development. There shall be no entrance on Lakemore Drive and only an emergency gated entrance on Lake Drive.



Staff recommendation is for approval to rezone 5 acres from AG to C-PUD at 916 Harmony Road [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3] with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane and turn lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the two main entrances on Harmony Road. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county. It shall be completed by the developer prior to the completion of phase one.
(2) The developer shall direct construction traffic through the second main entrance located the farthest away from the intersection of Harmony Road and Hwy 44.
(3) There shall be no car or truck entrance/exit located on Lakemore Road. There shall be a locked gate for golf cart entrance only.
(4) Only a gated and locked Emergency Exit shall be located on Lake Drive.

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning \& Zoning Commission's recommendation is for approval to rezone 5 acres from AG to C-PUD at 916 Harmony Road [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3] with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane and turn lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the two main entrances on Harmony Road. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county. It shall be completed by the developer prior to the completion of phase one.
(2) The developer shall direct construction traffic through the second main entrance located the farthest away from the intersection of Harmony Road and Hwy 44.
(3) There shall be no car or truck entrance/exit located on Lakemore Road. There shall be a locked gate for golf cart entrance only.
(4) Only a gated and locked Emergency Exit shall be located on Lake Drive.

## PLANNING \& ZONING COMMISSION MINUTES:

The Putnam County Planning \& Zoning Commission conducted a public hearing on Thursday, August 5, 2021 at 6:30 PM in the Putnam County Administration Building, 117 Putnam Drive, Room 203, Eatonton, Georgia.

## Present: Martha Farley, Maurice Hill, Jr., Tim Pierson, John Mitchell Staff Present: Lisa Jackson, Courtney Andrews and Kenteria Williams

The following items 12-13 were heard as one before the board.
Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road Parcel A from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3].

Mr. James Stiff represented this request. He stated that he was the president of Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia. They are requesting to rezone the 71 acres so that they can complete the purchase of the property on Harmony Road, to create a campus for Goodwill and Helms College. Helms College is affiliated with Goodwill. He added that they came to Putnam County in 2010 with the Goodwill store and has since expanded to Milledgeville. They would like to grow their presence on the lake. The had a plan to create an agritourism campus, where the students could learn about culinary agriculture and be involved with an agritourism business. They would also have applied learning on the farm that would be a part of the campus, and an applied learning area for students in the school of hospitality. He explained that they are developing a bachelor's degree in hospitality management. It would be applied learning in a hotel and villas. Mr. Stiff stated that they were working on an agreement to develop a hotel that would allow for planned applied learning for the students. The front of the property would have a town center where they would create new economic energy for the community. He added that they would also have Goodwill businesses and relocate the Goodwill retail store from Lake Oconee Parkway. He stated that he has had community outreach meetings and gained a lot of insight on the project. Mrs. Ellen Garland explained that the proposed development includes: a town center, green space for community gatherings, retail, restaurants, institutional use for Helms College, Hotel and Retreat Center, gardens, plants, outdoor amenities, as well as a housing component. She added that the project is expected to move forward in multiple stages and will be completed based on market conditions. Mrs. Garland stated that they intend on implementing staff recommendations based on the traffic study. She added that the only additional request they had was to use Lakemore for gated golf cart access. Member Mitchell asked Mrs. Garland to explain the duration of the phases. Mrs. Garland stated that they were expecting a 3-4-year buildout with multiple phases. This will be based on the market conditions for the various uses.

At this time those who signed in to speak in favor of the request, were given 3 minutes.

## Rush Atly <br> Tom Fry

At this time those who signed in to speak in opposition of the request, were given 3 minutes.

## Tammy Calloway

At this time Mr. Stiff used the remainder of his time. He thanked those who spoke on their behalf and assured the homeowners in opposition that they will follow all requirements of the county. He stated that they own a farm in Grovetown and are involved in some of the things Mrs. Calloway mentioned. He added that they are working with a third party for the hotel. Mr. Stiff explained that the students will only be there when they have applied learning
when it is appropriate for their curriculum. There will be professional teams that will operate the various enterprises. He stated that their goal is to exceed expectations.

Staff recommendation is for approval to rezone 5 acres from AG to C-PUD at 916 Harmony Road [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3] with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane and turn lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the two main entrances on Harmony Road. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county. It shall be completed by the developer prior to the completion of phase one.
(2) The developer shall direct construction traffic through the second main entrance located the farthest away from the intersection of Harmony Road and Hwy 44.
(3) There shall be no car or truck entrance/exit located on Lakemore Road. There shall be a locked gate for golf cart entrance only.
(4) Only a gated and locked Emergency Exit shall be located on Lake Drive.

Motion to approve the request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD with the following conditions:
(1) The developer shall construct a deceleration lane and turn lane in accordance with the Georgia Department of Transportation Regulations for Driveway \& Encroachment Control to service the two main entrances on Harmony Road. Additional right-of-way to accommodate the deceleration lane and a ten-foot shoulder shall be dedicated by the developer to the county. It shall be completed by the developer prior to the completion of phase one.
(2) The developer shall direct construction traffic through the second main entrance located the farthest away from the intersection of Harmony Road and Hwy 44.
(3) There shall be no car or truck entrance/exit located on Lakemore Road. There shall be a locked gate for golf cart entrance only.
(4) Only a gated and locked Emergency Exit shall be located on Lake Drive.
made by Member Hill and seconded by Member Mitchell.
Voting Yea: Vice-Chairman Pierson, Member Hill, Member Farley, Member Mitchel

5. Request by Wallace Gerald Wright for a side yard setback variance at 149 Collis Marina Road. Presently zoned R-1 [Map 104B, Parcel 013, District 3].
6. Request by Thomas \& Gwen Ralston for a rear yard setback variance at 189 S. Spring Road. Presently zoned R-2 [Map 115C, Parcel 019, District 3].
7. Request by Thomas W Gardner for a side and rear yard setback variance at 348A Cold Branch Road. Presently zoned R-2 [Map 112C, Parcel 009, District 4].
8. Request by Mt. Pleasant Baptist Church for a side yard setback variance at 1628 Godfrey Road NW. Presently zoned AG. [Map 016, Parcel 015, District 1].
9. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, Agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP for a side yard setback variance on Old Phoenix Road. Presently zoned AG. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].
10. Request by SDH Atlanta LLC, agent for Maddox Family Partnership LLLP to rezone 29.54 on Old Phoenix Road from AG to R-PUD. [Map 106, Parcel 002, District 2].*
11. Request by Duane Gentes to rezone 5.40 acres on Emory Drive from R-1 to R-2. [Map 111, Parcel 001044, District 4].*
12. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 66.56 acres at 916 Harmony Road from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001001, District 3].*
13. Request by James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc., Agent for Peggy Allen \& Susan Fox to rezone 5 acres at 916 Harmony Road Parcel A from AG to C-PUD. [Map 103, Parcel 001, District 3].*

## APPLICATION FOR REZONING

REZONING
Permitee PLAN 2021-01329
$\qquad$

MAP $\qquad$ PARCEL 103001
$\qquad$

1. Owner Name: Peggy Allen, Susan Fox
2. Applicant Name (If different from above): James Stiff, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia, Inc.
3. Mailing Address: 3145 Washington Road, Augusta GA 30907
4. Email Address: jstiff@goodwillworks.org
5. Phone: (home) $\qquad$ (office) 706.854.4769

DATE: June 24, 2021
ZONING DISTRICT A5 -Agricultural
 (cell)
6. The location of the subject property, including street number, if any: 916 Harmony Road, Eatonton, GA 31024 Parcel A
7. The area of land proposed to be rezoned (stated in square feet if less than one acre): 5.000 Acres
8. The proposed zoning district desired: C-PuD
9. The purpose of this rezoning is (Attach Letter of Intent)

Please see enclosed Letter of Intent, Purpose and Impact Statement.
10. Present use of property: Agricultural \& Residential

Desired use of property: Mixed Use
11. Existing zoning district classification of the property and adjacent properties:

Existing: Agricultural \& Residential
North: Residential South: $\underline{\text { Agricultural }}$ East: $\underline{\text { Commercial }}$ West: Residential
12. Copy of warranty deed for proof of ownership and if not owned by applicant, please attach a signed and notarized letter of agency from each property owner for all property sought to be rezoned.
13. Legal description and recorded plat of the property to be rezoned.
14. The Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map category in which the property is located. (If more than one category applies, the areas in each category are to be illustrated on the concept plan. See concept plan insert.):
15. A detailed description of existing land uses:

Existing zoning is agricultural with a residential unit onsite. There is a single family home on the property and a horse barn and pasture.
16. Source of domestic water supply: well $\qquad$ , community water $\qquad$ , or private provider $\qquad$ X . If source is not an existing system, please provide a letter from provider.

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B 0 Eatonton, GA 31024<br>Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us

17. Provision for sanitary sewage disposal: septic system $\qquad$ , or sewer X. If sewer, please provide name of company providing same, or, if new development, provide a letter from sewer provider.
18. Complete attachment of Disclosure of Campaign Contributions Form by the applicant and/or the applicant's attorney as required by the Georgia Conflict of Interest in Zoning Act (O.C.G.A. 36-67A).
19. The application designation, date of application and action taken on all prior applications filed for rezoning for all or part of the subject property. (Please attach on separate sheet.)
20. Proof that property taxes for the parcels) in question have been paid.
21. Concept plan.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, a concept plan need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- A concept plan may be required for commercial development at director's discretion

22. Impact analysis.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, an impact analysis need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- An Impact analysis (including a traffic study) is required when rezoning from residential zoned or used property to commercial or industrial districts.

THE ABOVE STATEMENTS AND ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS ARE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. APPLICANT HEREBY GRANTS PERMISSION FOR PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PERSONNEL OR ANY LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF PUTNAM COUNTY TO ENTER UPON AND INSPECT THE PROPERTY FOR ALL PURPOSES ALLOWED AND REQUIRED BY, THE PUTNAM COUNTY CODE $\varnothing$ F/GRDINANCES.


Receipt No.
Date Application Received:
ـ
$\qquad$ Date Paid: $06 / 24 / 21$
Reviewed for completeness by:

Date of BOC hearing:
Date sign posted on property:


PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B 0 Eatonton, GA 31024<br>Tel: 706-485-2776 $\bigcirc$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us

17. Provision for sanitary sewage disposal: septic system $\qquad$ , or sewer X. If sewer, please provide name of company providing same, or, if new development, provide a letter from sewer provider.
18. Complete attachment of Disclosure of Campaign Contributions Form by the applicant and/or the applicant's attorney as required by the Georgia Conflict of Interest in Zoning Act (O.C.G.A. 36-67A).
19. The application designation, date of application and action taken on all prior applications filed for rezoning for all or part of the subject property. (Please attach on separate sheet.)
20. Proof that property taxes for the parcels) in question have been paid.
21. Concept plan.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, a concept plan need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- A concept plan may be required for commercial development at director's discretion

22. Impact analysis.

- If the application is for less than 25 single-family residential lots, an impact analysis need not be submitted. (See attachment.)
- An Impact analysis (including a traffic study) is required when rezoning from residential zoned or used property to commercial or industrial districts.

THE ABOVE STATEMENTS AND ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS ARE COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. APPLICANT HEREBY GRANTS PERMISSION FOR PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT PERSONNEL OR ANY LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE OF PUTNAM COUNTY TO ENTER UPON AND INSPECT THE PROPERTY FOR ALL PURPOSES ALLOWED AND REQUIRED BY. THE PUTNAM



## Item 9: Letter of Intent

## LETTER OF INTENT - PURPOSE OF REZONING APPLICATION

Updated: June 22, 2021

This letter and its enclosed components detail the intent to rezone two (2) parcels in Eatonton, Georgia, along Harmony Road.

The purpose of this rezoning application is to support a new, mixed-use development to support the non-profit mission and vision of Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia's Helms College expansion. Since its creation as the Helms Career Institute in 2007, the modern-day Helms College is a private, independent, postsecondary career school that provides experiential learning and career education for workforce development.

As a part of its strategic plan, Goodwill wishes to expand Helms College with a new, mixed-use development on Harmony Road in Eatonton, Georgia, to support the College's future growth to meet local talent needs in high demand occupation areas. Hereby referenced as the Lake Oconee Helms College campus, the proposed development will include:

- Educational buildings and classrooms.
- Retail and hotel components that support and complement degrees sponsored by Helms College.
- Residential units for students and others.
- Recreation amenities for guests and possibly nearby residents via a private club membership, such as tennis courts, jogging trails, and agritourism offerings.
- A conference retreat center with restaurant venues.

Intertwined throughout the campus is an agritourism theme, including gardens and farms, to provide hands-on experience for students and members of the community to learn about farm-to-table philosophies and offerings.

## Item 12: Recorded Deeds \& Letter of Agency

After Rerordige Returatgi
Alutingame, Burch, Garran' \& Ashley, P.C
1040 Founders Row, Sulte B
Oreensboro, Georgia 30642
15668-0004fivd


## QUITCLAMM DEED

## STATE OF GEORGIA, GREENE COUNTY

THIS INDENTURE, made the $30^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2008, by and between Nancy J. Alleq, as party of the first parh, hercingfier callod Grantor, and Allen Investment Partners, LLLP, its beirs, successors and assigns, as party of the second part, hereinafter called Grantee, the words "Grantor" and "Grantec" to include their respective heirs, successors and assigns where the consext requires or permiss).

## WIINESSETH:

That Grantor, for and in consideration of the sum of Ont Dollar (\$1.00) and other valuable consideration in hand paid at and before the sealing and delivery of these presents, the reecipt whercof is hereby acknowledged, by these presents does hereby remise, convey and forever QUITCL.AIM unto the snid Grantce, all of its, entire right, title and interest pissing hereunder, whatever the same be, in and to that certain property described as follows, to wit:
All that traet or parcel of lapd situale, lving, and being in $380^{\dagger 1}$ GM District. $3^{14}$ Land District, and
land Lats 341 and 352 of Punam County, Ocorgla innre particularly described as Parcel ${ }^{4} B^{\prime \prime}$,
contsining 65,557 acres, more or less, ess shawn on that eertath plas of survey preptand for Nemity
Johrsen Aliea by James E, Smidh, Jf., RLSN 1895, dated June 16, 2008 and reeepded at Plar Book
32. Page 76. Putnam County, Geurgia real estate records. Suid plat of suryoy and die
recorded eapy therebf arg incorpornted hereta by referinest for all purposes.
This Conveyence is SUDIECT 'TO a peservation of 20 ' Ingess \& Egross Easement as ibbow on
the plat of urvey referenced in the paragraph immedlately above to Crantor, her heirs, sticeessors
and asoigns thul staill be applurteranil to and run with the tide to Pancol " $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$., coaturaing 5.000
acres, more or less, as ahown pn sald plat of survey, Suid $20^{\prime}$ Ingress A Egress Exsemens shall be
for the purpose of vehienlar and pedestrian pecess to and from Parcel " $A$ " and Harmony-Davis
Read, boing a pablle road with a $80^{\circ}$ right-of-way.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said described premises to Grantec, so that neither Gruntor nor any person or persons claiming tinder Gruntor shull al any time, by any means or ways, have, claim or demand any righl or title to said premises or appurlenunces, ór any righls thereof.

IN WITNESS WHRREOF. Granwor has signed and sealed this deed, the day and year first above writen.

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presenec of:



Bussant \& LIU, LC
2500 Whondy Ridge Parkway, Slo 320
Allanta, GA 30339
B8L Fia

## ASSENT OF EXECUTORTO DEVISE

State of Georgla
County of Fufton
 Real Eitule Tronnit, it


WHEREAS, CHARLES MITCHELL ALLEN ("Decedent") died a restdent of Fulton County, Georgla, leaving a will which was probated in solemn lorm in said Counly on Augusi 30, 1995; and

WHEREAS, the undersigned, NANCY JOHNSON ALLEN, was quatified as Execuinix of said Estate and was lssued Lallers Tastamentary, which are rocorded at LT Book 151, Page 157, Fulton County Records; and

WHEREAS, urider the terms of said will the following described properly was devised to NANCY J. ALLEN: $\cdot 1$
SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND INCORPORATED HEREIN BY REFERENCE.

WHEREAS, the undersigned duly qualified as Executor of the estata of the Depcedent and is noiv administering the estale under the terms of said will; bnd th has bean delermined that all debts and claims against the estate have been filly pald.

NOW, THEREFORE, the undersigned, as Execultor of the Will of the Deicedent, harcby assents to the devise of sald property under the terms of said will, so that full fee stimple file therelo is vesled in NANCY J. ALLEN, as provided in ssaid will.

WITNESS my hand and seal, this 21 良 day of J-1 1998,
Signed, sealed and tellivered



ALL THAT TRACT or parcel of land lying and being in Lend Lol 341 ol the $3^{44}$ Land District, $369^{\circ}$ G.M. Districl. Puham County, Georgla، and being more particularly described as lollows:

TO FIND THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, commance al a point localed al the inlersedtion of the westerly right of Way of Hartpony-Davis Road ( 80 fcol fight of way) and the northefty right ol way of Georgla Slate Road 44; thence in a northerty direction along the westerly right of way of Harmony-Davis Road a distance of 1,186, B. Jeel to an iron pin lound; thence south 45 degrees 22 minutes 07 seconds west a dislance of $1,049,91$ leel to an Iron pin sel and the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence south 45 degrees 22 minules 07 seconds west a distance of 286.87 leet to an ken pin set; thence north 43 degreas 97 minutes 02 secands wasl a distance ol 702.18 leet io an tron pin set; thence nqih 48 dégrees 22 minulies 58 seconds easla dislance of 286.82 leel lo an ron pln sel; ihence south 43 degrees 37 minulés 02 seconds easi a distance of 787.10 feet to an kon pin set and the polint of beginntrig; conntatilng approximalely 5.20 acres and being more partlcularly shown on a survey prepared Jor Peggy Allen by James E. Smith, Jr., Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. \$895, deled November 7, 1997.

Being a portion of the property conveyed from John E Singleton to Chartes M. Allen and Nancy J. Alen by warranly deod daled October 4, 1984 and recorded al Deed Book 84. Page 156, Pulnam County. Georgla Records.

## TOGETHER WITH A 20-FOOT WIDE EASEMENT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS TO

 AND FROM HARMONY-DAVIS ROAD, and being more particularly described as follows:TO FIND THE CENTERUNE OF THE EASEMENT, commence al a poinl located at the intersécilon of the westerly inghl of way ol Harmony-Davis Road ( 80 pool right of way) and the northeily right of way of Georgia Stale Road 44; thence in a mortherly direction along the westerly right of way of Harmony-Davis Road a distance of 1.188.8 feet to an Iron pin lound, thence north 32 degreas 57 minutes 18 seconds west elong the weslerly righl of way of Harmony-Davis Road a distance of 556.70 to the centetline of a 10 -Jool drive and the CENTERLINE OF THE EASEMENT: thence the following calls along the centititine of sald easeriant' south 80 degraes 34 minules 20 seconits west 64.20 icet to a polnt; south 69 degreas 50 minules 05 secunds west 22.18 Teel lo a poinl; south 54 degrees 03 mirutes 54 saconds wesi 63,70 loet io a polnt southi 57 degrees 25 minutes 44 seconds west 97.12 feet to a point; soibtit 57 degrees 07 minules 39 seconds west 57.23 feet to a point south 61 degrees 19 milnules 59 scconds wesl 92.08 leot to a point; south 70 degrees 27 minules 06 seconds wes 146 ,04 leel lo a point; soulh 74 degrees 46 minutes 22 seconds west 19.00 feel lo a point; south 16 degrees 31 minutos 51 seconds easl 88.64 feet 10 a poinh; south 22 degrees 52 minules 57 seconds west 143.24 leel to a point; south 33 degrees 34 minules 26 seconds west 77.61 loel to a point; south 35 degrees 23 minules 28 seconds wast 193.38 feel lo a point; south 5 sp degrees 29 minules 35 seconds cast 60.97 leet to a point; soulh 35 degrées 43 mtrules 13 seconds west 71.40 leet to a point; south 44 degrees 47 minutes 48 seconds west 108.76 feel to a point, and south 26 degrees 33 minutes 55 seconds west 93.40 leet to a poinl localed al the eatge of the 5.20 property described above; all as more particularly shown on a survey prepared lor Peggy Allen by James E, Smilh, dr., Georgia Registered Land Surveyor No. 1895. daled November 7, 1897.
After Recording Return to:

Lantra \& Reeves, P.C.
3735 Cherokee Street
Kennesaw, Georgia 30144
$770424-8131$

PUTNAM COUNTY


## REAL ESTATE TRANSFER AX


DEED PREPARED ONLY. NO TITLE EXAMINATION PERFORMED.

P(6)-117-2012-000053
EXECUTOR'S DEED

## STATE OF GEORGIA

 COUNTY OF PUTNAMTHIS INDENTURE, made this the $\operatorname{Li}^{\text {th }}$ day of Meienher, 2011, between JANET ALLEN CRITTENDEN, as Executor of the Last Will and Testament of NANCI JOHNSON ALLEN, late of the State of Georgia and County of Putnam, deceased, as party of the first part (the "Grantor"), and ALLIEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS, LLLP, a Georgia limited Disability limited paimership, as party of the second part (the "Grantee"); the words "Grantor" and "Grantee" to include their respective heirs, successors and assigns where the context requires or permits.

WITNESSETH: That the said Grantor (acting seder and by virtue of the power and authority contained in the said Will, the same having been duly probated and recorded in the Probate Court of Putnam County, Georgia (estate no. 1 IES0074). said Will having been proven in solemn form), for and in consideration of the sum of TEN AND 00/100 DOLLARS ( $\$ 10.00$ ) and other good and valuable consideration, in hand paid at and before the sealing and delivery of the presents (the receipt of which is hereby acknowledged), has granted, bargained, sold and conveyed, and by these presents does grant, bargain, sell and convey unto the said Grantee, all that tract or parcel of land lying and being in Pumam County, Georgia and more particularly described as follows:

## SEE EXHIBIT "A" ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART HEREOF

See copy of Death Certificate (Exhibit "B") attached hereto and made a part hereof.
TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said trace or parcel of land, with all and singular the rights, members and appurtenances thereof, to the same belong, belonging, or in anywise appertaining, to the only proper use, benefit and behoof of the said Grantee, forever, NN FEE SIMPLE; in as fill and ample a manner as the same was held, possessed and enjoyed, or might have been held, possessed and enjoyed, by the said decedent.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, Grantor has signed and sealed this deed, the day and year first above written.


Signed, sealed and delivered
in the presence of:


My contimission expires: $\qquad$


JAMET ALLENCRIfIERDEN. as Executor as aforesaid il


CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

(SEAL)

## SS:


a Notary Public, personally appeared,
Janet Allen Sritteniden
who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the persons) whose namé(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in hishertheir authorized capacly(ies). and that by hisher/their signatures) on the instrument the persons) or the entity upas behalf of which the person (s) acted. executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of Califorain that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.


## EXHIBIT " ${ }^{\text {A }}$

ALL THAT TRACT OR PARCEL OF LAND SITUATE, LYING AND BEING IN 389TH GM DISTRICI, 3RD LAND DISTRICT, AND LAND LOT 341 OF PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS PARCEL "A" CONTAINING 5.00 ACRES, MORE OR LESS, AS SHOWN ON THAT CERTAIN PLAT OF SURVEY PREPARED FOR NANCY JOHNSON ALLEN BY JAMES E. SMITH, JR., RL.SN 1895, DATED JUNE 16, 2008 AND RECORDED AT PLAT BOOK 32, PAGE 76, PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA REAL ESTATE RECORDS. SAID PLAT OF SURVEY AND THE RECORDED COPY THEREOF ARE INCORPORATED IIEREIN BY REFERENCE FOR ALL PURPOSES.

INCLUJDING A $20^{\prime}$ INGRESS \& EGRESS EASEMENT AS SHOWN ON THE PLAT OF SURVEY REFERENCED IN THE PARAGRAPH IMMEDIATELY ABOVE THAT IS APPURTENANT TO AND RUNS WITH THE TITLE TO PARCEI. "A". SAID 20" INGRESS \& EORESS EASEMENT SHALL BE FOR THE PURPOSE OF VEHICULAR AND PEDESTRIAN ACCESS ACROSS PARCEL "B"TO AND FROM PARCEL "A" AND HARMONY-DAVIS ROAD, BEING A PUBLIC ROAD WITH AN 80' RIGHT-DF-WAY.

When recorded, please retum to:
Bussart \& tith LLC
2500 Windy Ridge Parloway, Sullo 320
Altanla, GA 30339
asL File No. $88-0312$
QUITCLAIM DEED
STATE OF GEORGIA countr of I lital



THS INDENTURE made this 214 ${ }^{\text {r }}$ day of Jaly, 1998, between NANCY J. ALLEN,
of the State of Georgia, as party or partios of the lirsl part, hercinafter called Grantor, and
PEGGY ALLEN,
as party of parlies of the second part, hereinallet called Grantee (the words "Grantor and "Grantee" to include their respective heirs, successors and assigns where the context requires or permists).

WITNESSETH that; Grantor, for Ten Doliars $(\$ 10,00)$ and other good and valuable consideration, the recelpl and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, does hereby remise, convey and forever QUITCLAIM unto the said grantee:

ALL THAT TRACT or porcel of land lying and belog in Land 341 of the $3^{\text {ro }}$ Land Districl, $389^{\circ}$ G.M. District, Pulnam Coumty, Georgia and being more porlcularly described in Exhibil ' $A$ ' attactiod fierelo and incorperaled herein by felerence.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said described premises to Granten, so that neither Grantor nor any person or persons caliming under Grantor shall al any lime, by any means or ways. have. claim or demiand any right of the to said premises or appurtenances, or any fights thereot.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Grantor has signed and sealed thls deed. the day and year first above wither.



## EXHIBIT "A"

ALL THAT TRAGT or parcel of land bingland being in Land La! $3^{4}$ ) of the $3^{n / 4}$ Land Distriel, $389^{\text {h }}$ G.M. Districl, Pulnam Counly. Georgia, and being more psillculatly deseribod as lollows:

TO FIND THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, commence ot a point tocaled at the Inlersection of the westerly right of way of Harmony-Davis Road (BO fool inghi' ol way) and The northerty right of way of Georgia State Road 44; thenca in a northerly direction along the westery sight of way of Harmony-Davis Road a dislance ol $1,188.8$ feet to an yon pin lound; thence south- $\mathbf{4 5}$ degrees 22 minutes 07 seconds west a dislance of 1.049 .91 leel to an iron pin sel and the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence south 45 degrees 22 minules 07 seconds west a distance of 286.87 leel to an fron pin set; thence north 43 degree's 37 minules 02 seconds west a distance ol 792.18 teel to an lron pin sel: thence north 48 degrees 22 mimules 58 seconds east a distance of 286,82 feel to an iron pin sel: thence soukh 43 degrees 37 minules 02 seconds east a bistante of 787.10 feel 10 an foh pin sal and the polnt of beginning; conlaining appraximalely 5.20 acres and being more parricufarty shown on a survey prepared for Peggy Allen by James E. Smith, Jr, Georgia Reglistered Land Surveyor No, 3895, daled November 7, 1997.

Being a portion of the propenty conveyed from John E. Singleton to Charlés M. Alten and Nancy J. Allan by warranly deed daled Oclober 4, 1984 and recorded al Decd Book 84, Page 156, Pulnam Counly, Georgla Records.

TOGETHER WITH A 20-FOOT WIDE EABEMENT FOR INGRESS AND EGRESS TO AND FROM HARMONY-DAYIS ROAD, and being more particularly described as follows;
TO FIND THE CENTERLINE OF THE EASEMENT, commence al a point localed at the Intersoction of the weslerly pight ol way of Harmony-Qavis Road ( 80 (ool right of way) and the northerly rightel way of Georgia Stale Road 44; thenco in a northerly direction- alont the westerty right of way of Harmony-Davis Road a efistance of $1,188,8$ feet lo an lron pln lound; thence north 32 degreas 57 minutes 18 seconds west along the weslerly right of way of Hapmony-Davis Road a distancs of 656.70 to the centerline of a 10 -Jool driye and the CENTERLINE OF THE EASEMENT; thence the following calls along the centerline of sald easement: south 80 degrees 34 minules 20 seconds west 64.20 feel to a point; south 69 degrees 50 minules 05 secionds wes 122.18 feet to a paint; south 54 dogrces 03 minules 54 seconds. west 63 ,70 foet to a point; south 57 degrees 25 minules 14 secorids west 97.12 leel to a polnti,sothi 57 degrees 07 minules 39 seconds west 57.23 feel to 3 poinl; south 61 tegrees 10 minutes 59 seconds west 82.00 feel to a point; south 70 degreas 27 minutes 06 seconds wesi 46,04 feel lo a painl; south 74 degrees 46 trinutes 22'seconds wesl 19.0 p leel to a point; south 16 degrees 31 minutes $5 i$ seconds east 88.64 leel to a point; south 22 degrees 52 ninules 57 seconds west 143,24 icel to o polnt; soulls 33 degrees 34 minutes 26 seconds west 77.61 teel to a point; spulh 35 degises 23 minules 26 secpods west 193.36 leet to a polnt; south 50 degrees 29 minutes 35 seconds east $B 0.97$ leel 10 a poinl; south 35 degrees 43 mlnutes 13 seconds west 71.40 leat to a poinl; south 44 degrees 47 minules $48 . \sec$ ands west ) 08.76 feel to a point; and south 26 degrees 33 minules 55 seconds west 93.40 feel to a poinl located at the edge or the 5.20 property described above; all as more particularly shown on a survey prepared lor Peggy Allen by James E. Smith, Jr., Georgia Regislered Land Süveyor No, 1895, daled November 7, 1997.

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B 0 Eatonton, GA 31024
Tel: 706-485-2776 0 706-485-0552 fax www.putnamcountyga.us

LETTER OF AGENCY-

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OWNERS OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA, HEREBY APPOINT

James Stiff TO BY MY AGENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPLYTNG FOR rezoning OF PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS MAP 103 PARCELS 001001 and 001 , CONSISTING OF 71.554 ACRES, WHICH HAS THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: 916 Harmony Road EATONTON, GEORGIA 31024. ATTACHED IS A COPY OF A DEED AND OR LAT OF SURVEY DESCRIBING THE PROPERTY OWNED BY THE PROPERTY OWNER(S) TO WHICH THIS LETTER OF AGENCY APPLIES.

THE ABOVE-NAMED AGENT HEREBY IS AUTHORIZED TO COMPLETE AND SIGN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY APPLICATION FOR rezoning ON OUR BEHALF. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS LETTER OF AGENCY WILL BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE PART OF SAID FORM AND WILL BE RELIED UPON BY THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY. FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY ACCEPTING THIS LETTER OF AGENCY, WE HEREBY INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY AND ITS AGENTS AND/OR EMPLOYEES IN THE EVEN THAT THE ABOVE NAMED AGENT SHOULD MISUSE THIS LETTER OF AGENCY AND WE SUFFER DAMAGES AS A RESULT.
THIS 22nd DAY OF $\qquad$

ADDRESS: 918 Harmony Road, Eatonton, GA 31024
PHONE: $\qquad$

ALL SIGNATURES WERE HEREBY SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS


## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B 0 Eatonton, GA 31024

Tel: 706-485-2776 0 706-485-0552 fax www.putnamcountyga.us

LETTER OF AGENCY-

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OWNERS OF REAL PROPERTY LOCATED IN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA, HEREBY APPOINT James Stiff TO BY MY AGENT FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPLYING FOR rezoning OF PROPERTY DESCRIBED AS MAP 103 PARCELS 001001 and 001 , CONSISTING OF 71.554 ACRES, WHICH HAS THE FOLLOWING ADDRESS: 916 Harmony Road EATONTON, GEORGIA 31024. ATTACHED IS A COPY OF A DEED AND OR LAT OF SURVEY DESCRIBING THE PROPERTY OWNED BY THE PROPERTY OWNER(S) TO WHICH THIS LETTER OF AGENCY APPLIES.

THE ABOVE-NAMED AGENT HEREBY IS AUTHORIZED TO COMPLETE AND SIGN THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY APPLICATION FOR rezoning ON OUR BEHALF. WE UNDERSTAND THAT THIS LETTER OF AGENCY WILL BE ATTACHED TO AND MADE PART OF SAID FORM AND WILL BE RELIED UPON BY THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY. FOR AND IN CONSIDERATION OF THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY ACCEPTING THIS LETTER OF AGENCY, WE HEREBY INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS THE CITY OF EATONTON/PUTNAM COUNTY AND ITS AGENTS AND/OR EMPLOYEES IN THE EVEN THAT THE ABOVE NAMED AGENT SHOULD MISUSE THIS LETTER OF AGENCY AND WE SUFFER DAMAGES AS A RESULT.
THIS 22nd DAY OF June , 2021.


108 Walking Horse Lane, Eatonton, GA 31024
ADDRESS:
108 Walking Horse Lane, Eatonton, GA 31024
PHONE: $\qquad$

ALL SIGNATURES WERE HEREBY SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME THIS


## Item 13: Legal Description \& Recorded Plat

# LEGALDESCRIPTION <br> PARCEL "A" 

All that Tract or Parcel of land, lying and being located in Land Lot 341 of the 3rd District, in the 389th G.M. District, Putnam County, Georgia, containing 5.000 Acres ( 217,801 SQ.FT.), more or less and being more particularly described as follows:

To find the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, commence from a 1/2" rebar set on the southwestern most 80 foot Right of Way of Harmony Road, said 1/2" rebar set being located 1188.80 feet northwest from the right of way intersection of Georgia State Route No. 44; thence from said 1/2" rebar set, proceed along the southwestern most 80 foot Right of Way of Harmony Road the following: North 34 degrees 03 minutes 08 seconds West a distance of 556.70 feet to a point; thence North 34 degrees 03 minutes 08 seconds West a distance of 831.27 feet to a point; thence departing said right of way, proceed the following: thence South 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds West a distance of 204.00 feet to a $3 / 4$ " rebar found; thence South 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds West a distance of 159.93 feet to a nail found; thence South 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds West a distance of 384.74 feet to a $3 / 4$ " rebar found; thence South 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds West a distance of 236.20 feet to a 5/8" rebar found; thence South 44 degrees 59 minutes 20 seconds West a distance of 186.04 feet to a $5 / 8$ " rebar found; thence South 82 degrees 06 minutes 16 seconds West a distance of 130.06 feet to a $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ rebar found; thence South 22 degrees 14 minutes 14 seconds East a distance of 82.52 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Thence, from said TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING, proceed the following: South 44 degrees 42 minutes 55 seconds East for a distance of 378.29 feet to a point; thence South 44 degrees 42 minutes 55 seconds East for a distance of 16.59 feet to a point; thence South 45 degrees 17 minutes 08 seconds West for a distance of 545.82 feet to a point; thence North 20 degrees 20 minutes 04 seconds West for a distance of 658.61 feet to a point; thence North 82 degrees 05 minutes 38 seconds East for a distance of 342.16 feet, back to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Together with and subject to covenants, easements, and restrictions of record. Said property contains 5.000 Acres (217,801 Square feet), more or less.



## Items 16 \& 17: Source of Domestic Water \& Sewer Supply Provider Letter

CIVII ENGINEERING
ANOECAPE ATCHITECTUFE
ANちSURVEYING

June 23, 2021

Lisa Jackson, MPA
Planning Director
Putnam County Planning \& Development
117 Putnam Drive, Suite B
Eatonton, GA 31024

Re: Helms Farm Campus at Harmony Rd - Proposed Provider for Domestic Water Service \& Sanitary Sewage Disposal

Dear Lisa,

As you are aware, Goodwill Industries of Middle Georgia is currently submitting an application to rezone two parcels in Eatonton, Georgia, along Harmony Road. The application requests information about the source of domestic water supply as well as sanitary sewer disposal. It is our understanding that Piedmont Water is the local provider in this area, and we have confirmed this with Brent Hurst, the Chief Operating Officer with Piedmont Water Company. Piedmont will provide both the domestic water as well as service sanitary sewage disposal. We are currently consulting with Piedmont Water to determine the anticipated demand so they can determine if the proposed development will have to pump sewage to an existing lift station with no upgrades, one with upgrades, or directly to the plant.

Please let us know if we can assist further in this matter or if you have any questions.

Sincerely,


## Item 18: Disclosure of Campaign Contributions Forms

# PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT 

## 117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024 <br> Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us

## DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANTS CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name:

2. Address:

3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes
 No If yes, who did you make the contributions to? :

Signature of Applicant:


## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\triangle$ Eatonton, GA 31024<br>Tel: 706-485-2776 $\bigcirc$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us

DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANT'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

1. Name: Susan Fox
2. Address: 108 Walking Horse Lane

Eatonton, Georgia 31024
3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No If yes, who did you make the contributions to? :
$\qquad$

Date: $\qquad$

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\triangle$ Eatonton, GA 31024<br>Tel: 706-485-2776 $\bigcirc$ 706-485-0552 fax $\bigcirc$ www.putnamcountyga.us

DISCLOSURE OF APPLICANT'S CAMPAIGN CONTRIBUTION

The Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Section 66-167(c) states as follows:
"When any applicant or his attorney for a rezoning action has made, within two years immediately preceding the filing of that applicant's application for the rezoning action, campaign contributions aggregating $\$ 250.00$ or more to a local government official who will consider the application, it shall be the duty of the applicant to file a disclosure report with the governing authority of the respective local government showing:
a. The name and official position of the local government official to whom the campaign contribution was made; and
b. The dollar amount and description of each campaign contribution made by the applicant to the local government official during the two years immediately preceding the filing of the application for the rezoning action and the date of each such contribution. The disclosures required by this section shall be filed within ten days after an application for the rezoning action is first filed."

3. Have you given contributions that aggregated $\$ 250.00$ or more within two years immediately preceding the filing of the attached application to a candidate that will hear the proposed application? $\qquad$ Yes $\qquad$ No

If yes, who did you make the contributions to? : $\qquad$  $\qquad$ -.

Signature of Applicant:


Date:
 Goodwill Industries Middle Georgia, Inc.

## Item 20: Property Taxes



ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LLLP 108 WALKING HORSE LANE EATONTON, GA 31024

Certain persons are eligible for certain homestead exemptions from ad valorem taxation. In addition to the regular homestead exemption authorized for all homeowners, certain elderly persons are entitied to additional exemptions. The futil law ralating to each exemption must be neferred to in order to determine eligibility for the exemption. If you are eliglble for one of these exemptions and are not now receiving the benefit of the exemption, you must apply for the exemption not later than April 1. 2021 in order to receive the exemption in future years. For more infarmation on etigibility for exemptions or on the proper method of applying for an exemption, you may contect:

## Putnam County Tax Assessor

 100 South Jefferson Ave Sulte 109 Eatonton, GA 31024-1087 (706) 485-6376INTERNET TAX BILL

2020 State, County \& School Ad Valorem Tax Notice


Please detach here and return this portion in the envelope provided whth your payment in full.

ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LLLP 108 WALKING HORSE LANE
EATONTON, GA 31024
Putnam County Tax Commissloner
100 South Jefferson Ave Suite 207
Eatonton, GA 31024-1061
(706) 485-5441

## payment imstructions

- Please Make Check or Money Order Payable to: Putnam County Tax Commissloner
- Y a receipt is dested, phease include a stamped sel-addressed emelope
- Ytaxes are to be paid by a morlgage compery, send them ths portion onily
- I you are pajing atter uhe due cate, please call our office tor the ral armount due
- Interest on urpaid tax bits is appled in comptance with GA Code 48-2-40
- Penaliy on unpald tax bas is appled in complance with GA Code 48-2-44

| Bill Number | Map Nuraber | Tax Anoumt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020000314 | 103001 | 3,162 17 |
| OATE DUE |  | TOTAL DUE |
| 12/1/2020 |  | 000 |

INTERNET TAX BILL

## INTERNET TAX RECEIPT

2020000314
ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LLLP

PARCELA HARMONY RD
103001

| DESCRIPTION | TAX AMOUNT | EXEMPTION | MLIAAGE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| FAIR MARKET VALUE | S326.384 |  |  |
| COUNTY | $\$ 1,054.55$ | $\$ 0.00$ | 8.078 |
| SCHOOL | $\$ 2,058.97$ | 50.00 | 15.772 |
| SPEC SERV | $\$ 49.35$ | $\$ 0.00$ | 0.378 |

TO
ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LLLP
108 WALKING HORSE LANE
EATONTON, GA 31024

FROM
Putnam County Tax Commissioner
100 South Jefferson Ave Sulte 207
Eatonton, GA 31024-1061
(706) 485-5441

|  | FIFA CHARGE |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | PENALTY |
|  | TOTAL PAID |
|  | \$3,162.87 |
|  | TOTAL DUE |
| 0 | \$0.00 |
|  | te Paid: 12/3/2020 |

Scan this code with your mobile phone to view this bill

| ORIGINAL TAX BUE |
| ---: |
| $\$ 3,162.87$ |
| INTEREST |
|  |
| COLIECTION COST |
|  |
| FIFA CHARGE |
|  |
| PENALTY |
|  |
| TOTAL PAID |
| $\$ 3,162.87$ |
| TOTAL DUE |
| $\$ 0.00$ |
| Date Paid: $121 / 2020$ |

INTERNET TAX RECEIPT

| Owner Mame | Bul | Pauld |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALLEN DNESTMENT PARTNERS ULP | 000315 |  |
| ALLEN DNESTMENT PARTNERS UPP | 000311 |  |
| ALLN ANESTMENT PARTNERS ULP | 000309 |  |
| ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS ULP | 000307 |  |
| ALLE INVESTMENT PARTNERS ULP | 000303 |  |
| ALLEN INESTMETT PARTNERS ULP | 000312 |  |
| ALLSN INVETMENT PMENERES LLP | 000316 |  |
| ALLEN INVESTMENT PARTNERS LIP | 000311 |  |
| ALLSN INVESTMENT PMRNERS ULP | 000311 |  |
| ALLEN INEETMENT PARTEERS LLP | 000315 |  |
| ALLEN INVESTMANT PURIEERS UP | 000302 |  |
| AULN INVETMENT PARTNERS ULP | 000385 |  |

## Item 21: Concept Plan \& Project Inspiration






## Item 22: Impact Analysis

## IMPACT ANALYSIS

Impact analysis. An impact analysis is required for all applications unless the application will result in fewer than 25 single-family residential lots. The impact analysis shall be prepared by a professional engineer, a registered land surveyor, a landscape architect, a land planner or any other person professionally involved in and familiar with land development activities.

1. The application must be accompanied by a written, documented analysis of the proposed zoning change with regard to each of the standards governing consideration, (which are enumerated under Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 66-Zoning, Sec. 66-165(d)) and are as follows:
a. Is the proposed use consistent with the stated purpose of the zoning district that is being requested?
b. Is the proposed use suitable in view of the zoning and development of adjacent and nearby property?
c. Will the proposed use adversely affect the existing use, value or usability of adjacent or nearby property?
d. Is the proposed use compatible with the purpose and intent of the Comprehensive Plan?
e. Are there substantial reasons why the property cannot or should not be used as currently zoned?
f. Will the proposed use cause an excessive or burdensome use of public facilities or services or exceed the present or funded capabilities, included but not limited to streets, water or sewer utilities, and police or fire protection?
g. Is the proposed use supported by new or changing conditions not anticipated by the Comprehensive Plan or reflected in the existing zoning on the property or surrounding properties?
h. Does the proposed use reflect a reasonable balance between the promotion of the public health, safety, and a reasonable private use of the subject property?
2. A traffic impact analysis is to include the existing average daily traffic on road/streets leading to the nearest intersection and the projected average daily traffic. Additional requirements for the analysis may be provided by the Planning and Development Department and included with the application.
3. The estimated number of dwelling units and total floor area of non-residential uses (if applicable) of the proposed development.
4. Effect on the environment surrounding the area to be rezoned including the effect on all natural and historic resources. (State source of the information)
5. Impact on fire protection with respect to the need for additional firefighting equipment or personnel. (State source of the information)
6. What are the physical characteristics of the site with respect to topography and drainage courses?
7. Adjacent and nearby zoning and land use.

## IMPACT ANALYSIS

1. The application must be accompanied by a written, documented analysis of the proposed zoning change with regard to each of the standards governing consideration, (which are enumerated under Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Chapter 66-Zoning, Sec. 66-165(d)) and are as follows:
a. Is the proposed use consistent with the stated purpose of the zoning district that is being requested?

Yes. The purpose of the planned unit development zoning is "to encourage the development of large tracts of land to produce logically organized development with compatible land uses." The proposed development meets this purpose.
b. Is the proposed use suitable in view of the zoning and development of adjacent and nearby property?

Yes. Adjacent and nearby uses include commercial, residential, and agricultural, all components that will be incorporated into the planned unit development to serve as a bridge and connection to adjacent parcels.
c. Will the proposed use adversely affect the existing use, value or usability of adjacent or nearby property?

## No,

d. Is the proposed use compatible with the purpose and intent of the Comprehensive Plan?

Yes.
e. Are there substantial reasons why the property cannot or should not be used as currently zoned?

The current zoning does not allow for the proposed mixed-use program.
f. Will the proposed use cause an excessive or burdensome use of public facilities or services or exceed the present or funded capabilities, included but not limited to streets, water or sewer utilities, and police or fire protection?
No.
g. Is the proposed use supported by new or changing conditions not anticipated by the Comprehensive Plan or reflected in the existing zoning on the property or surrounding properties?

Yes.
h. Does the proposed use reflect a reasonable balance between the promotion of the public health, safety, and a reasonable private use of the subject property?

Yes.
2. A traffic impact analysis is to include the existing average daily traffic on road/streets leading to the nearest intersection and the projected average daily traffic. Additional requirements for the analysis may be provided by the Planning and Development Department and included with the application.
The traffic impact analysis is attached as Item 22a: Traffic Impact Analysis.
3. The estimated number of dwelling units and total floor area of non-residential uses (if applicable) of the proposed development.

The development program may include up to approximately 150 dwelling units. The total floor area for the non-residential uses may include up to $\mathbf{2 5 0 , 5 5 0}$ square feet.
4. Effect on the environment surrounding the area to be rezoned including the effect on all natural and historic resources. (State source of the information)
Studies for a Phase I Environmental Assessment and delineation of Waters of the State and wetlands disclosed no issues.
5. Impact on fire protection with respect to the need for additional firefighting equipment or personnel. (State source of the information)
Per Georgia Civil, the site infrastructure being provided as part of this development will have adequate fire water service and protection with fire suppression systems to meet all life safety codes and provide access for all fire vehicle apparatus.
6. What are the physical characteristics of the site with respect to topography and drainage courses?

An ALTA Survey is enclosed, the site generally slopes from Harmony Road to the lake.
7. Adjacent and nearby zoning and land use:
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this study is to determine the traffic impact that will result from the proposed Helms Farm Campus development that will be located on Harmony Road across from Sammons Industrial Parkway (South), north of Village Lane in Putnam County, Georgia. The traffic analysis evaluates the current operations compared to the future conditions with the traffic generated by the development. The development will consist of:

- Goodwill Store: 16,800 sf
- Helms College: 50 Students
- Edgar's Bakery: 7,000 sf
- Retail including Spa: 26,920 sf
- High-Turn-over Sit-Down Restaurant: 13,100 sf
- Super Market: 14,500 sf
- Multi-family (mid-rise) Residential: 127 Units
- Student Housing: 18 Units
- Vacation Villas (Resorts): 41 Units
- Event Hall or Banquet Hall: 22,000 sf
- Hotel: 175 Rooms


A\&R Engineering Inc.

The development proposes two site driveways at the following locations:

- Site Driveway 1: Full-access (Southern) driveway on Harmony Road
- Site Driveway 2: Full-access (Northern) driveway on Harmony Road

Existing and future operations after completion of the project were analyzed at the intersections of:

- Harmony Road at SR 44 (Greensboro Road) / Old Phoenix Road
- Harmony Road at Village Lane
- Harmony Road at Sammons Industrial Parkway (South)
- Harmony Road at Harmony Lane
- Harmony Road at Scott Road / Private Driveway
- Harmony Road at Site Driveway 1 (Southern)
- Harmony Road at Site Driveway 2 (Northern) / Private Driveway

Recommendations to improve traffic operations have been identified as appropriate and are discussed in detail in the following sections of the report. The location of the development and the surrounding study network is shown in Figure 1.


A\&R Engineering Inc.

### 2.0 EXISTING FACILITIES / CONDITIONS

The following is a brief description of each of the roadway facilities located in proximity to the site:

### 2.1.1 SR 44 (Greensboro Road)

SR 44 (Greensboro Road) is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 45 mph in the vicinity of the site. Georgia Department of Transportation (GDOT) traffic counts (Station ID 237-0146) indicate that the daily traffic volume on SR 44 (Greensboro Road) in 2019 was 15,200 vehicles per day north of Harmony Road. GDOT classifies SR 44 (Greensboro Road) as a Rural Minor Arterial roadway.

### 2.1.2 Harmony Road

Harmony Road is a north-south, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 45 mph in the vicinity of the site. GDOT traffic counts (Station ID 237-0181) indicate that the daily traffic volume on Harmony Road in 2019 was 3,570 vehicles per day north of Scott Road. GDOT classifies Harmony Road as a Rural Minor Collector roadway.

### 2.1.3 Village Lane

Village Lane is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 25 mph in the vicinity of the site.

### 2.1.4 Sammons Industrial Parkway

Sammons Industrial Parkway is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 25 mph in the vicinity of the site.

### 2.1.5 Harmony Lane

Harmony Lane is an east-west, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 25 mph in the vicinity of the site.

### 2.1.6 Scott Road

Scott Road is a northwest-southeast, two-lane, undivided roadway with a posted speed limit of 35 mph in the vicinity of the site.

### 3.0 STUDY METHODOLOGY

In this study, the methodology used for evaluating traffic operations at each of the subject intersections is based on the criteria set forth in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ edition (HCM 6). Synchro software, which utilizes the HCM methodology, was used for the analysis. The following is a description of the methodology employed for the analysis of unsignalized and signalized intersections. At specific intersections in which HCM 6 is unable to report results due to limitations of the software version, HCM 2000 will be used instead. The following is a description of the methodology employed for the analysis of unsignalized and signalized intersections.

### 3.1 Unsignalized Intersections

For unsignalized intersections at which the side street or minor street is controlled by a stop sign, the criteria for evaluating traffic operations are the level-of-service (LOS) for the turning movements at the intersection and the level-of-service for the overall intersection. Level-of-service is based on the average controlled delay incurred at the intersection. Controlled delay for unsignalized intersections includes initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. Several factors affect the controlled delay for unsignalized intersections, such as the availability and distribution of gaps in the conflicting traffic stream, critical gaps, and follow-up time for a vehicle in the queue.

Level-of-service is assigned a letter designation from "A" through " $F$ ". Level-of-service " $A$ " indicates excellent operations with little delay to motorists, while level-of-service " F " exists when there are insufficient gaps of acceptable size to allow vehicles on the side street to cross safely, resulting in extremely long total delays and long queues. The level-of-service criteria for two-way stop-controlled and all-way stop-controlled (unsignalized) intersections are given in Table 1.

| TABLE 1 - LEVEL-OFLSERVICE CRITERIA FOR UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level-of-service | Average Delay (sec) |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>50$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual

### 3.2 Signalized Intersections

For signalized intersections, it is necessary to evaluate both capacity and level-of-service in order to evaluate the overall operation of the intersection. The capacity analysis of an intersection is performed by comparing the volume of traffic using the various lane groups at the intersection to the capacity of those lane groups. This results in a volume/capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio for each lane group. A $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio greater
than 1.0 indicates that the volume of traffic has exceeded the capacity available, resulting in a temporary excess of demand. Although the capacity of the entire intersection is not defined, a composite $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio for the sum of the critical lane groups within the intersection is computed. This composite $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio is an indication of the overall intersection sufficiency.

Level-of-service for a signalized intersection is defined in terms of average controlled delay per vehicle, which is composed of initial deceleration delay, queue move-up time, stopped delay, and final acceleration delay. The level-of-service criteria for signalized intersections, based on average controlled delay, are shown in Table 2. Level-of-service " $A$ " indicates operations with very low controlled delay, while level-of-service " $F$ " describes operations with extremely high average-controlled delay. Level-ofservice " $E$ " is typically considered to be the limit of acceptable delay, and level-of-service " $F$ " is considered unacceptable by most drivers.

| TABLE 2 - LEVEL-OF-SERVICE CRITERIA FOR SICNALIZED INTERSECTIONS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Level-of-service | Average Control Delay (sec) |
| A | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ |
| F | $>80$ |

Source: Highway Capacity Manual

### 4.0 EXISTING 2021 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Existing Traffic Volumes

Traffic counts were obtained at the following study intersections:

- Harmony Road at SR 44 (Greensboro Road) / Old Phoenix Road
- Harmony Road at Village Lane
- Harmony Road at Sammons Industrial Parkway (South)
- Harmony Road at Harmony Lane
- Harmony Road at Scott Road / Private Driveway

Turning movement counts were collected on Thursday, May 27, 2021, at all the study intersections. A 24 -hour bi-directional volume count was also collected on Harmony Road north of Scott Road at the same location where GDOT had collected historic ADT in the past. All turning movement counts were recorded during the AM and PM peak hours between 7:00am to 9:00am and 4:00pm to 6:00pm, respectively. The four consecutive 15 -minute interval volumes that summed to produce the highest volume at the intersections were then determined. These volumes make up the peak hour traffic volumes for the intersections counted and are shown in Figure 2.

### 4.2 Adjusted 2021 Traffic Volumes

To account for the abnormal traffic pattern due to COVID-19, an adjustment factor was determined by calculating the difference between GDOT historical turning movements counts and current bi-directional counts at Harmony Road north of Scott Road. The historic 2017 turning movement counts from GDOT's (Station ID 237-0181) were increased by an annual growth rate of $2.5 \%$ for four years and compared to the existing bi-directional counts collected on Thursday, May 27, 2021, at the same location. The comparison of the two counts revealed that the adjusted historic traffic counts are $9 \%$ higher in the AM peak hour and 1\% higher in the PM peak hour. Therefore, the 2021 AM and PM peak hour counts shown in Figure 2 were increased by $9 \%$ and 1\%, respectively. The projected and/or adjusted existing peak hour volumes are shown in Figure 3.


EXISTING WEEKDAY PEAK-HOUR VOLUMES (DURING COVID-19)


ADJUSTED EXISTING WEEKDAY PEAK-HOUR VOLUMES
FIGURE 3
A\&R Engineering Inc.

### 4.3 Existing Traffic Operations

Existing 2021 traffic operations were analyzed at the study intersections in accordance with the HCM methodology using the volumes in Figure 3. The results of the analyses are shown in Table 3. The existing traffic control and lane geometry for the intersections are shown in Figure 4.

| Intersection |  | Traffic Control | LOS (Delay) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| 1 | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) @ Harmony Road / Old <br> Phoenix Road <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Approach <br> -Southbound Approach |  | Signalized | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{D(47.7)}{D(44.3)} \\ & C(29.4) \\ & E(68.6) \\ & D(36.1) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{D}{D}(37.2) \\ & C(32.5) \\ & B(19.0) \\ & E(69.0) \\ & D(42.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| 2 | Harmony Road @ Village Lane <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | Stop Controlled on Eastbound Approach | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(11.2) \\ \mathrm{A}(7.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(12.4) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Harmony Road @ Sammons Industrial Parkway (S) <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Southbound Left | Stop Controlled on Westbound Approach | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (14.2) } \\ \text { A (7.9) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (14.8) } \\ \text { A }(0.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Harmony Road @ Harmony Lane <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | Stop Controlled on Eastbound Approach | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(11.2) \\ \mathrm{A}(7.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(12.0) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | Harmony Road @ Scott Road / Private Driveway <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left <br> -Southbound Left | Stop Controlled on Eastbound and Westbound Approaches | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (11.0) } \\ \text { B (14.1) } \\ \text { A (0.0) } \\ \text { A (7.7) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { A (9.1) } \\ \text { B (13.3) } \\ \text { A (7.6) } \\ \text { A (7.9) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

The results of existing traffic operations analysis indicate that all the study intersections are operating at an level-of-service "D" or better in both AM and PM peak hours.


EXISTING TRAFFIC CONTROL AND LANE GEOMETRY

### 5.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed Helms Farm Campus that will be located on Harmony Road at Sammons Industrial Parkway (South), northeast of Harmony Road at Village Lane and southwest of Harmony Road at Harmony Lane in City of Eatonton, Putnam County, Georgia. The traffic analysis evaluates the current operations compared to the future conditions with the traffic generated by the development. A site plan is shown in Figure 5. The development will consist of:

- Goodwill Store: 16,800 sf
- Helms College: 50 Students
- Edgar's Bakery: 7,000 sf
- Retail including Spa: 26,920 sf
- High-Turn-over Sit-Down Restaurant: 13,100 sf
- Super Market: 14,500 sf
- Multi-family (mid-rise) Residential: 127 Units
- Student Housing: 18 Units
- Vacation Villas (Resorts): 41 Units
- Event Hall or Banquet Hall: 22,000 sf
- Hotel: 175 Rooms

The development proposes two site driveways at the following locations:

- Site Driveway 1: Full-access (Southern) driveway on Harmony Road
- Site Driveway 2: Full-access (Northern) driveway on Harmony Road

A site plan is included in Figure 5.


### 5.1 Trip Generation

Trip generation estimates for the project were based on the rates and equations published in the $10^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation report for the daily, AM and PM peak hours. This reference contains traffic volume count data collected at similar facilities nationwide. The calculated total trip generation for the proposed developments are shown in Table 4.

| TABLE 4 - TRIP GENERATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Size | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  | 24 Hour |
|  |  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total | Two-way |
| 815 - Free-Standing Discount Store | 16,800 sf | 14 | 6 | 20 | 40 | 41 | 81 | 892 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -3 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -3 | -4 | -7 |
| Pass-by Trips (0\%) 17\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -6 | -6 | -12 |
| 930 - Fast Casual Restaurant | 7,000 sf | 10 | 4 | 14 | 54 | 45 | 99 | 2,206 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -9 | -4 | -4 | -8 | -8 | -9 | -17 |
| Pass-by Trips (49\%) 50\% |  | -66 | -3 | 0 | -3 | -23 | -18 | -41 |
| 820 - Shopping Center | 26,920 sf | 15 | 10 | 25 | 49 | 54 | 103 | 1,016 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -3 | -2 | -2 | -4 | -4 | -4 | -8 |
| Pass-by Trips (0\%) 34\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -15 | -17 | -32 |
| 932 -High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Rest. | 13,100 sf | 71 | 59 | 130 | 79 | 49 | 128 | 1,470 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -4 | -2 | -3 | -5 | -5 | -6 | -11 |
| Pass-by Trips (0\%) 43\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -32 | -18 | -50 |
| 850 - Supermarket | 14,500 sf | 33 | 22 | 55 | 68 | 66 | 134 | 2,241 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -6 | -4 | -4 | -8 | -8 | -9 | -17 |
| Pass-by Trips (0\%) 36\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -22 | -21 | -43 |
| 550 - University/Colleges | 50 Students | 6 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 78 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -2 | -2 | 0 | -2 | -1 | -1 | -2 |
| 221- Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) | 127 Units | 11 | 32 | 43 | 34 | 22 | 56 | 690 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -6 | -3 | -3 | -6 | -7 | -6 | -13 |
| 225 - Off-Campus Student Apartment | 18 Beds | 3 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 57 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | -2 |
| 260-Recreational Homes | 41 Rooms | 6 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 142 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -1 | -1 | -1 | -2 | -2 | -1 | -3 |
| 495 - Recreational Community Center | 22,000 sf | 26 | 13 | 39 | 24 | 27 | 51 | 634 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -6 | -2 | -2 | -4 | -6 | -5 | -11 |
| 310 -Hotel | Rooms | 48 | 34 | 82 | 53 | 52 | 105 | 1,549 |
| Mixed-Use Reduction |  | -14 | -6 | -7 | -13 | -16 | -13 | -29 |
| Total Trips (without Reductions) |  | 243 | 190 | 433 | 410 | 373 | 783 | 10,975 |
| New External Trips (with Reductions) |  | 213 | 162 | 375 | 251 | 234 | 485 | 7,382 |

Daily pass-by reduction estimated to be ten times the PM pass-by volume.

The trip generation was based on the following ITE Land Uses: 221 - Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise), 225 - Off-Campus Student Apartment, 260 - Recreational Homes, 310 - Hotel, 495 - Recreational Community Center, 550 - University/Colleges, 815 - Free-Standing Discount Store, 820 - Shopping center, 850 - Supermarket, 932 - High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant and 930 - Fast Casual Restaurant. Due to the nature of the development, pass-by and mixed-use reductions have been applied per ITE standards.

### 5.2 Trip Distribution

The trip distribution describes how traffic arrives and departs from the site. An overall trip distribution was developed for the site based on a review of GDOT ADT volumes and the locations of major roadways and highways that will serve the development. The site-generated peak hour traffic volumes, shown in Table 4, were assigned to the study area intersections based on this distribution. The outer-leg distribution and AM and PM peak hour new traffic generated by the site are shown in Figure 6. Pass-by volumes have also been distributed based on existing travel patterns and are shown in Figure 7.
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### 6.0 FUTURE 2022 TRAFFIC ANALYSIS

The future 2025 traffic operations are analyzed for the "Build" and "No-Build" conditions. This provides a basis of reference for determining both the contribution of the site to overall traffic conditions and the additional improvements needed to provide sufficient site access and capacity for passing traffic. Note that survey and construction drawings would be needed to verify the feasibility and extent of additional right-of-way required for any recommended improvements.

Improvements that are identified as "System Improvements" address deficiencies that are found within the existing road network prior to any impacts from the proposed development's added traffic. Improvements that are identified as "Site Mitigation Improvements" address further impacts that are a result of the proposed development's added traffic.

### 6.1 Future "No-Build" Conditions

The "No-Build" (or background) conditions provide an assessment of how traffic will operate in the study horizon year without the study site being developed as proposed, with projected increases in through traffic volumes due to normal annual growth. The Future "No-Build" volumes consist of the adjusted existing traffic volumes (Figure 3) plus increases for annual growth of through traffic.

### 6.1.1 Annual Traffic Growth

In order to evaluate future traffic operations in this area, a projection of normal traffic growth was applied to the existing volumes. The Georgia Department of Transportation recorded average daily traffic volumes at several locations in the vicinity of the site. Reviewing the growth over the last three years revealed growth of approximately $2.5 \%$ in the area. This growth factor was applied to the existing traffic volumes between collector and arterial roadways in order to estimate the future year traffic volumes prior to the addition of site-generated traffic. The resulting Future "No-Build" volumes on the roadway are shown in Figure 8.

## Future "Build" Conditions

The "Build" or development conditions include the estimated background traffic from the "No-Build" conditions plus the added traffic from the proposed development. In order to evaluate future traffic operations in this area, the additional traffic volumes from the site (Figure 6) and pass-by volumes (Figure 7) were added to base traffic volumes (Figure 8) to calculate the future traffic volumes after the construction of the development. These total future traffic volumes are shown in Figure 9.
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### 6.1.2 Auxiliary Lane Analysis

Included below are analyses for left-turn lanes and deceleration lanes for all site driveways per GDOT standards. The analyses below are based off the "trip distribution". According to the trip distribution, the total site generated trips is 10,975 and the mixed-use reduction is 1,814 . Therefore, the 24 -hour two-way volume the site is 9,161 vehicles.

### 6.1.3 Left Turn Lane Analysis

For two lane roadways with AADT's less than 6,000 vehicles and a posted speed limit of 45 mph , the daily site generated left-turn threshold to warrant a left-turn lane is 250 left-turning vehicles. The projected left-turn volumes per day for each driveway is included below.

| TABLE 5 - GDOT REQUIREMENTS FOR LEFT TURN LANES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Left- turn traffic (\% entering) | Left-turn Volume (vehicle/day) | Roadway Speed/ \# lanes / ADT | GDOT <br> Threshold (vehicle/day) |
| Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 1 (S) | 60\% | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 , 7 4 8} \\ \text { (Total trips }- \text { mixed use) } \div 2 \times 0.60= \\ (10,975-1,814) \div 2 \times 0.60=2,748 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \mathrm{mph} / \\ \text { 2-lane/ <6,000 } \end{gathered}$ | 250 |
| Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 2 (N) | 15\% | $\begin{gathered} 687 \\ (\text { Total trips }- \text { mixed use }) \div 2 \times 0.15= \\ (10,975-1,814) \div 2 \times 0.15=687 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \mathrm{mph} / \\ \text { 2-lane/ <6,000 } \end{gathered}$ | 250 |

Since the projected number of left-turning vehicles at Site Driveway 1 and Site Driveway 2 exceed the threshold of 250 left turning vehicles, a left-turn lane is warranted at both site driveways per GDOT standards.

### 6.1.4 Deceleration Turn Lane Analysis

For two lane roadways with AADT's less than 6,000 vehicles and a posted speed limit of 45 mph , the daily site generated right-turn threshold to warrant a deceleration lane is 150 right turning vehicles. The projected right-turn volumes per day for each driveway is included in Table 6.

| TABLE 6 - GDOT REQUIREMENTS FOR DECELERATION LANES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection | Right-turn traffic <br> (\% total entering) | Right-turn Volume (vehicle/day) | Roadway Speed/ \# lanes / ADT | GDOT <br> Threshold (vehicle/day) |
| Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 1 (S) | 12.5\% | $\begin{gathered} 573 \\ (\text { Total trips }- \text { mixed use) } \div 2 \times 0.125= \\ (10,975-1,814) \div 2 \times 0.125=573 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \mathrm{mph} / \\ \text { 2-lane/ } \\ <6,000 \end{gathered}$ | 150 |
| Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 2 <br> (N) | 12.5\% | $\begin{gathered} 573 \\ (\text { Total trips }- \text { mixed use) } \div 2 \times 0.125= \\ (10,975-1,814) \div 2 \times 0.125=573 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \mathrm{mph} / \\ \text { 2-lane/ } \\ <6,000 \end{gathered}$ | 150 |

Since the projected number of right turning vehicles at Site Driveway 1 and Site Driveway 2 exceed the threshold of 150 right turning vehicles, a deceleration lane is warranted at both site driveways per GDOT standards.

### 6.2 Future Traffic Conditions

The future 2025 "No-Build" and "Build" traffic operations were analyzed using the volumes in Figure 8 and Figure 9, respectively, and the results are shown in Table 7.

| Intersection |  | Future Condition: LOS (Delay) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NO-BUILD |  | BUILD |  |
|  |  | AM Peak | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { PM } \\ \text { Peak } \end{gathered}$ | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| 1 | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) @ Harmony Road <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Approach <br> -Southbound Approach | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E}(62.5) \\ \mathrm{D}(47.4) \\ \mathrm{D}(35.9) \\ \mathrm{F}(102.9) \\ \mathrm{D}(42.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{D}(41.0)$ $\mathrm{D}(39.2)$ $\mathrm{C}(23.7)$ $\mathrm{F}(80.8)$ $\mathrm{D}(32.7)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}(75.4) \\ & \mathrm{E}(64.7) \\ & \mathrm{E}(67.2) \\ & \mathrm{F}(110.6) \\ & \mathrm{D}(46.5) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{D}(50.0)$ $\mathrm{D}(44.6)$ $\mathrm{D}(36.1)$ $\mathrm{F}(91.7)$ $\mathrm{D}(38.0)$ |
| 2 | Harmony Road @ Village Lane <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B (11.7) } \\ & \text { A (8.0) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(13.1) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(14.4) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.3) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}(18.5) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3 | Harmony Road @ Sammons Industrial Parkway (South) <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Southbound Left | $\begin{aligned} & C(15.2) \\ & \text { A }(8.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} C(16.1) \\ A(0.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}(21.8) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{D}(29.9) \\ \mathrm{A}(0.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | Harmony Road @ Harmony Lane <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(11.7) \\ \mathrm{A}(7.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(12.6) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(12.6) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (14.0) } \\ \text { A }(8.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| 5 | Harmony Road @ Scott Road / Private Driveway <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left <br> -Southbound Left | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (11.4) } \\ \text { C }(15.4) \\ \text { A }(0.0) \\ \text { A (7.8) } \end{gathered}$ | A (9.2) <br> B (14.5) <br> A (7.6) <br> A (8.0) | $\begin{gathered} \text { B }(12.0) \\ \text { C }(18.5) \\ \text { A }(0.0) \\ \text { A } 7.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{A}(9.5) \\ & \mathrm{C}(18.0) \\ & \mathrm{A}(7.7) \\ & \mathrm{A}(8.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 | Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 1 (S) <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left | - | - | $\begin{gathered} \text { B (12.6) } \\ \text { A (8.5) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C}(15.8) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 7 | Harmony Road @ Site Driveway 2 (N)/Private Driveway <br> -Eastbound Approach <br> -Westbound Approach <br> -Northbound Left <br> -Southbound Left | - | - | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{B}(13.1) \\ \mathrm{A}(0.0) \\ \mathrm{A}(8.2) \\ \mathrm{A}(0.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}(14.6)$ $\mathrm{A}(0.0)$ <br> A (8.3) <br> A (0.0) |

The results of future 'No-Build" traffic operations analysis indicate that the intersection of SR 44 (Greensboro Road) and Harmony Road will operate at level-of-service "E" in AM peak hour and the northbound approach of Old Phoenix Road will operate at level-of-service " $F$ ". This approach has a large volume of right-turn movement but does not have a dedicated right-turn lane causing this approach experience longer delays. As part of GDOT's SR 44 Widening project PI 0006253 this intersection will be improved by constructing a northbound right-turn lane on Old Phoenix Road and dual westbound leftturn lanes on SR 44 and an additional southbound through lane on Harmony Road. With these improvements, the intersection should operate at satisfactory levels-of service. Since the project
completion dates are not available, we did not include these 'System Improvements' in our analysis. All other intersections will be operating at satisfactory levels-of-service in both peak hours. GDOT's intersection improvement plan is shown below and in Figure 10. In future "Build" conditions all intersections will be operating at similar levels-of-service as in "No-Build" conditions. Recommendations for future traffic control and lane geometry is shown in Figure 11.




FUTURE TRAFFIC CONTROL AND LANE GEOMETRY
FIGURE 11
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### 7.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The purpose of this study is to determine the traffic impact that will result from the proposed Helms Farm Campus development that will be located on Harmony Road across from Sammons Industrial Parkway (South), north of Village Lane in City of Eatonton, Putnam County, Georgia. The traffic analysis evaluates the current operations compared to the future conditions with the traffic generated by the development. The development will consist of:

- Goodwill Store: 16,800 sf
- Helms College: 50 Students
- Edgar's Bakery: 7,000 sf
- Retail including Spa: 26,920 sf
- High-Turn-over Sit-Down Restaurant: 13,100 sf
- Super Market: 14,500 sf
- Multi-family (mid-rise) Residential: 127 Units
- Student Housing: 18 Units
- Vacation Villas (Resorts): 41 Units
- Event Hall or Banquet Hall: 22,000 sf
- Hotel: 175 Rooms

The development proposes three site accesses at the following locations:

- Site Driveway 1: Full-access (Southern) driveway on Harmony Road
- Site Driveway 2: Full-access (Northern) driveway on Harmony Road

Both AM and PM peak hours have been analyzed in this study. This study includes the evaluation of traffic operations at the intersections of:

- Harmony Road at SR 44 (Greensboro Road) / Old Phoenix Road
- Harmony Road at Village Lane
- Harmony Road at Sammons Industrial Parkway (South)
- Harmony Road at Harmony Lane
- Harmony Road at Scott Road / Private Driveway
- Harmony Road at Site Driveway 1 (Southern)
- Harmony Road at Site Driveway 2 (Northern) / Private Driveway

The analysis included the evaluation of "Existing" operations and future operations for "No-Build" and "Build" conditions, both of which account for increases in annual growth of through traffic. The results of the analysis are listed below:

## Recommendations for Site Access Configuration

The following access configuration is recommended for the proposed site driveway intersections.

- Site Driveway 1: Full-access (Southern) driveway on Harmony Road
- The driveway to have two entering and two exiting lanes. The eastbound (driveway) approach to have separate left and right-turn lane for exiting traffic.
- The intersection to be unsignalized with a STOP sign on the eastbound approach.
- A northbound left-turn lane to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic.
- A southbound deceleration lane to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic.
- Site Driveway 2: Full-access (Northern) driveway on Harmony Road
- The driveway to have one entering and two exiting lanes. The eastbound (driveway) approach to have separate left and right-turn lane for exiting traffic.
- The intersection to be unsignalized with a STOP sign on the eastbound approach.
- A northbound left-turn lane to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic.
- A southbound deceleration lane is recommended to be constructed on Harmony Road for entering traffic.

The proposed Helms Farm Campus development will be completed in different phases as determined by the market conditions and demand. The projected phasing of the entire development is given below. This study was evaluated to determine improvements for the full build out in year 2025.

| LAND USE | UNITS | PHASE 1 <br> 2023 | PHASE 2 <br> 2024 | PHASE 3 <br> Early 2025 | PHASE 4 <br> Late 2025 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goodwill Store | $16,800 \mathrm{sf}$ | $16,800 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | - | - |
| Helms College | 50 Students | 25 Students | 25 Students | - | - |
| Edgar's Bakery | $7,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | $7,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | - | - |
| Retail | $26,920 \mathrm{sf}$ | 3400 | $11,200 \mathrm{sf}$ | $12,320 \mathrm{sf}$ | - |
| Sit-Down Restaurant | $13,100 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | $2,500 \mathrm{sf}$ | $2,500 \mathrm{sf}$ | $8,100 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Super Market | $14,500 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | - | $14,500 \mathrm{sf}$ | - |
| Multi-family Apartments | 127 Units | - | 42 | 64 | 21 |
| Student Housing | 18 Units | - | 6 | 9 | 3 |
| Vacation Villas | 41 Units | - | - | 20 Units | 21 Units |
| Event Hall/Banquet | $22,000 \mathrm{sf}$ | - | - | - | $22,000 \mathrm{sf}$ |
| Hotel | 175 Rooms | - | - | 175 Rooms | - |

The most traffic impact from the project occurs during the AM and PM peak hours. The traffic volumes generated by the project during these peak hours in different directions is shown graphically in figure 6 on page 16. As shown on this figure, a maximum of 29 vehicles are projected to turn left at any of the two driveways. The northern driveway has only 35 vehicles turning right while the southern driveway will have 140 vehicles turning right. These turning movements amount to 1 or 2 vehicles per minute. This magnitude of traffic volumes will not create any significant impact on traffic on Harmony Road especially the development will construct left-turn lanes and deceleration lanes per our recommendations.

The results of future traffic operations analysis indicate that after addition of the new traffic generated by the proposed Helms Farm Campus development, all study intersections will continue to operate at similar levels-of-service as before. The existing delays at Old Phoenix Road at SR 44 (Greensboro Road) intersection will improve after the proposed SR 44 Widening project (PI 0006253) 10 is completed by GDOT.
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Existing Intersection Traffic Counts

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. 

2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' $O$ ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Village Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210171
Site Code : 20210171
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars,Buses \& Trucks

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 52 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 95 |
| 07:15 AM | 2 | 48 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 52 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 58 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 75 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| Total | 6 | 233 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 202 | 5 | 207 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 457 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 54 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 08:30 AM | 1 | 42 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 47 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 61 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| Total | 7 | 189 | 0 | 196 | 0 | 237 | 5 | 242 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 451 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 1 | 66 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 68 | 1 | 69 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 1 | 46 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 57 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 107 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 57 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 61 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 124 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 55 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| Total | 5 | 224 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 247 | 5 | 252 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 494 |
| 05:00 PM | 3 | 69 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 05:30 PM | 1 | 48 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| 05:45 PM | 1 | 47 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 65 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 118 |
| Total | 7 | 227 | 0 | 234 | 0 | 334 | 8 | 342 | 8 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 592 |
| Grand Total | 25 | 873 | 0 | 898 | 0 | 1020 | 23 | 1043 | 26 | 0 | 27 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1994 |
| Apprch \% | 2.8 | 97.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.8 | 2.2 |  | 49.1 | 0 | 50.9 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.3 | 43.8 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 51.2 | 1.2 | 52.3 | 1.3 | 0 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## A \& R Engineering, Inc.

2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' 0 ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Village Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210171
Site Code : 20210171
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for | Entire | interse | ction | egins at | 7:30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 2 | 58 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 54 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 117 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 75 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 62 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 141 |
| 08:00 AM | 2 | 54 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 59 | 2 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 63 | 1 | 64 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| Total Volume | 8 | 233 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 236 | 5 | 241 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 494 |
| \% App. Total | 3.3 | 96.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.9 | 2.1 |  | 41.7 | 0 | 58.3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 667 | . 777 | . 000 | . 793 | . 000 | . 937 | . 625 | . 941 | . 625 | 000 | . 875 | 1.00 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 876 |



## A \& R Engineering, Inc.

2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' 0 ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Village Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210171
Site Code : 20210171
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 55 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 123 |
| 05:00 PM | 3 | 69 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 100 | 2 | 102 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 178 |
| 05:15 PM | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 91 | 3 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 05:30 PM | 1 | 48 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 135 |
| Total Volume | 7 | 235 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 334 | 8 | 342 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 597 |
| \% App. Total | 2.9 | 97.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 97.7 | 2.3 |  | 46.2 | 0 | 53.8 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 583 | . 851 | . 000 | . 840 | . 000 | . 835 | . 667 | . 838 | . 750 | . 000 | . 583 | . 650 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 838 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ SR 44 Greens boro Rd 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

Groups Printed- Cars, Buses - Trucks

|  | Old Phonix Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 ( Greensboro Rd ) Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 5 | 36 | 40 | 81 | 19 | 14 | 10 | 43 | 29 | 51 | 9 | 89 | 30 | 36 | 12 | 78 | 291 |
| 07:15 AM | 18 | 32 | 69 | 119 | 27 | 15 | 16 | 58 | 25 | 68 | 13 | 106 | 37 | 42 | 10 | 89 | 372 |
| 07:30 AM | 12 | 37 | 68 | 117 | 44 | 22 | 15 | 81 | 28 | 81 | 20 | 129 | 42 | 45 | 10 | 97 | 424 |
| 07:45 AM | 16 | 43 | 99 | 158 | 34 | 21 | 16 | 71 | 47 | 95 | 12 | 154 | 35 | 41 | 20 | 96 | 479 |
| Total | 51 | 148 | 276 | 475 | 124 | 72 | 57 | 253 | 129 | 295 | 54 | 478 | 144 | 164 | 52 | 360 | 1566 |
| 08:00 AM | 12 | 33 | 85 | 130 | 34 | 16 | 15 | 65 | 28 | 108 | 28 | 164 | 37 | 52 | 19 | 108 | 467 |
| 08:15 AM | 13 | 30 | 97 | 140 | 54 | 36 | 17 | 107 | 28 | 76 | 16 | 120 | 53 | 36 | 27 | 116 | 483 |
| 08:30 AM | 22 | 38 | 78 | 138 | 36 | 31 | 17 | 84 | 16 | 78 | 14 | 108 | 53 | 41 | 16 | 110 | 440 |
| 08:45 AM | 6 | 33 | 85 | 124 | 45 | 28 | 16 | 89 | 15 | 70 | 15 | 100 | 64 | 42 | 19 | 125 | 438 |
| Total | 53 | 134 | 345 | 532 | 169 | 111 | 65 | 345 | 87 | 332 | 73 | 492 | 207 | 171 | 81 | 459 | 1828 |

*** BREAK ***

| 04:00 PM | 10 | 36 | 69 | 115 | 40 | 45 | 17 | 102 | 14 | 51 | 12 | 77 | 90 | 77 | 20 | 187 | 481 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 7 | 26 | 65 | 98 | 33 | 35 | 19 | 87 | 21 | 57 | 17 | 95 | 91 | 71 | 18 | 180 | 460 |
| 04:30 PM | 14 | 20 | 64 | 98 | 31 | 27 | 22 | 80 | 18 | 46 | 17 | 81 | 95 | 77 | 25 | 197 | 456 |
| 04:45 PM | 18 | 17 | 67 | 102 | 32 | 27 | 24 | 83 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 | 84 | 29 | 195 | 380 |
| Total | 49 | 99 | 265 | 413 | 136 | 134 | 82 | 352 | 53 | 154 | 46 | 253 | 358 | 309 | 92 | 759 | 1777 |
| 05:00 PM | 23 | 15 | 78 | 116 | 40 | 53 | 29 | 122 | 22 | 56 | 17 | 95 | 95 | 87 | 26 | 208 | 541 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 19 | 77 | 96 | 32 | 47 | 26 | 105 | 19 | 57 | 18 | 94 | 99 | 91 | 17 | 207 | 502 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 24 | 76 | 116 | 21 | 38 | 25 | 84 | 17 | 68 | 22 | 107 | 112 | 105 | 13 | 230 | 537 |
| 05:45 PM | 16 | 22 | 68 | 106 | 19 | 32 | 24 | 75 | 14 | 63 | 15 | 92 | 99 | 101 | 11 | 211 | 484 |
| Total | 55 | 80 | 299 | 434 | 112 | 170 | 104 | 386 | 72 | 244 | 72 | 388 | 405 | 384 | 67 | 856 | 2064 |
| Grand Total | 208 | 461 | 1185 | 1854 | 541 | 487 | 308 | 1336 | 341 | 1025 | 245 | 1611 | 1114 | 1028 | 292 | 2434 | 7235 |
| Apprch \% | 11.2 | 24.9 | 63.9 |  | 40.5 | 36.5 | 23.1 |  | 21.2 | 63.6 | 15.2 |  | 45.8 | 42.2 | 12 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.9 | 6.4 | 16.4 | 25.6 | 7.5 | 6.7 | 4.3 | 18.5 | 4.7 | 14.2 | 3.4 | 22.3 | 15.4 | 14.2 | 4 | 33.6 |  |
| Cars, Buses | 200 | 444 | 1159 | 1803 | 496 | 459 | 297 | 1252 | 331 | 948 | 237 | 1516 | 1087 | 986 | 261 | 2334 | 6905 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 96.2 | 96.3 | 97.8 | 97.2 | 91.7 | 94.3 | 96.4 | 93.7 | 97.1 | 92.5 | 96.7 | 94.1 | 97.6 | 95.9 | 89.4 | 95.9 | 95.4 |
| Trucks | 8 | 17 | 26 | 51 | 45 | 28 | 11 | 84 | 10 | 77 | 8 | 95 | 27 | 42 | 31 | 100 | 330 |
| \% Trucks | 3.8 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 2.8 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 3.6 | 6.3 | 2.9 | 7.5 | 3.3 | 5.9 | 2.4 | 4.1 | 10.6 | 4.1 | 4.6 |

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ SR 44 Greens boro Rd 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210170
Site Code : 20210170
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Old Phonix Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 ( Greensboro Rd ) Westbound |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for | Entire | nterse | ction B | gins at | 7:45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:45 AM | 16 | 43 | 99 | 158 | 34 | 21 | 16 | 71 | 47 | 95 | 12 | 154 | 35 | 41 | 20 | 96 | 479 |
| 08:00 AM | 12 | 33 | 85 | 130 | 34 | 16 | 15 | 65 | 28 | 108 | 28 | 164 | 37 | 52 | 19 | 108 | 467 |
| 08:15 AM | 13 | 30 | 97 | 140 | 54 | 36 | 17 | 107 | 28 | 76 | 16 | 120 | 53 | 36 | 27 | 116 | 483 |
| 08:30 AM | 22 | 38 | 78 | 138 | 36 | 31 | 17 | 84 | 16 | 78 | 14 | 108 | 53 | 41 | 16 | 110 | 440 |
| Total Volume | 63 | 144 | 359 | 566 | 158 | 104 | 65 | 327 | 119 | 357 | 70 | 546 | 178 | 170 | 82 | 430 | 1869 |
| \% App. Total | 11.1 | 25.4 | 63.4 |  | 48.3 | 31.8 | 19.9 |  | 21.8 | 65.4 | 12.8 |  | 41.4 | 39.5 | 19.1 |  |  |
| PHF | 716 | . 837 | . 907 | . 896 | . 731 | . 722 | . 956 | . 764 | . 633 | . 826 | . 625 | . 832 | . 840 | . 817 | . 759 | . 927 | . 967 |
| Cars, Buses | 61 | 137 | 345 | 543 | 137 | 92 | 62 | 291 | 116 | 328 | 66 | 510 | 168 | 156 | 72 | 396 | 1740 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 96.8 | 95.1 | 96.1 | 95.9 | 86.7 | 88.5 | 95.4 | 89.0 | 97.5 | 91.9 | 94.3 | 93.4 | 94.4 | 91.8 | 87.8 | 92.1 | 93.1 |
| Trucks | 2 | 7 | 14 | 23 | 21 | 12 | 3 | 36 | 3 | 29 | 4 | 36 | 10 | 14 | 10 | 34 | 129 |
| \% Trucks | 3.2 | 4.9 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 13.3 | 11.5 | 4.6 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 8.1 | 5.7 | 6.6 | 5.6 | 8.2 | 12.2 | 7.9 | 6.9 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ SR 44 Greens boro Rd 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210170
Site Code : 20210170
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Old Phonix Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 ( Greensboro Rd ) Westbound |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM | 23 | 15 | 78 | 116 | 40 | 53 | 29 | 122 | 22 | 56 | 17 | 95 | 95 | 87 | 26 | 208 | 541 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 19 | 77 | 96 | 32 | 47 | 26 | 105 | 19 | 57 | 18 | 94 | 99 | 91 | 17 | 207 | 502 |
| 05:30 PM | 16 | 24 | 76 | 116 | 21 | 38 | 25 | 84 | 17 | 68 | 22 | 107 | 112 | 105 | 13 | 230 | 537 |
| 05:45 PM | 16 | 22 | 68 | 106 | 19 | 32 | 24 | 75 | 14 | 63 | 15 | 92 | 99 | 101 | 11 | 211 | 484 |
| Total Volume | 55 | 80 | 299 | 434 | 112 | 170 | 104 | 386 | 72 | 244 | 72 | 388 | 405 | 384 | 67 | 856 | 2064 |
| \% App. Total | 12.7 | 18.4 | 68.9 |  | 29 | 44 | 26.9 |  | 18.6 | 62.9 | 18.6 |  | 47.3 | 44.9 | 7.8 |  |  |
| PHF | . 598 | . 833 | . 958 | . 935 | . 700 | . 802 | . 897 | . 791 | . 818 | . 897 | . 818 | . 907 | . 904 | . 914 | . 644 | . 930 | . 954 |
| Cars, Buses | 53 | 79 | 299 | 431 | 112 | 167 | 102 | 381 | 71 | 239 | 71 | 381 | 400 | 378 | 62 | 840 | 2033 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 96.4 | 98.8 | 100 | 99.3 | 100 | 98.2 | 98.1 | 98.7 | 98.6 | 98.0 | 98.6 | 98.2 | 98.8 | 98.4 | 92.5 | 98.1 | 98.5 |
| Trucks | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 16 | 31 |
| \% Trucks | 3.6 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.7 | 0 | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 2.0 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 1.5 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) 7-9 am l 4-6 pm

Groups Printed- Cars, Buses - Trucks

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 44 | 10 | 54 | 1 | 38 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 98 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 42 | 8 | 50 | 4 | 51 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 113 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 45 | 18 | 63 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 121 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 61 | 17 | 78 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 145 |
| Total | 0 | 192 | 53 | 245 | 5 | 203 | 0 | 208 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 477 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 51 | 8 | 59 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 130 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 48 | 8 | 56 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 134 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 38 | 10 | 48 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 112 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 47 | 6 | 53 | 1 | 59 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 120 |
| Total | 0 | 184 | 32 | 216 | 2 | 245 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 33 | 496 |

*** BREAK ***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 0 | 67 | 5 | 72 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 144 |
| $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 50 | 3 | 53 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 112 |
| $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 59 | 3 | 62 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 125 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 57 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 132 |
| Total | 0 | 233 | 18 | 251 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 23 | 513 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 177 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 66 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 160 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 128 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 46 | 4 | 50 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 116 |
| Total | 0 | 235 | 6 | 241 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 1 | 58 | 581 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 844 | 109 | 953 | 7 | 969 | 0 | 976 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 | 0 | 7 | 138 | 2067 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 88.6 | 11.4 |  | 0.7 | 99.3 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 94.9 | 0 | 5.1 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 40.8 | 5.3 | 46.1 | 0.3 | 46.9 | 0 | 47.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 6.7 |  |
| Cars, Buses | 0 | 798 | 75 | 873 | 6 | 923 | 0 | 929 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 5 | 102 | 1904 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 0 | 94.5 | 68.8 | 91.6 | 85.7 | 95.3 | 0 | 95.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 71.4 | 73.9 | 92.1 |
| Trucks | 0 | 46 | 34 | 80 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 2 | 36 | 163 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 5.5 | 31.2 | 8.4 | 14.3 | 4.7 | 0 | 4.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 28.6 | 26.1 | 7.9 |

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) 7-9 am l 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210169
Site Code : 20210169
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM

| 07:30 AM | 0 | 45 | 18 | 63 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 121 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 61 | 17 | 78 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 145 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 51 | 8 | 59 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 130 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 48 | 8 | 56 | 1 | 69 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 134 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 205 | 51 | 256 | 1 | 245 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 28 | 530 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 80.1 | 19.9 |  | 0.4 | 99.6 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 92.9 | 0 | 7.1 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 840 | . 708 | . 821 | . 250 | . 888 | . 000 | . 879 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 813 | . 000 | . 250 | . 778 | . 914 |
| Cars, Buses | 0 | 194 | 39 | 233 | 1 | 223 | 0 | 224 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 15 | 472 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 0 | 94.6 | 76.5 | 91.0 | 100 | 91.0 | 0 | 91.1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0 | 0 | 100 | 53.6 | 89.1 |
| Trucks | 0 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 58 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 5.4 | 23.5 | 9.0 | 0 | 9.0 | 0 | 8.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.0 | 0 | 0 | 46.4 | 10.9 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Sammons Ind Pkwy (South) 7-9 am l 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210169
Site Code : 20210169
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3


Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM

| 04:45 PM | 0 | 57 | 7 | 64 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 132 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 76 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 177 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 66 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 160 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 47 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 128 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 246 | 9 | 255 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 63 | 597 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 96.5 | 3.5 |  | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 96.8 | 0 | 3.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 809 | . 321 | . 839 | . 000 | . 861 | . 000 | . 861 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 663 | . 000 | . 500 | . 685 | . 843 |
| Cars, Buses | 0 | 231 | 4 | 235 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 1 | 61 | 570 |
| \% Cars, Buses | 0 | 93.9 | 44.4 | 92.2 | 0 | 98.2 | 0 | 98.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98.4 | 0 | 50.0 | 96.8 | 95.5 |
| Trucks | 0 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 27 |
| \% Trucks | 0 | 6.1 | 55.6 | 7.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1.6 | 0 | 50.0 | 3.2 | 4.5 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' 0 ', <br> Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd
7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars,Buses \& Trucks

| Groups Printed- Cars,Buses \& Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | t. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total |  |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 17 | 3 | 20 | 9 | 24 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 9 | 63 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 27 | 48 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 116 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 23 | 59 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 127 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 20 | 7 | 27 | 35 | 58 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 141 |
| Total | 0 | 78 | 19 | 97 | 94 | 189 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 33 | 1 | 28 | 62 | 447 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 8 | 26 | 22 | 45 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 27 | 121 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 25 | 5 | 30 | 17 | 48 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 112 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 14 | 4 | 18 | 16 | 30 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 81 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 18 | 53 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 119 |
| Total | 0 | 76 | 26 | 102 | 73 | 176 | 1 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 45 | 80 | 433 |


| 09:00 AM | 1 | 27 | 4 | 32 | 27 | 44 | 0 | 71 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 19 | 124 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 09:15 AM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 21 | 30 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 10 | 15 | 94 |
| 09:30 AM | 0 | 24 | 7 | 31 | 14 | 26 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 6 | 14 |
| 09:45 AM | 0 | 24 | 8 | 32 | 16 | 40 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 24 | 112 |
| Total | 1 | 94 | 28 | 123 | 78 | 140 | 0 | 218 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 39 | 0 | 33 | $72 \mid$ | 415 |
| 10:00 AM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 13 | 25 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 | 0 | 12 | 19 |
| 10:15 AM | 0 | 22 | 8 | 30 | 14 | 38 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 27 | 109 |
| 10:30 AM | 0 | 28 | 4 | 32 | 12 | 29 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 18 | 24 | 97 |
| 10:45 AM | 0 | 22 | 12 | 34 | 22 | 33 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 17 | 106 |
| Total | 0 | 91 | 33 | 124 | 61 | 125 | 0 | 186 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 58 | 87 | 397 |
| 11:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 7 |  | 25 | 16 | 22 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 18 | $22 \mid r$ |
| 11:15 AM | 0 | 20 | 6 | 26 | 17 | 34 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 24 | 101 |
| 11:30 AM | 0 | 34 | 12 | 46 | 16 | 40 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 21 | 124 |
| 11:45 AM | 0 | 31 | 8 | 39 | 14 | 28 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 17 | 28 | 109 |
| Total | 0 | 103 | 33 | 136 | 63 | 124 | 0 | 187 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 66 | 95 | 419 |


| 12:00 PM | 1 | 22 | 10 | 33 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 26 | 93 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12:15 PM | 0 | 27 | 4 | 31 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 24 | 98 |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 20 | 34 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 34 | 117 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 27 | 5 | 32 | 14 | 37 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 32 | 115 |
| Total | 1 | 95 | 28 | 124 | 60 | 122 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 43 | 0 | 73 | 116 | 423 |


| $01: 00$ | PM | 0 | 33 | 8 | 41 | 18 | 28 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $01: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 16 | 31 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 20 |
| $01: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 35 | 6 | 41 | 12 | 33 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 27 |
| $01: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 0 | 18 | 11 | 29 | 13 | 31 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 113 |  |  |
| Total | 0 | 115 | 30 | 145 | 59 | 123 | 0 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 95 |  |


| 02:00 PM | 0 | 32 | 7 | 39 | 8 | 23 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 27 | 97 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 02:15 PM | 0 | 30 | 4 | 34 | 14 | 44 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 22 | 114 |
| 02:30 PM | 1 | 19 | 8 | 28 | 16 | 30 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 19 | 25 | 99 |
| 02:45 PM | 0 | 46 | 8 | 54 | 12 | 38 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 31 | 135 |
| Total | 1 | 127 | 27 | 155 | 50 | 135 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 69 | 105 | 445 |
| 03:00 PM | 0 | 42 | 12 | 54 | 19 | 44 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 138 |
| 03:15 PM | 0 | 26 | 11 | 37 | 15 | 51 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 29 | 132 |
| 03:30 PM | 0 | 26 | 7 | 33 | 22 | 36 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 25 | 36 | 127 |
| 03:45 PM | 0 | 32 | 11 | 43 | 18 | 51 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 29 | 141 |
| Total | 0 | 126 | 41 | 167 | 74 | 182 | 0 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 77 | 115 | 538 |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 28 | 9 | 37 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 26 | 106 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 30 | 9 | 39 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 26 | 108 |

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' 0 ', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd 7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 37 | 8 | 45 | 21 | 31 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 19 | 34 | 131 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 44 | 7 | 52 | 19 | 37 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 149 |
| Total | 1 | 139 | 33 | 173 | 64 | 130 | 0 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 37 | 0 | 89 | 126 | 494 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 61 | 6 | 68 | 10 | 35 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 29 | 47 | 160 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 53 | 12 | 65 | 18 | 40 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 46 | 58 | 181 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 44 | 9 | 53 | 13 | 43 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 40 | 49 | 158 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 27 | 10 | 37 | 8 | 44 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 23 | 31 | 120 |
| Total | 1 | 185 | 37 | 223 | 49 | 162 | 0 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 138 | 185 | 619 |


| 06:00 PM | 1 | 32 | 8 | 41 | 11 | 31 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 11 | 17 | 100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06:15 PM | 0 | 37 | 4 | 41 | 17 | 30 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 31 | 119 |
| 06:30 PM | 2 | 27 | 5 | 34 | 23 | 27 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 20 | 26 | 111 |
| 06:45 PM | 2 | 15 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 15 | 71 |
| Total | 5 | 111 | 19 | 135 | 57 | 119 | 0 | 176 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 0 | 64 | 89 | 401 |
| Grand Total | 10 | 1340 | 354 | 1704 | 782 | 1727 | 1 | 2510 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 433 | 1 | 793 | 1227 | 5453 |
| Apprch \% | 0.6 | 78.6 | 20.8 |  | 31.2 | 68.8 | 0 |  | 16.7 | 8.3 | 75 |  | 35.3 | 0.1 | 64.6 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.2 | 24.6 | 6.5 | 31.2 | 14.3 | 31.7 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 7.9 | 0 | 14.5 | 22.5 |  |

# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd 7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 27 | 48 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 116 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 23 | 59 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 127 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 20 | 7 | 27 | 35 | 58 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 21 | 141 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 18 | 8 | 26 | 22 | 45 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 11 | 27 | 121 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 79 | 24 | 103 | 107 | 210 | 1 | 318 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 46 | 1 | 33 | 80 | 505 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 76.7 | 23.3 |  | 33.6 | 66 | 0.3 |  | 0 | 25 | 75 |  | 57.5 | 1.2 | 41.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 859 | . 750 | . 920 | . 764 | . 890 | . 250 | . 855 | . 000 | . 250 | . 375 | . 500 | . 719 | 250 | . 750 | 741 | . 895 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ ', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd 7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 4

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 01:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 12:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:30 PM | 0 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 20 | 34 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 34 | 117 |
| 12:45 PM | 0 | 27 | 5 | 32 | 14 | 37 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 18 | 32 | 115 |
| 01:00 PM | 0 | 33 | 8 | 41 | 18 | 28 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 113 |
| 01:15 PM | 0 | 29 | 5 | 34 | 16 | 31 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 20 | 101 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 108 | 27 | 135 | 68 | 130 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 63 | 112 | 446 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 80 | 20 |  | 34.3 | 65.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 43.8 | 0 | 56.2 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 818 | . 750 | . 823 | . 850 | . 878 | . 000 | . 917 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 817 | . 000 | . 788 | . 824 | . 953 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. <br> 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067 

TMC DATA
Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd 7am-7 pm

File Name : 20210168
Site Code : 20210168
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 5

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southound |  |  |  | Private Drwy Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 06:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 44 | 7 | 52 | 19 | 37 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 40 | 149 |
| 05:00 PM | 1 | 61 | 6 | 68 | 10 | 35 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 29 | 47 | 160 |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 53 | 12 | 65 | 18 | 40 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 46 | 58 | 181 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 44 | 9 | 53 | 13 | 43 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 40 | 49 | 158 |
| Total Volume | 2 | 202 | 34 | 238 | 60 | 155 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 145 | 194 | 648 |
| \% App. Total | 0.8 | 84.9 | 14.3 |  | 27.9 | 72.1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 100 |  | 25.3 | 0 | 74.7 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 828 | . 708 | . 875 | . 789 | . 901 | . 000 | . 927 | . 000 | . 000 | . 250 | . 250 | . 681 | . 000 | . 788 | . 836 | . 895 |



# A \& R Engineering, Inc. 

2160 Kingston Court, Suite ' $O$ ', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Harmony Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210172<br>Site Code : 20210172<br>Start Date : 5/27/2021<br>Page No : 1

Groups Printed- Cars,Buses \& Trucks

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 3 | 44 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 35 | 2 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 89 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 41 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 49 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 42 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 60 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| Total | 12 | 187 | 0 | 199 | 0 | 190 | 11 | 201 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 430 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 48 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 58 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 08:15 AM | 4 | 44 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 08:30 AM | 2 | 38 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 54 | 3 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 106 |
| 08:45 AM | 2 | 43 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 57 | 4 | 61 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |
| Total | 12 | 173 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 225 | 15 | 240 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 455 |

*** BREAK ***

| $04: 00 ~ P M ~$ | 4 | 63 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 64 | 4 | 68 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 143 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $04: 15 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 45 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 52 | 5 | 57 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 113 |
| $04: 30 \mathrm{PM}$ | 2 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| $04: 45 \mathrm{PM}$ | 3 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 3 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| Total | 12 | 215 | 0 | 227 | 0 | 231 | 15 | 246 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 503 |


| 05:00 PM | 4 | 69 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 63 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| 05:30 PM | 4 | 47 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 60 | 4 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 05:45 PM | 4 | 44 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 60 | 5 | 65 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 |
| Total | 15 | 223 | 0 | 238 | 0 | 277 | 16 | 293 | 11 | 0 | 15 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 557 |
| Grand Total | 51 | 798 | 0 | 849 | 0 | 923 | 57 | 980 | 54 | 0 | 62 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1945 |
| Apprch \% | 6 | 94 | 0 |  | 0 | 94.2 | 5.8 |  | 46.6 | 0 | 53.4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 2.6 | 41 | 0 | 43.7 | 0 | 47.5 | 2.9 | 50.4 | 2.8 | 0 | 3.2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## A \& R Engineering, Inc.

## 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Harmony Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210172
Site Code : 20210172
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 2

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 42 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 4 | 53 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 108 |
| 07:45 AM | 1 | 60 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 131 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 48 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 3 | 58 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| 08:15 AM | 4 | 44 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 64 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 119 |
| Total Volume | 13 | 194 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 223 | 14 | 237 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 477 |
| \% App. Total | 6.3 | 93.7 | 0 |  | 0 | 94.1 | 5.9 |  | 45.5 | 0 | 54.5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 813 | . 808 | . 000 | . 848 | . 000 | . 929 | . 700 | . 926 | . 938 | . 000 | . 900 | . 917 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 910 |



## A \& R Engineering, Inc.

## 2160 Kingston Court, Suite 'O', Marietta, GA 30067

TMC Data
Harmony Rd @ Harmony Lane 7-9 am | 4-6 pm

File Name : 20210172
Site Code : 20210172
Start Date : 5/27/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Harmony Rd Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Rd Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Left | Thru | Right | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 58 | 3 | 61 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 125 |
| 04:45 PM | 3 | 52 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 57 | 3 | 60 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 |
| 05:00 PM | 4 | 69 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 77 | 4 | 81 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 161 |
| 05:15 PM | 3 | 63 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 80 | 3 | 83 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 |
| Total Volume | 12 | 239 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 272 | 13 | 285 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 561 |
| \% App. Total | 4.8 | 95.2 | 0 |  | 0 | 95.4 | 4.6 |  | 52 | 0 | 48 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 750 | . 866 | . 000 | . 860 | . 000 | . 850 | . 813 | . 858 | . 650 | . 000 | . 600 | . 893 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 871 |



| Start 27-May-2 |  | Northbou | Southbou |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Thu |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00 AM |  | 3 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| 01:00 |  | 5 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 |
| 02:00 |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| 03:00 |  | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 |
| 04:00 |  | 11 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 26 |
| 05:00 |  | 21 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 54 |
| 06:00 |  | 81 | 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 172 |
| 07:00 |  | 106 | 222 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 328 |
| 08:00 |  | 121 | 211 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 332 |
| 09:00 |  | 127 | 179 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 306 |
| 10:00 |  | 149 | 154 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 303 |
| 11:00 |  | 169 | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 322 |
| 12:00 PM |  | 168 | 165 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 333 |
| 01:00 |  | 168 | 175 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 343 |
| 02:00 |  | 196 | 171 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 367 |
| 03:00 |  | 203 | 220 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 423 |
| 04:00 |  | 228 | 167 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 395 |
| 05:00 |  | 323 | 209 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 532 |
| 06:00 |  | 175 | 144 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 319 |
| 07:00 |  | 125 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 220 |
| 08:00 |  | 79 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 147 |
| 09:00 |  | 51 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 86 |
| 10:00 |  | 36 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 64 |
| 11:00 |  | 15 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32 |
| Total |  | 2567 | 2566 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5133 |
| Percent |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak | - | 11:00 | 07:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 08:00 |
| Vol. | - | 169 | 222 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 332 |
| PM Peak | - | 17:00 | 15:00 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17:00 |
| Vol. | - | 323 | 220 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 532 |
| Grand Total |  | 2567 | 2566 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5133 |
| Percent |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ADT |  | ADT 5,133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Linear Regression of Daily Traffic


Existing Intersection Andeysis

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | \% | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 130 | 389 | 76 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 69 | 157 | 172 | 113 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 130 | 389 | 76 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 69 | 157 | 172 | 113 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 134 | 401 | 78 | 200 | 191 | 92 | 71 | 565 | 177 | 189 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |

Switch Phase

|  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 39.2 | 39.2 | 39.2 | 15.0 | 54.2 | 54.2 | 47.8 | 47.8 | 18.0 | 65.8 |
| Total Split (s) | $32.7 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $32.7 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $45.2 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $39.8 \%$ | $15.0 \%$ | $54.8 \%$ |
| Total Split (\%) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 2.0 | 2. | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2. |


| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |


|  | 0.37 | 0.74 | 0.14 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.19 | 0.94 | 0.80 | 0.24 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| v/c Ratio | 37.5 | 47.6 | 1.9 | 38.8 | 23.7 | 5.0 | 29.2 | 55.0 | 52.7 | 14.8 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 37.5 | 47.6 | 1.9 | 38.8 | 23.7 | 5.0 | 29.2 | 55.0 | 52.7 | 14.8 |
| Total Delay | 84 | 289 | 0 | 103 | 97 | 0 | 38 | 336 | 84 | 62 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 146 | $\# 445$ | 11 | $\# 182$ | 154 | 32 | 74 | $\# 547$ | $\# 199$ | 108 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 1244 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 548 |  | 408 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 364 | 545 | 557 | 284 | 765 | 679 | 415 | 647 | 222 | 846 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0.37 | 0.74 | 0.14 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.17 | 0.87 | 0.80 | 0.22 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 75 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 389 | 76 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 69 | 157 | 391 | 172 | 113 | 71 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 130 | 389 | 76 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 69 | 157 | 391 | 172 | 113 | 71 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1856 | 1781 | 1811 | 1811 | 1781 | 1722 | 1856 | 1826 | 1841 | 1707 | 1737 | 1826 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 134 | 401 | 0 | 200 | 191 | 0 | 71 | 162 | 403 | 177 | 116 | 73 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 5 |
| Cap, veh/h | 415 | 534 |  | 289 | 757 |  | 478 | 164 | 407 | 202 | 482 | 303 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.09 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1183 | 1781 | 1535 | 1725 | 1781 | 1459 | 1185 | 464 | 1154 | 1626 | 997 | 627 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 134 | 401 | 0 | 200 | 191 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 565 | 177 | 0 | 189 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1183 | 1781 | 1535 | 1725 | 1781 | 1459 | 1185 | 0 | 1618 | 1626 | 0 | 1624 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 10.7 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 10.7 | 24.4 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 41.7 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 8.2 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.39 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 415 | 534 |  | 289 | 757 |  | 478 | 0 | 570 | 202 | 0 | 785 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.32 | 0.75 |  | 0.69 | 0.25 |  | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 415 | 534 |  | 289 | 757 |  | 478 | 0 | 570 | 233 | 0 | 816 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 33.2 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 22.2 | 0.0 | 26.8 | 0.0 | 38.7 | 28.8 | 0.0 | 18.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 2.1 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 35.2 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 3.2 | 11.6 | 0.0 | 4.3 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 21.2 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 2.9 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 35.2 | 47.3 | 0.0 | 35.4 | 23.0 | 0.0 | 26.9 | 0.0 | 73.8 | 55.2 | 0.0 | 18.3 |


| LnGrp LOS | D | D | D | C | C | A | E | E | A |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 535 | A | 391 | A | 636 | 366 |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 44.3 |  | 29.4 |  | 68.6 | 36.1 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D |  | C |  | E | D |  |  |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 41.5 | 63.5 | 56.5 | 15.7 | 47.8 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 9.5 | 33.7 | 60.3 | 48.7 | 12.5 | 42.3 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 11.5 | 26.4 | 10.2 | 10.3 | 10.1 | 43.7 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay 47.7

HCM 6th LOS

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | A | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 8 | 9 | 254 | 257 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 8 | 9 | 254 | 257 | 5 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 9 | 10 | 289 | 292 | 6 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1263 | -599 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.008 | -0.025 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.9 | 0 | 11.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 28 | 2 | 223 | 56 | 1 | 267 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 28 | 2 | 223 | 56 | 1 | 267 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 50 | 2 | 5 | 24 | 2 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 31 | 2 | 245 | 62 | 1 | 293 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | - | 424 | 1254 |
| - |  |  |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.078 | 0.001 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | - | 14.2 | 7.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95 Ath \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.3 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1279 | -617 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.012 | -0.064 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | 0 | 11.2 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1 | 3 | 50 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 86 | 26 | 117 | 229 | 1 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 1 | 3 | 50 | 1 | 36 | 0 | 86 | 26 | 117 | 229 | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 1 | 3 | 56 | 1 | 40 | 0 | 96 | 29 | 127 | 249 | 1 |  |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1316 | - | - | 607 | 493 | 1462 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | - | -.007 | 0.196 | 0.087 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | - | - | 11 | 14.1 | 7.7 | 0 |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 73 | 246 | 73 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 56 | 81 | 113 | 172 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 73 | 246 | 73 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 56 | 81 | 113 | 172 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 77 | 259 | 77 | 431 | 408 | 72 | 59 | 403 | 119 | 292 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |

Switch Phase

|  |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 34.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 53.0 |
| Total Split (s) | $27.5 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $27.5 \%$ | $28.3 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ | $55.8 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $31.7 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $44.2 \%$ |
| Total Split (\%) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 2.0 | 2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2. | 2.0 | 2. | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |


| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |


| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |


| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.65 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.48 | 0.88 | 0.66 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay | 34.9 | 34.8 | 1.9 | 19.9 | 15.5 | 3.4 | 52.8 | 48.6 | 62.2 | 31.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.9 | 34.8 | 1.9 | 19.9 | 15.5 | 3.4 | 52.8 | 48.6 | 62.2 | 31.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 42 | 151 | 0 | 173 | 159 | 0 | 40 | 195 | 67 | 163 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 98 | 272 | 10 | 296 | 271 | 23 | 80 | 300 | 101 | 220 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1244 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 548 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 350 | 669 | 647 | 712 | 1105 | 917 | 165 | 557 | 204 | 713 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.39 | 0.12 | 0.61 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.72 | 0.58 | 0.41 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 80
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「' | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 73 | 246 | 73 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 56 | 81 | 302 | 113 | 172 | 105 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 73 | 246 | 73 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 56 | 81 | 302 | 113 | 172 | 105 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1781 | 1841 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 77 | 259 | 0 | 431 | 408 | 0 | 59 | 85 | 318 | 119 | 181 | 111 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 385 | 621 |  | 627 | 1038 |  | 200 | 90 | 337 | 147 | 384 | 235 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.33 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 978 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1510 | 1070 | 345 | 1292 | 1781 | 1085 | 665 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 77 | 259 | 0 | 431 | 408 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 403 | 119 | 0 | 292 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 978 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1510 | 1070 | 0 | 1638 | 1781 | 0 | 1751 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 6.9 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 15.5 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 6.9 | 12.9 | 0.0 | 18.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 21.6 | 0.0 | 29.0 | 3.7 | 0.0 | 15.5 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.79 | 1.00 |  | 0.38 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 385 | 621 |  | 627 | 1038 |  | 200 | 0 | 427 | 147 | 0 | 619 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.20 | 0.42 |  | 0.69 | 0.39 |  | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.81 | 0.00 | 0.47 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 385 | 621 |  | 735 | 1038 |  | 211 | 0 | 444 | 204 | 0 | 693 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 29.0 | 31.1 | 0.0 | 19.3 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 47.9 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 55.3 | 0.0 | 30.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 28.5 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.6 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 1.7 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 7.3 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 14.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 6.4 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 30.2 | 33.1 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 16.3 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 0.0 | 72.0 | 70.9 | 0.0 | 30.6 |


| LnGrp LOS | C | C | C | B | D | A | E | E |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Vol, veh/h | 336 | A | 839 | A | 462 |  | 411 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 32.5 |  | 19.0 |  | 69.0 | 42.3 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  | B |  | E | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 26.7 | 45.4 | 47.9 | 72.1 | 11.1 | 36.8 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 28.5 | 27.5 | 47.5 | 61.5 | 9.5 | 32.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 20.2 | 14.9 | 17.5 | 16.9 | 5.7 | 31.0 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 1.0 | 4.3 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 0.1 | 0.3 |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrl Delay
HCM 6th LOS
D

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 7 | 7 | 237 | 337 | 8 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 7 | 7 | 237 | 337 | 8 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 8 | 8 | 282 | 401 | 10 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1148 | -503 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.007 | -0.031 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.2 | 0 | 12.4 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 62 | 2 | 248 | 9 | 0 | 282 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 62 | 2 | 248 | 9 | 0 | 282 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, $\%$ | 2 | 50 | 6 | 56 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 74 | 2 | 295 | 11 | 0 | 336 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBT | NBRWBLn1 | SBL | SBT |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | - | -445 | 1255 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.171 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | - | -14.8 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | - | - | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | - | - | 0.6 | 0 |


|  |  | Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 13 | 12 | 12 | 241 | 275 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 13 | 12 | 12 | 241 | 275 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control St | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 15 | 14 | 14 | 277 | 316 | 15 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBTEBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1228 | -540 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.011 | -0.053 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8 | 0 | 12 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 146 | 2 | 204 | 34 | 61 | 157 | 0 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 0 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 146 | 2 | 204 | 34 | 61 | 157 | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 92 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 0 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 162 | 2 | 227 | 38 | 66 | 171 | 0 |  |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1406 | - | - | 873 | 650 | 1299 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.002 | - | -0.001 | 0.333 | 0.051 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.6 | 0 | - | 9.1 | 13.3 | 7.9 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | A | B | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | 0 | 1.5 | 0.2 | - | - |

## FUTURE"NO-BUILD" INTERSECTION AnALYSIS

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ | \% | $\hat{1}$ |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 143 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 76 | 173 | 190 | 125 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 143 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 76 | 173 | 190 | 125 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 147 | 442 | 87 | 221 | 210 | 101 | 78 | 623 | 196 | 209 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | $\mathrm{pm}+\mathrm{pt}$ | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 15.0 | 56.0 | 56.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 17.0 | 64.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.2\% | 34.2\% | 34.2\% | 12.5\% | 46.7\% | 46.7\% | 39.2\% | 39.2\% | 14.2\% | 53.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.42 | 0.82 | 0.16 | 0.90 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.26 |
| Control Delay | 38.3 | 53.1 | 2.6 | 63.5 | 23.9 | 4.6 | 29.3 | 63.5 | 78.3 | 15.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 38.3 | 53.1 | 2.6 | 63.5 | 23.9 | 4.6 | 29.3 | 63.5 | 78.3 | 15.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 91 | 319 | 0 | 112 | 105 | 0 | 42 | 407 | 106 | 75 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 155 | \#482 | 17 | \#231 | 163 | 33 | 82 | \#656 | \#255 | 126 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1244 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 548 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 353 | 539 | 554 | 245 | 767 | 687 | 400 | 637 | 209 | 821 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.42 | 0.82 | 0.16 | 0.90 | 0.27 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.98 | 0.94 | 0.25 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 90 (75\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | F |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 143 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 76 | 173 | 432 | 190 | 125 | 78 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 143 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 76 | 173 | 432 | 190 | 125 | 78 |
| Initial Q $(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1930 | 1853 | 1884 | 1884 | 1853 | 1791 | 1856 | 1826 | 1841 | 1707 | 1737 | 1826 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 147 | 442 | 0 | 221 | 210 | 0 | 78 | 178 | 445 | 196 | 129 | 80 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 5 |
| Cap, veh/h | 418 | 548 |  | 274 | 780 |  | 462 | 160 | 400 | 216 | 489 | 303 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.10 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1209 | 1853 | 1596 | 1794 | 1853 | 1518 | 1163 | 462 | 1156 | 1626 | 1003 | 622 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 147 | 442 | 0 | 221 | 210 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 623 | 196 | 0 | 209 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1209 | 1853 | 1596 | 1794 | 1853 | 1518 | 1163 | 0 | 1618 | 1626 | 0 | 1625 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 11.7 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 41.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 11.7 | 26.5 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 41.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 9.1 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.38 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 418 | 548 |  | 274 | 780 |  | 462 | 0 | 560 | 216 | 0 | 792 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.35 | 0.81 |  | 0.81 | 0.27 |  | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.11 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.26 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 418 | 548 |  | 274 | 780 |  | 462 | 0 | 560 | 216 | 0 | 792 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 33.9 | 39.1 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 39.3 | 32.1 | 0.0 | 18.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 2.3 | 12.0 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 73.1 | 37.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 3.6 | 13.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 3.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 36.2 | 51.1 | 0.0 | 47.6 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 27.7 | 0.0 | 112.4 | 69.3 | 0.0 | 18.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D |  | D | C |  | C | A | F | E | A | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 589 | A |  | 431 | A |  | 701 |  |  | 405 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 47.4 |  |  | 35.9 |  |  | 102.9 |  |  | 42.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 41.0 | 64.0 | 56.0 | 17.0 | 47.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 9.5 | 35.5 | 58.5 | 50.5 | 11.5 | 41.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 11.5 | 28.5 | 11.1 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 43.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 4.4 | 0.7 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 62.5 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | E |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | MF |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 9 | 10 | 280 | 284 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 9 | 10 | 280 | 284 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 10 | 11 | 318 | 323 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 667 | 327 | 330 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 327 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 340 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 424 | 714 | 1229 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 731 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 721 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 419 | 714 | 1229 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 419 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 723 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 721 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.7 | 0.3 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1229 | -557 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.009 | -0.031 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8 | 0 | 11.7 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\neq 1$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 31 | 2 | 246 | 62 | 1 | 295 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 31 | 2 | 246 | 62 | 1 | 295 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 50 | 2 | 5 | 24 | 2 | 9 |
| Mvmt Flow | 34 | 2 | 270 | 68 | 1 | 324 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |





|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 81 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 62 | 89 | 125 | 190 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 81 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 62 | 89 | 125 | 190 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 85 | 286 | 85 | 475 | 451 | 79 | 65 | 445 | 132 | 322 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 34.0 | 67.0 | 67.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 53.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 27.5\% | 27.5\% | 27.5\% | 28.3\% | 55.8\% | 55.8\% | 31.7\% | 31.7\% | 12.5\% | 44.2\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.76 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.90 | 0.66 | 0.51 |
| Control Delay | 39.6 | 40.5 | 2.8 | 26.0 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 39.4 | 51.4 | 42.4 | 29.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 39.6 | 40.5 | 2.8 | 26.0 | 18.0 | 3.5 | 39.4 | 51.4 | 42.4 | 29.8 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 52 | 189 | 0 | 220 | 202 | 0 | 41 | 226 | 69 | 172 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 108 | 298 | 17 | 329 | 302 | 24 | 80 | \#380 | 111 | 248 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1244 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 548 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 293 | 584 | 582 | 659 | 1073 | 896 | 280 | 557 | 201 | 713 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.29 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.72 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.80 | 0.66 | 0.45 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 81 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 62 | 89 | 333 | 125 | 190 | 116 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 81 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 62 | 89 | 333 | 125 | 190 | 116 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1945 | 1945 | 1945 | 1945 | 1945 | 1853 | 1841 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 85 | 286 | 0 | 475 | 451 | 0 | 65 | 94 | 351 | 132 | 200 | 122 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 333 | 544 |  | 604 | 1019 |  | 316 | 94 | 350 | 181 | 418 | 255 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.07 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 977 | 1945 | 1648 | 1853 | 1945 | 1570 | 1041 | 346 | 1292 | 1781 | 1088 | 663 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 85 | 286 | 0 | 475 | 451 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 445 | 132 | 0 | 322 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 977 | 1945 | 1648 | 1853 | 1945 | 1570 | 1041 | 0 | 1638 | 1781 | 0 | 1751 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 8.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 16.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 8.2 | 14.9 | 0.0 | 20.9 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 32.5 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 16.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.79 | 1.00 |  | 0.38 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 333 | 544 |  | 604 | 1019 |  | 316 | 0 | 444 | 181 | 0 | 673 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.26 | 0.53 |  | 0.79 | 0.44 |  | 0.21 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.48 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 333 | 544 |  | 677 | 1019 |  | 316 | 0 | 444 | 201 | 0 | 693 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 34.1 | 36.5 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 17.7 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 43.8 | 31.9 | 0.0 | 27.9 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 1.8 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 43.5 | 11.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 2.1 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 9.4 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 18.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 6.8 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 36.0 | 40.1 | 0.0 | 28.2 | 19.1 | 0.0 | 36.7 | 0.0 | 87.3 | 43.3 | 0.0 | 28.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D |  | C | B |  | D | A | F | D | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 371 | A |  | 926 | A |  | 510 |  |  | 454 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 39.2 |  |  | 23.7 |  |  | 80.8 |  |  | 32.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 29.3 | 39.0 | 51.6 | 68.4 | 13.6 | 38.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 28.5 | 27.5 | 47.5 | 61.5 | 9.5 | 32.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 22.9 | 16.9 | 18.6 | 19.2 | 8.2 | 34.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.9 | 4.2 | 1.1 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 41.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | -1 | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 7 | 8 | 8 | 262 | 372 | 9 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 7 | 8 | 8 | 262 | 372 | 9 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 8 | 10 | 10 | 312 | 443 | 11 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 781 | 449 | 454 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 449 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 332 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 363 | 610 | 1107 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 643 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 727 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 359 | 610 | 1107 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 359 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 636 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 727 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 13.1 | 0.2 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1107 | -460 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.009 | -0.039 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.3 | 0 | 13.1 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 1.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 68 | 2 | 274 | 10 | 0 | 311 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 68 | 2 | 274 | 10 | 0 | 311 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 50 | 6 | 56 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 81 | 2 | 326 | 12 | 0 | 370 |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | - | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 14 | 13 | 13 | 266 | 304 | 14 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 14 | 13 | 13 | 266 | 304 | 14 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 87 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 16 | 15 | 15 | 306 | 349 | 16 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 693 | 357 | 365 | 0 | - | 0 |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 357 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 336 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 409 | 687 | 1194 | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 708 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 724 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 403 | 687 | 1194 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 403 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 697 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 724 | - | - | - | - | - |


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.6 | 0.4 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1194 | -503 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.013 | -0.062 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 8.1 | 0 | 12.6 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.2 | - | - |




Future "BuILD" INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\dagger}$ | \% | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 207 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 76 | 205 | 239 | 149 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 207 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 76 | 205 | 239 | 149 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 213 | 442 | 87 | 221 | 210 | 167 | 78 | 656 | 246 | 285 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 15.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 48.0 | 48.0 | 20.0 | 68.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 30.8\% | 30.8\% | 30.8\% | 12.5\% | 43.3\% | 43.3\% | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 16.7\% | 56.7\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.96 | 0.18 | 1.13 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.33 |
| Control Delay | 54.0 | 77.0 | 3.0 | 131.3 | 27.2 | 4.6 | 28.8 | 74.3 | 88.2 | 14.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 54.0 | 77.0 | 3.0 | 131.3 | 27.2 | 4.6 | 28.8 | 74.3 | 88.2 | 14.5 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 150 | 338 | 0 | ~147 | 112 | 0 | 42 | $\sim 487$ | 146 | 98 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#255 | \#543 | 18 | \#309 | 175 | 44 | 81 | \#720 | \#316 | 157 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1249 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 550 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 303 | 461 | 490 | 196 | 681 | 661 | 382 | 642 | 248 | 876 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.96 | 0.18 | 1.13 | 0.31 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 1.02 | 0.99 | 0.33 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 ( $0 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 120
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 207 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 76 | 205 | 432 | 239 | 149 | 127 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 207 | 429 | 84 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 76 | 205 | 432 | 239 | 149 | 127 |
| Initial Q (Qb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1856 | 1781 | 1811 | 1811 | 1781 | 1722 | 1856 | 1826 | 1841 | 1707 | 1737 | 1826 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 213 | 442 | 0 | 221 | 210 | 0 | 78 | 211 | 445 | 246 | 154 | 131 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 | 0.97 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 3 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 11 | 5 |
| Cap, veh/h | 365 | 468 |  | 214 | 690 |  | 444 | 185 | 391 | 256 | 451 | 384 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.12 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1162 | 1781 | 1535 | 1725 | 1781 | 1459 | 1086 | 523 | 1104 | 1626 | 867 | 737 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 213 | 442 | 0 | 221 | 210 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 656 | 246 | 0 | 285 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1162 | 1781 | 1535 | 1725 | 1781 | 1459 | 1086 | 0 | 1627 | 1626 | 0 | 1604 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 19.9 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 12.4 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 19.9 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 42.5 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 12.4 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.68 | 1.00 |  | 0.46 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 365 | 468 |  | 214 | 690 |  | 444 | 0 | 576 | 256 | 0 | 836 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.58 | 0.95 |  | 1.03 | 0.30 |  | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.34 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 365 | 468 |  | 214 | 690 |  | 444 | 0 | 576 | 256 | 0 | 836 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 40.0 | 43.4 | 0.0 | 35.5 | 25.5 | 0.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 | 38.8 | 35.8 | 0.0 | 16.8 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 6.7 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 70.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 81.8 | 44.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 6.1 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 29.1 | 7.1 | 0.0 | 4.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 46.6 | 73.4 | 0.0 | 105.7 | 26.7 | 0.0 | 27.1 | 0.0 | 120.5 | 80.7 | 0.0 | 17.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | E |  | F | C |  | C | A | F | F | A | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 655 | A |  | 431 | A |  | 734 |  |  | 531 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 64.7 |  |  | 67.2 |  |  | 110.6 |  |  | 46.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 15.0 | 37.0 | 68.0 | 52.0 | 20.0 | 48.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 9.5 | 31.5 | 62.5 | 46.5 | 14.5 | 42.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 11.5 | 31.2 | 14.4 | 11.8 | 15.6 | 44.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 75.4 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | E |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 6 | 9 | 10 | 440 | 406 | 6 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 6 | 9 | 10 | 440 | 406 | 6 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 | 88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 7 | 10 | 11 | 500 | 461 | 7 |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 |  | Major1 |  | ajor2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 987 | 465 | 468 | 0 | - | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 465 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 522 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.22 | 4.12 | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.318 | 2.218 | - | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 274 | 597 | 1094 | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 632 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 595 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  |  | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 270 | 597 | 1094 | - | - | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 270 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 623 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 595 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 14.4 |  | 0.2 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBL | NBT EBLn1 |  | SBT | SBR |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1094 | - | 402 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.01 | - | 0.042 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 8.3 | 0 | 14.4 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |







| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{T}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ |  | $\mathbf{4}$ | 个 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 20 | 97 | 129 | 280 | 319 | 28 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 20 | 97 | 129 | 280 | 319 | 28 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 175 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 22 | 105 | 140 | 304 | 347 | 30 |





|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 4 | F | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 156 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 62 | 127 | 195 | 225 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 156 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 62 | 127 | 195 | 225 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 164 | 286 | 85 | 475 | 451 | 158 | 65 | 485 | 205 | 433 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 8 |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( $s$ ) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 23.5 | 15.0 | 23.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 27.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 18.0 | 57.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 30.0\% | 22.5\% | 52.5\% | 52.5\% | 32.5\% | 32.5\% | 15.0\% | 47.5\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Min | C-Min | C-Min | None | C-Min | C-Min | None | None | None | None |
| v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.93 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.94 | 0.83 | 0.58 |
| Control Delay | 53.3 | 43.7 | 2.8 | 49.8 | 22.9 | 3.2 | 37.4 | 61.0 | 55.1 | 27.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 53.3 | 43.7 | 2.8 | 49.8 | 22.9 | 3.2 | 37.4 | 61.0 | 55.1 | 27.7 |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) | 115 | 196 | 0 | 255 | 233 | 0 | 39 | 291 | 102 | 223 |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | \#213 | 290 | 17 | \#414 | 329 | 36 | 80 | \#491 | \#231 | 327 |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 1249 |  |  | 234 |  |  | 550 |  | 408 |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) | 580 |  | 250 | 265 |  | 225 | 255 |  | 300 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 253 | 504 | 518 | 511 | 923 | 820 | 260 | 541 | 246 | 769 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.57 | 0.16 | 0.93 | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.25 | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.56 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length: 120
Actuated Cycle Length: 120
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 90
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 1: Harmony Rd \& SR 44


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  | ${ }^{7}$ | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 156 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 62 | 127 | 333 | 195 | 225 | 186 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 156 | 272 | 81 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 62 | 127 | 333 | 195 | 225 | 186 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1781 | 1841 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 164 | 286 | 0 | 475 | 451 | 0 | 65 | 134 | 351 | 205 | 237 | 196 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 306 | 490 |  | 520 | 911 |  | 275 | 128 | 334 | 232 | 399 | 330 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.42 | 0.42 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 940 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1510 | 940 | 457 | 1198 | 1781 | 947 | 783 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 164 | 286 | 0 | 475 | 451 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 485 | 205 | 0 | 433 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 940 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 1870 | 1510 | 940 | 0 | 1655 | 1781 | 0 | 1729 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 18.7 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 23.2 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 18.7 | 16.0 | 0.0 | 21.5 | 19.6 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 0.0 | 33.5 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 23.2 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 0.45 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 306 | 490 |  | 520 | 911 |  | 275 | 0 | 462 | 232 | 0 | 729 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.54 | 0.58 |  | 0.91 | 0.50 |  | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1.05 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.59 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 306 | 490 |  | 520 | 911 |  | 275 | 0 | 462 | 246 | 0 | 742 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 39.6 | 38.6 | 0.0 | 28.0 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 38.4 | 0.0 | 43.3 | 30.5 | 0.0 | 26.8 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 6.6 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 20.7 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 55.6 | 28.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(50\%),veh/ln | 4.7 | 7.8 | 0.0 | 12.4 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 9.3 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 46.2 | 43.6 | 0.0 | 48.8 | 22.7 | 0.0 | 38.8 | 0.0 | 98.8 | 58.9 | 0.0 | 28.0 |
| LnGrp LOS | D | D |  | D | C |  | D | A | F | E | A | C |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 450 | A |  | 926 | A |  | 550 |  |  | 638 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 44.6 |  |  | 36.1 |  |  | 91.7 |  |  | 38.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | F |  |  | D |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 27.0 | 36.9 | 56.1 | 63.9 | 17.1 | 39.0 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 21.5 | 30.5 | 51.5 | 57.5 | 12.5 | 33.5 |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 23.5 | 20.7 | 25.2 | 21.6 | 11.5 | 35.5 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 4.4 | 1.6 | 13.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |

Intersection Summary

| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay | 50.0 |
| :--- | ---: |
| HCM 6th LOS | D |

## Notes

Unsignalized Delay for [EBR, WBR] is excluded from calculations of the approach delay and intersection delay.

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |



| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | Mr |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 68 | 2 | 462 | 10 | 0 | 487 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 68 | 2 | 462 | 10 | 0 | 487 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 | 84 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 50 | 6 | 56 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 81 | 2 | 550 | 12 | 0 | 580 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1136 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 562 | 0 |
| Stage 1 | 556 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 580 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.42 | 6.7 | - | - | 4.12 | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.42 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.518 | 3.75 | - | - | 2.218 | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 223 | 449 | - | - | 1009 | - |
| Stage 1 | 574 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 560 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 223 | 449 | - | - | 1009 | - |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 223 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 1 | 574 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Stage 2 | 560 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 29.9 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1 |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 226 | 1009 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.369 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 29.9 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | D | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 1.6 | 0 | - |






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | F | * | 4 | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 52 | 165 | 179 | 286 | 315 | 62 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 52 | 165 | 179 | 286 | 315 | 62 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control St | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 175 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 57 | 179 | 195 | 311 | 342 | 67 |





Traffic Volume Worksheets

# 21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road 

A\&R Engineering Traffic Volumes

## 1.Harmony Rd @ SR 44

A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Old Phoenix Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 63 | 144 | 359 | 566 | 158 | 104 | 65 | 327 | 119 | 357 | 70 | 546 | 178 | 170 | 82 | 430 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 69 | 157 | 391 | 617 | 172 | 113 | 71 | 356 | 130 | 389 | 76 | 595 | 194 | 185 | 89 | 468 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 76 | 173 | 432 | 681 | 190 | 125 | 78 | 393 | 143 | 429 | 84 | 656 | 214 | 204 | 98 | 516 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 32 | 0 | 32 | 49 | 24 | 49 | 122 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 64 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 76 | 205 | 432 | 713 | 239 | 149 | 127 | 515 | 207 | 429 | 84 | 720 | 214 | 204 | 162 | 580 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Old Phoenix Road <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | SR 44 (Greensboro Road) <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 55 | 80 | 299 | 434 | 112 | 170 | 104 | 386 | 72 | 244 | 72 | 388 | 405 | 384 | 67 | 856 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 56 | 81 | 302 | 439 | 113 | 172 | 105 | 390 | 73 | 246 | 73 | 392 | 409 | 388 | 68 | 865 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 62 | 89 | 333 | 484 | 125 | 190 | 116 | 431 | 81 | 272 | 81 | 434 | 451 | 428 | 75 | 954 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 38 | 0 | 38 | 70 | 35 | 70 | 175 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 75 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 62 | 127 | 333 | 522 | 195 | 225 | 186 | 606 | 156 | 272 | 81 | 509 | 451 | 428 | 150 | 1029 |

## 21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road

A\&R Engineering
Traffic Volumes
2. Harmony Rd @ Village Ln
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 8 | 233 | 0 | 241 | 0 | 236 | 5 | 241 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 9 | 254 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 257 | 5 | 262 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 10 | 280 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 284 | 6 | 290 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 160 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 10 | 440 | 0 | 450 | 0 | 406 | 6 | 412 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Village Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 7 | 235 | 0 | 242 | 0 | 334 | 8 | 342 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 7 | 237 | 0 | 244 | 0 | 337 | 8 | 345 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 8 | 262 | 0 | 270 | 0 | 372 | 9 | 381 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 188 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 8 | 450 | 0 | 458 | 0 | 548 | 9 | 557 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road
A\&R Engineering Traffic Volumes
3. Harmony Rd @ Sammons I Pkwy
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Sammons Industrial Parkway (South) <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 205 | 51 | 256 | 1 | 245 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 2 | 28 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 223 | 56 | 279 | 1 | 267 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 2 | 30 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 246 | 62 | 308 | 1 | 295 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 33 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 160 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 0 | 406 | 62 | 468 | 1 | 417 | 0 | 418 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 2 | 33 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Sammons Industrial Parkway (South) Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 246 | 9 | 255 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 2 | 63 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 248 | 9 | 257 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 2 | 64 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 274 | 10 | 284 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 2 | 70 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 188 | 0 | 188 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 0 | 462 | 10 | 472 | 0 | 487 | 0 | 487 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 2 | 70 |

21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road
A\&R Engineering
Traffic Volumes
August 2021
4. Harmony Rd @ Harmony Ln
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 13 | 194 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 223 | 14 | 237 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 14 | 211 | 0 | 225 | 0 | 243 | 15 | 258 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 15 | 233 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 268 | 17 | 285 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 41 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 15 | 274 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 321 | 17 | 338 | 18 | 0 | 22 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Harmony Lane <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 12 | 239 | 0 | 251 | 0 | 272 | 13 | 285 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 12 | 241 | 0 | 253 | 0 | 275 | 13 | 288 | 13 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 13 | 266 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 304 | 14 | 318 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 59 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 13 | 325 | 0 | 338 | 0 | 367 | 14 | 381 | 14 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road
A\&R Engineering
Traffic Volumes
August 2021
5. Harmony Rd @ Scott Rd
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Private Drwy <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 79 | 24 | 103 | 107 | 210 | 1 | 318 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 46 | 1 | 33 | 80 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 86 | 26 | 112 | 117 | 229 | 1 | 347 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 50 | 1 | 36 | 87 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 95 | 29 | 124 | 129 | 253 | 1 | 383 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 55 | 1 | 40 | 96 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 32 | 8 | 40 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 0 | 127 | 37 | 164 | 129 | 296 | 1 | 426 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 66 | 1 | 40 | 107 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Private Drwy <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Scott Rd <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 2 | 202 | 34 | 238 | 60 | 155 | 0 | 215 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 145 | 194 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 2 | 204 | 34 | 240 | 61 | 157 | 0 | 218 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 49 | 0 | 146 | 195 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 2 | 225 | 38 | 265 | 67 | 173 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 54 | 0 | 161 | 215 |
| Total New Trips: | 0 | 47 | 12 | 59 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 2 | 272 | 50 | 324 | 67 | 223 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 0 | 161 | 228 |

21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road
A\&R Engineering
Traffic Volumes
August 2021
6. Harmony Rd @ Site Drwy 1(S)
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Site Driveway 1 (Southern) Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 207 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 226 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 249 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 128 | 32 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 24 | 27 | 51 | 20 | 0 | 97 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 129 | 280 | 0 | 409 | 0 | 319 | 28 | 347 | 20 | 0 | 97 | 117 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Site Driveway 1 (Southern) Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 250 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 276 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 151 | 38 | 0 | 189 | 0 | 35 | 31 | 66 | 29 | 0 | 140 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 28 | -28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -31 | 31 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 25 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 179 | 286 | 0 | 465 | 0 | 315 | 62 | 377 | 52 | 0 | 165 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

21-082 - Helms Farm Campus - Harmony Road
Traffic Volumes

A\&R Engineering
August 2021
7. Harmony Rd @ Site Drwy 2(N)
A.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Site Driveway 2(Northern) <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Private Driveway <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 207 | 0 | 207 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 226 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 268 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 249 | 0 | 249 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 32 | 20 | 0 | 52 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 54 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 33 | 268 | 0 | 301 | 0 | 322 | 28 | 350 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

P.M. Peak Hour

| Condition | Harmony Road <br> Northbound |  |  |  | Harmony Road Southbound |  |  |  | Site Driveway 2(Northern) <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | Private Driveway <br> Westbound |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot | L | T | R | Tot |
| Existing 2021 Counts during Covid-19: | 0 | 248 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Adjusted Existing 2021 Volumes: | 0 | 250 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Growth Factor (\%): | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |
| No-Build 2025 Volumes: | 0 | 276 | 0 | 276 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total New Trips: | 38 | 29 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 31 | 31 | 62 | 29 | 0 | 35 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pass-by's Trips: | 18 | -18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -21 | 21 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future 2025 Traffic Volumes: | 56 | 287 | 0 | 343 | 0 | 321 | 52 | 373 | 44 | 0 | 52 | 96 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

M

July 23, 2021

Ms. Lisa Jackson
Deputy County Manager
117 Putnam Drive
Eatonton, GA 31024

## Re: DRI \#3377, Lake Oconee Helms College

Dear Ms. Jackson:

The Middle Georgia Regional Commission (MGRC) has completed its review of the Development of Regional Impact (DRI) for the Lake Oconee Helms College Development in Putnam County. MGRC conducted a careful review of the information submitted by the local government and comments received from potentially affected agencies. Two positive comments were received from the Putnam County Board of Commissioners and from the City of Eatonton. These comments are provided below:

- Putnam County:

The BOC feels the project will generate sufficient revenue to offset any additional requirements for services that the project may require. The project will provide the potential for both county employment opportunities and additional sales tax revenue.

- City of Eatonton:

This project will have significant positive impact on our community in several different ways. The increase in property tax revenue along with the educational opportunities as well as the housing and retail components are all welcomed additions to our community and region.

MGRC also reviewed the proposed project's potential regional and interjurisdictional impact and consistency with the Department of Community Affairs Quality Community Objectives, Middle Georgia Regional Plan, and Middle Georgia Regionally Important Resources Plan. After reviewing the information, MGRC staff notes that the proposed development site lies within an area of projected rapid growth as identified in the 2016 Regional Plan. It is recommended that local governments "take action early to ensure that growth occurs in a manner which makes it possible to provide necessary public services," (2016-2036 Plan for a Thriving Middle Georgia, pg. 17-18).

Ms. Lisa Jackson
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Page Two

This project will also help the region take advantage of the identified opportunity for "coordination with technical colleges and universities ... to provide job skills training to low-skill employees," (2016-2036 Plan for a Thriving Middle Georgia, pg. 45).

Please be advised that this concludes the DRI Review Process and Putnam County may proceed with the final official action it deems appropriate regarding the proposed project. It is encouraged that Putnam County takes the materials presented in the DRI report into consideration when rendering its decision. The enclosed information is advisory in nature and under no circumstances should be considered as binding or infringing upon the host jurisdiction's right to determine for itself the appropriateness of development within its boundaries.

Sincerely,


Greg Boike
Director of Public Administration

## Enclosure

cc: Affected Local Governments and Other Interested Parties (via email) Georgia Department of Community Affairs (via email)

## Comments from Affected Parties

## Project ID: DRI \#3377 - Lake Oconee Helms College (Putnam County) PUTNAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

Commenting Organization:


Please describe the effects (positive or negative) that the proposed project could have on your jurisdiction: The BOC feels the project will generate sufficient revenue to offset any additional requirements for services that the project may require, The project will provide the potential for both county employment opportunities and additional sales tax revenue.

## Billy Webster

Form Completed by: $\qquad$
signature: Bimestebsten

Chairman
Title:
July 19, 2021
Date: $\qquad$

Mail, Fax, or Email this form to: Greg Boike
Middle Georgia Regional Commission
175 Emery Highway, Suite C
Macon, GA 31217
P: 478-751-6160
F: 478-751-6517
E: gboike@mg-rc.org

Comments on DRI \#3377 will be accepted beginning on Wednesday, July 7, 2021.
All tomments are due by Thursday, July 22, 2021.

[^3]Project ID: DRI \#3377 - Lake Oconee Helms College (Putnam County)

Commenting Organization:
 Street Address: 201 North Jefferson Ave.

City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$ Zip Code: $\qquad$ Contact Person: Gary SAnders_ Phone:(706) $485-3311$ Email: gsanderse eatantangaivs Do you believe your jurisdiction will be affected by the proposed development?
YES

NO $\square$

Please describe the effects (positive or negative) that the proposed project could have on your jurisdiction:
This project will have sinificant positive! impact on our community in several different wAys, The increase in property tax revenue along with the educational opportunities as well as the housing and retail comporents are all welcomed additions to our community and region.
Form Completed by:


Title:
MAyor
Signature:


Date:


Mail, Fax, or Email this form to: Greg Boise
Middle Georgia Regional Commission
175 Emery Highway, Suite C
Macon, GA 31217
P: 478-751-6160
F: 478-751-6517
E: gboike@mg-rc.org
Comments on DRI \#3377 will be accepted beginning on Wednesday, July 7, 2021.
All comments are due by Thursday, July 22, 2021.
This request for comments has been sent to the following potentially affected parties: MGRC Council; City/county chief elected officials and key staff in the following counties: Putnam, Baldwin, Greene, Hancock, Jasper, Jones, and Morgan; School superintendents in the preceding counties; Development authorities of the preceding counties; GA Department of Natural Resources; GA Department of Transportation; Georgia Environmental Finance Authority; GA Department of Public Health; U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service; Northeast Georgia Regional Commission, and Central Savannah River Area Regional Commission.



## File Attachments for Item:

14. Consent Agenda
a. Approval of Minutes - August 6, 2021 Regular M eeting (staff-CC)
b. Approval of Minutes - August 6, 2021 Executive Session (staff-CC)
c. Approval of Minutes - August 6, 2021 Budget Work Session (staff-CC)

# PUTNAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS 

117 Putnam Drive, Suite A $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024

## Minutes

Friday, August 6, $2021 \diamond 9: 00$ AM
Putnam County Administration Building - Room 203
The Putnam County Board of Commissioners met on Friday, August 6, 2021 at approximately 9:00 AM in the Putnam County Administration Building, 117 Putnam Drive, Room 203, Eatonton, Georgia.

PRESENT
Chairman Billy Webster
Commissioner Gary McElhenney
Commissioner Daniel Brown
Commissioner Bill Sharp
Commissioner Jeff Wooten
STAFF PRESENT
County Attorney Simon Williams
County Manager Paul Van Haute
County Clerk Lynn Butterworth

## Opening

1. Welcome - Call to Order

Chairman Webster called the meeting to order at approximately 9:00 a.m.
(Copy of agenda made a part of the minutes on minute book page $\qquad$ .)
2. Approval of Agenda

Motion to approve the Agenda.
Motion made by Commissioner Sharp, Seconded by Commissioner Wooten.
Voting Yea: Commissioner McElhenney, Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Sharp, Commissioner Wooten
3. Invocation - Mrs. Judy Fain

Mrs. Judy Fain gave the invocation.
4. Pledge of Allegiance (JW)

Commissioner Wooten led the Pledge of Allegiance.

| Draft Minutes | Page 1 of 4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| August 6,2021 |  |  |

5. Special Presentation - Eatonton-Putnam Chamber of Commerce Bi-Annual Report Eatonton-Putnam Chamber of Commerce President Maggie Milner gave a report on the year-todate activities of the Chamber. No action was taken.
(Copy of report made a part of the minutes on minute book pages $\qquad$ to $\qquad$ .)

## Regular Business Meeting

6. Public Comments

Mr. Steve Sammons commented on Sammons Parkway and the new convenience center that is being built there. He submitted photos of the area.
(Copy of photos made a part of the minutes on minute book pages $\qquad$ to
$\qquad$ .)
7. Consent Agenda
a. Approval of Minutes - July 2, 2021 Regular Meeting (staff-CC)
b. Approval of Minutes - July 12, 2021 Budget Work Session (staff-CC)
c. Approval of Minutes - July 13, 2021 Budget Work Session (staff-CC)
d. Approval of Minutes - July 20, 2021 Listening Session (staff-CC)
e. Approval of Minutes - July 20, 2021 Executive Session (staff-CC)
f. Authorization for Chairman to sign ACCG Group Self-Insurance Workers' Compensation Fund (GSIWCF) Safety Discount Verification Form (staff-HR) g. Authorization for Chairman to sign ACCG Interlocal Risk Management Agency (IRMA) Safety Discount Verification Form (staff-HR)
Motion to approve the Consent Agenda.
Motion made by Commissioner McElhenney, Seconded by Commissioner Sharp.
Voting Yea: Commissioner McElhenney, Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Sharp, Commissioner Wooten
(Copy of documents made a part of the minutes on minute book pages $\qquad$ to
$\qquad$ .)
8. Appointment to the Central Georgia Joint Development Authority (staff-CC) Commissioner Brown nominated Bill Sharp to continue serving on the Central Georgia Joint Development Authority.
Nomination made by Commissioner Brown, Seconded by Commissioner Wooten. Voting Yea: Commissioner McElhenney, Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Wooten Voting Abstaining: Commissioner Sharp
9. Appointment of the Chairman of the Planning and Zoning Commission (staff-CC)

Chairman Webster nominated Alan Foster to serve as Chairman of the Planning \& Zoning Commission.
Nomination made by Chairman Webster, Seconded by Commissioner Brown.
Voting Yea: Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Sharp, Commissioner Wooten Voting Nay: Commissioner McElhenney

## Reports/Announcements

10. County Manager Report

No report.
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11. County Attorney Report

No report, but an Executive Session is needed for litigation purposes.
12. Commissioner Announcements

Commissioner McElhenney: congratulated Commissioner Wooten for completing the commissioner training program

Commissioner Brown: congratulated Vincent Hancock on winning another gold medal in the Olympics

Commissioner Sharp: thanked the Fire Department, EMS and Sheriff's Office for participating in Sebastian Cove's National Night Out on August 3, 2021

Commissioner Wooten: none
Chairman Webster: announced that the Twin Bridges memorial ceremony for Mr. Wayne Moore has been postponed from August 7, 2021 to August 28, 2021

## Executive Session

13. Enter Executive Session as allowed by O.C.G.A. 50-14-4 for Personnel, Litigation, or Real Estate
Motion to enter Executive Session as allowed by O.C.G.A. 50-14-4 for Litigation. Motion made by Commissioner Sharp, Seconded by Commissioner Brown. Voting Yea: Commissioner McElhenney, Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Sharp, Commissioner Wooten

Meeting closed at approximately 9:36 a.m.
14. Reopen meeting and execute Affidavit concerning the subject matter of the closed portion of the meeting
Motion to reopen the meeting and execute the Affidavit concerning the subject matter of the closed portion of the meeting.
Motion made by Commissioner McElhenney, Seconded by Commissioner Wooten.
Voting Yea: Commissioner McElhenney, Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Sharp, Commissioner Wooten

Meeting reopened at approximately 9:45 a.m.
15. Action, if any, resulting from the Executive Session

No action was taken.
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#### Abstract

Closing 16. Adjournment

Motion to adjourn the meeting. Motion made by Commissioner Wooten, Seconded by Commissioner Sharp. Voting Yea: Commissioner McElhenney, Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Sharp, Commissioner Wooten


Meeting adjourned at approximately 9:46 a.m.

## ATTEST:

Lynn Butterworth
Billy Webster
County Clerk
Chairman

## PUTNAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS



Office of the County Clerk
117 Putnam Drive, Suite A $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024
706-485-5826 (main office) $\diamond 706-485-1877$ (direct line) $\diamond$ 706-923-2345 (fax)
lbutterworth@putnamcountyga.us $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us

The draft minutes of the August 6, 2021 Executive Session are available for Commissioner review in the Clerk's office.

# PUTNAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS 



117 Putnam Drive, Suite A $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024<br>Budget Work Session<br>Minutes<br>Friday, August 6, $2021 \diamond 9: 00$ AM*<br>*To follow after regular board meeting<br>which starts at 9:00 AM<br>Putnam County Administration Building - Room 203

The Putnam County Board of Commissioners met for a Budget Work Session on Friday, August 6, 2021 at approximately 9:47 AM in the Putnam County Administration Building, 117 Putnam Drive, Room 203, Eatonton, Georgia.

## PRESENT

Chairman Billy Webster
Commissioner Gary McElhenney
Commissioner Daniel Brown
Commissioner Bill Sharp
Commissioner Jeff Wooten

STAFF PRESENT
County Manager Paul Van Haute
County Clerk Lynn Butterworth
Finance Director Linda Cook

## Opening

1. Call to Order

Chairman Webster called the Budget Work Session to order at approximately 9:47 a.m.
(Copy of agenda made a part of the minutes on minute book page $\qquad$ .)

## Work Session

2. Budget Discussions

Two agencies requested additional funding for the FY22 budget. Comments were made by Bob Betzel, Alan Horton, Anita Morris (handout) and Pam Douglas for Putnam General Hospital and Walt Rocker III for Putnam Development Authority

Work Session was recessed at approximately 9:56 a.m. for the Board of Elections ribbon cutting. (After Mr. Horton spoke)
Work Session reconvened at approximately 10:11 a.m.
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County Manager Van Haute made a presentation regarding Putnam General Hospital. (Copy of handout and presentation made a part of the minutes on minute book pages
$\qquad$ to $\qquad$ .)

## Closing

3. Adjournment

Chairman Webster announced the next budget related meeting is the Public Hearing on August 17, 2021 and the budget will be adopted on August 27, 2021.

Motion to adjourn the meeting.
Motion made by Commissioner Sharp, Seconded by Commissioner Wooten.
Voting Yea: Commissioner McElhenney, Commissioner Brown, Commissioner Sharp, Commissioner Wooten

Meeting adjourned at approximately 11:13 a.m.

## ATTEST:

Lynn Butterworth
County Clerk

Billy Webster
Chairman

## File Attachments for Item:

15. Request for Final Plat Subdivision Approval for Eagles Rest at Cuscowilla Cottages (staff-P\&D)

## PUTNAM COUNTY PLANNING \& DEVELOPMENT

117 Putnam Drive, Suite B $\diamond$ Eatonton, GA 31024
‘Tel: 706-485-2776 $\diamond$ 706-485-0552 fax $\diamond$ www.putnamcountyga.us

## REOUEST FOR FINAL PLAT SUBDIVISION APPROVAL

THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY REQUESTS AN INSPECTION OF SUBDIVISION FOR FINAL PLAT APPROVAL.
APPLICANT: Steve Eiberger - Hardeman Communities
ADDRESS: $\frac{1000 \text { Dawson Village Road }}{\text { suite } 220 \quad \text { Dawsonville, Ga } 30534}$
PHONE: 770-616-7649

PROPERTY OWNER IS DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE:
ADDRESS: $\qquad$

PHONE: $\qquad$
PROPERTY:
SUBDIVISION NAME: Eagles Rest at Cuscowilla Cottages
LOCATION: Wingspan Way
MAP ${ }^{+2}$ 103B PARCEL NUMBER OF ACRES 0.66 PHASE $\qquad$ 043047 -003 thru 006 and 043048 -018t hru 019

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION ATTACHED TO APPLICATION:


*APPLICANT HEREBY AFFIRMS THAT APPLICANT IS THE PROPERTY OWNER OR HAS THE LEGAL AUTHORITY TO SIGN THIS FORM ON OWNER'S BEHALF AND APPLICANT AGREES TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD PUTNAM COUNTY HARMLESS IN THE EVENT IT IS DETERMINED APPLYCANT DOES NOT HAVE SUCH LEGAL AUTHORITY.
*SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT:


DATE:


FOR OFFICE USE
DATE FILED: $\qquad$ FILING FEE: $\$ 330.00$ CHECK NO. $\qquad$ CASH: $\qquad$ CREDIT CARD


RECEIPT\# $\qquad$ BOC MEETING $\qquad$ DATE SIGNED: $\qquad$



## SUBJECT PROPERTY INFORMATION:

CURKENI OWNER HARDEMAN COMMUNITIES, INC.
DEED RECORD: D.... 1029. p. 270; D.B. 1029, p. 290: D.B. 1024, p. 513 PLAT RECORD: OB. 1024, p. 517
TAX RECORD: TAX PARCELS $103 B 043047 \& 103 B 043048$

THIS PLAT CLOSURE ACCURACY IS 1 FOOT IN $294,000,000 \mathrm{FT}$.
FIELD DATA WAS eLECTED USING A LEILA TS IL ROBOTIC TOTAL STATION AND A JAVAD IHIUMPH-1) UUAL-HELQUENCY RIG GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM RECEIVER
REFERENCING TH I GPS STATEWIDE NETWORK AND HAVING A RELATE POSITIONAL accuracy of less than 0.04 feet.
int HeLl survey was Compile IED in APril 2020
THE PROPERTY SHOWN HEREON IS NOT LOCATED WITHIN A FLOODPLAIN AS
DETERMINED FROM THE FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY MAP PANELS
$1323 / \mathrm{COO} / \mathrm{SC}$ ANU $1323 / \mathrm{C}$ OIUUL. FUR PUTNAM COUNTY, GEORGIA DAILO
9/76/7nor
EASEMENTS OR RI, HTS.OF.WAY MAY EXIST WHICH ARE NOT SHOWN HEREON AN MAY BE RECORDED OR UNRECORDED
horizontal stall plant, wist zinc, nadz3 (2011). vErtical datum: navobs.

## SITE DATA:

ZONING

| ZON NG JuFsorction |
| :--- |
| CON No USIRRILI |
| SETBACK SUMMARY |

${ }^{65}$ Se stack fro Lax
${ }_{20}^{20}$ fronts str back

$\%$ ".
DEvELOPMENT SUMMARY
 MAX. BUILDING HEIGHT MiN Heated flooffare OPEN SPACE SUMMARY
 ROAD SUMMARY WINGSPÁN wAy - ' 22' WIDE -40' RIGHT OF WAY (PRRMATE) UTHLTY PROVIDERS POWER: TAI COUNTY ElMA
WATER: PIEDMONT WATER SEWER: PIEDMONT WATER COMPANY
EXISTING UTILTIES INCLUDE LOW PRESSURE SEWER AND WATER PROVIDED BY PIEDMONT WATER COMPANY: POWER AND STREET LIGHTS PROVIDED BY TAI COUNTYEMC.



Know whats below. Call before you dig.
putnam count r
KM-3 MuLlli-AMIY RESSIENIAL

$\underset{\text { 2.16 ACRSS TOTAL } 293 \%}{2.57}$

| 2. 16 ACRES ROTA |
| :--- |
| $8 U N I I S A C H E$ |


35\%
3TORERES
1,000 SF

35* (2.58 ACRES)
bo\% (4.50 ACRES)

 | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Owner / Developer: } \\ \text { Hardeman Communities, Inc. } \\ \text { Hand } \\ \text { Loo Olid Dawson Village Road, Ste } 220 \\ \text { Dawsonville, Georgia 30534 } \\ \text { i770) 871-1275 }\end{array}$ |
| :--- |




## OWNER'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND DECLARATION:

state of georgia, putnam contr
The owner of the land shown on th s plat and whose name is subscribed thereto in

 STATIONS, DRAMS, EASEMENTS, AND OTHER PUBLIC FACLITIIES AND APPURTENANCES THEREON
SHUN.

printed name of owner

$$
-\overline{\text { DATE }}
$$

## FINAL PLAT APPROVAL:

The director of the planning and development department or designee certifies That This plat complies with til put ram county olvelopment regulations.
paten this $\qquad$ nay of $\qquad$ '___ $\qquad$ . 2020.
$\overline{\text { DIRECTOR. PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT }}$

INFRASTRUCTURE CERTIFICATIONS:
ruble works.
1 Hereby crrify that the road is) Meet the requirements of the putnam county DEVEIOPMEN REGULATIONS

PUBIC WORKS DIRECTOR DATE
private water and sewer provider
I HERES CERTIFY THAT THE WATER SYSTEM MEETS THE REQUREMENTS OF PIEDMONT WATER
COMP NY AN THE GeORGIA DEPARTMENT OE NATURAL RESOURCES EDO, FOR GRAVITY SEWER SYSTEMS.

SANITARIAN - PIEDMONT WATER COMPANY DATE

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS:
the putnam County giant of commissioners hereby accepts this final plat.
DATED THIS $\qquad$ dAY OF $\qquad$ .2020.

CHAIRMAN. BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS AND

COUNTY CLERK


## FINAL SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE:

IT 15 hereby certified that this plat I true and correct as to the property lines and ALL IMPROVEMENTS SHOWN THEREON, AND WAS PREPARED FROM AN ACTUAL SURVEY OF THE
PROPERTY MAUL BY ME OF UNDER MY SUPERVIIUN IHAI ALL MONUMENTS SHOWN HEREON
 TS 12 ROBOTIC TOTAL STATION AND A JAVAD TRIUMPH IS DUAL FREQUENCY RIK GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM KELEVVEH REFERENCING THE EGGS STATEWIDE NETWORK AND GAVIN RELATIVE PUSHIUNAL ACCURACY OF LESS I HAN U.VGAEEI, HIS PLAT HAS BEEN CALLULAI CONTAMS A TOTAL OF $14 A$ ACRES
ROBE AT O DORCAN RIS 2902
DATE OF EXPIRATION $12 \cdot 31$

[^4]

## BILLING CONTACT

David Taylor
Hardeman Communities
1000 Old Dawson Village Road, 220
Dawsonville, GA 30534

| REFERENCE NUMBER | FEE NAME | TRANSACTION <br> TYPE | PAYMENT <br> METHOD | AMOUNT PAID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PLAN2021-01386 | Final Plat/lnspection fee | Fee Payment | Credit Card |  |
|  |  | SUB TOTAL | $\$ 330.00$ |  |

## File Attachments for Item:

16. Petition to waive final six-month time interval regarding the Application for Rezoning from Danny Copelan at 931 Pea Ridge Road [M ap 092, Parcel 017001001] (DB)

Good morning. I hope all is well. I would like to add the following to the August $17^{\text {th }}$, Board of Commissioner's Meeting:

Petition to waive final six-month time interval regarding the following re-Application for Rezoning:

| Applicant/ Owner: | W. Davie ("Danny") Copelan |
| :--- | :---: |
| Location: | 931 Pea Ridge Road, Eatonton, GA 31024 |
| Description: | Rezoning from A-2 to C-1 |
| Tax Parcel: | Tax Parcel No.: 092017001001 |
| Total Acreage: | Approximately 5.00 acres |

Currently, Sec. 66-161. - Application for a zoning change, Putnam County Code of Ordinances, provides:
(a)Authority to initiate amendments. Applications to amend this chapter may be in the form of proposals to amend the text, or proposals to amend part or all of the official zoning maps (a rezoning) or by actions initiated by the board of commissioners. An application for an amendment to the official zoning map, affecting the same property, shall not be submitted more than once every 12 months. Such interval begins with the date of the final decision by the board of commissioners. The board of commissioners, in its discretion and by unanimous vote, may reduce or waive the final six-month time interval to amend the official zoning map affecting the same property. However, an application to alter conditions of rezoning as contemplated in subsection 66-166(b) of this division may be submitted at any time. Applications shall be the same as for a rezoning and shall comply with the requirements of this section, excluding subsections (b) and (c) hereof.

I would like to address the Board, on behalf of Mr. Copelan, to request that they waive the final six month time interval set forth in Sec. 66-161, so that we can re-apply for rezoning.

To be clear, this is NOT a vote to approve or deny the rezoning. This is simply a vote to consider allowing Mr. Copelan to re-apply for rezoning without the necessity of waiting until January of 2022. This will allow him to move on with his plans for the property, one way or another.

I can prepare a more formal letter before the meeting, but I just wanted to go ahead and get this over to you. Let me know if you need anything else. Thank you.

## Best,

Russell
Russell W. Wall
Law Office of Russell W. Wall, LLC
122 North Main Street, Suite B
Greensboro, Georgia 30642
(706) 453-0089 phone
(706) 453-0094 fax

## RECEIVED

By Lynn Butterworth at 12:36 pm, Aug 17, 2021


# LAW OFFICE OF RUSSELL W. WALL, LLC 

Russell W. Wall<br>J. Leighton Channell<br>Ansley R. Lee

122 North Main Street

Ans R. Lee

August 17 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2021$

## Sent via Email

Mr. Billy Webster
Chairman, Putnam Co. Board of Commissioners
Billy webster@windstream.net
Mr. Daniel Brown
District 2 Commissioner, Putnam Co. Board of Commissioners
dwbrown@reagan.com
Mr. Gary McElhenney
District 1 Commissioner, Putnam Co. Board of Commissioners gmcelhenney@putnamcountyga.us

Mr. Bill Sharp
District 3 Commissioner, Putnam Co. Board of Commissioners bsharp@putnamcountyga.us

Mr. Jeff Wooten
District 4 Commissioner, Putnam Co. Board of Commissioners
jwooten@putnamcountyga.us

RE: Applicant/ Owner:<br>Location:<br>Description:<br>Tax Parcel:<br>Total Acreage:

W. Davie ("Danny") Copelan
931 Pea Ridge Road, Eatonton, GA 31024
Rezoning from A-2 to C-1
Tax Parcel No.: 092017001001
Approximately 5.00 acres

Dear Commissioners:
As you know, I am petitioning you to waive final six-month time interval regarding the above-referenced Application for Rezoning for Mr. Danny Copelan

Currently, Sec. 66-161. - Application for a zoning change, Putnam County Code of Ordinances, Subsection (a) provides:

An application for an amendment to the official zoning map, affecting the same property, shall not be submitted more than once every $\mathbf{1 2}$ months. Such interval begins with the date of the final decision by the board of commissioners. The board of commissioners, in its discretion and by unanimous vote, may reduce or waive the final six-month time interval to amend the official zoning map affecting the same property.

If you will recall, we previously appeared before you at the January $19^{\text {th }}$, 2021, Board of Commissioners' Meeting, where our original Application was denied by a vote of 3 to 1 . Accordingly, unless the Board agrees to officially waive the final six-month time interval, we will be required to wait until January of 2022 to file our new Application, which means that we would likely appear before you at some point in the spring of 2022.

Among our reasons for the request:
(1) Agreeing to the requested waiver is NOT an agreement that you will approve our new Application for Rezoning. We understand that. You would still have the opportunity to vote at a later date to approve or deny said Application. That decision will be left for another day. Accordingly, you are not losing anything by agreeing to the waiver.
(2) Agreeing to the requested waiver would expedite a degree of "closure" over this matter for the County, Mr. Copelan, and any other concerned parties. In other words, the new Application will be filed either way, sooner or later. If the new Application is denied a second time, then Mr. Copelan will have the peace of mind knowing that he did everything he could, and, at that point, he could reassess his vision for the subject property. I would pose the question: Why not "get on with it"?

We listened attentively to the concerns of the Board at our last meeting, and we are eager to address them in our new Application. While, admittedly, emotions ran high (as they often do with situations like this, especially when the family farm is at stake), we respected the previous decision of the Board and took our lumps without any mudslinging, threat of lawsuit, etc. As you know, that's not always the case.

We are simply asking that the Board extend this small courtesy to a lifelong dairy-farming, tax-paying son of Putnam County. Thank you for your consideration.
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17. Approval of Changes to the Personnel Policy (staff-HR \& CM )


## PUTNAM COUNTY

PERSONNEL MANUAL

## Employee Handbook

Officials of Putnam County, Georgia
At the time this policy was last amended:
Billy Webster, Chairman
Kelvin Irvin, Gary McElhenney, District One Commissioner
Daniel Brown, District Two Commissioner
B. W. "Bill" Sharp, District Three Commissioner

Vacant, Jeff Wooten, District Four Commissioner
ADMINISTRATION
Paul Van Haute, County Manager
Lynn Butterworth, County Clerk
Linda A. Cook, Finance Director
Cynthia Miller, Human Resources Director Fleming \& Nelson, LLP, General Counsel

Adopted August 19, 2003
Amended December 5, 2003; June 3, 2005; November 20, 2007;
December 2, 2011; May 1, 2015; July 5, 2019, October 2, 2020
Proposed Changes August 17, 2021
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## I. FOREWORD

## A. INTRODUCTION

The following policies have been developed and implemented to provide all employees with a clear and precise declaration of the policies and procedures relating to employees' employment, benefits, rights and actions. Any authority or responsibility not officially delegated to others is retained by the Putnam County Board of Commissioners.
This manual may be modified or changed by the Putnam County Board of Commissioners, through a resolution approved by the Board of Commissioners in a duly convened meeting. Employees will be notified of changes to this manual and required to sign an Acknowledgment of Receipt of the handbook.
The following policies shall be known collectively as the "Putnam County Personnel Manual" and is established to provide a fair, equitable, and productive work environment for all Putnam County employees. These policies recognize that the employees covered by the system should be selected and promoted according to their knowledge, skills and abilities, and regardless of factors extraneous to their performance and conduct.

## B. PURPOSE

The purpose of the Putnam County Personnel Policies is to establish a system of employment that implements and perpetuates the recognized merit principles of public employment. These principles include:

1. Recruitment, selection, and advancement of employees based on their knowledge, skills, and abilities;
2. The establishment of consistent pay rates and schedules which provide equitable and adequate compensation;
3. The retention of employees based on the adequacy of job performance, correction of inadequate performance when possible, and separation of employees when inadequacy cannot be corrected;
4. The assurance that Putnam County is an equal opportunity employer and that there is equal opportunity to applicants and employees alike in all aspects of personnel administration without regard to political affiliation, race, national origin, age, sex, handicap status, or religion and with proper regard for privacy and constitutional rights as citizens; and
5. The establishment of a uniform system for handling grievances and appeal rights based on the principles of due process.

These policies apply to the employees in all departments under the administration of the County Manager, and the County Manager, as the chief administrative officer, is responsible for administering these policies in an efficient, effective, and equitable manner.

Constitutional Officers, including Probate Judge, Superior Court Clerk, Tax Commissioner, and Sheriff, as well as employees under their authority, are not subject to the provisions of the Putnam County Personnel Manual. Constitutional Officers may, at their discretion, enforce any or all parts of the Personnel Manual.

## C. DEFINITIONS

1. Adverse Action - An action taken for causes that result in a disciplinary suspension, disciplinary salary reduction, disciplinary demotion, or disciplinary dismissal.
2. $\quad$ Adverse Effect - The results of an action or decision that is not an adverse action but which deprives the employee of income or the opportunity to earn more income.
3. Anniversary Date - Used in calculating annual and sick leave, this is the day and date one calendar year after the date of original appointment and that same date in subsequent years.
4. Appointing Authority - The person who has, among other authorities, the authority to appoint and discharge all employees. The County Manager is the appointing authority for Putnam County.
5. County - The Putnam County Board of Commissioners, the County Manager, or their designee as management representatives or agents.
6. Days - When used as a means of counting, means calendar days unless otherwise stated.
7. Designee - The person or persons to whom the appointing authority delegates certain authority for the administration of the County.
8. Disabled - Any person who has a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more major life activities, who has a record of such impairment, or who is regarded as having such impairment.
9. Employees - Employees who work for Putnam County and whose positions are included in the classification plan.
10. Employment Evaluation - Used in determining job performance. An employment evaluation is completed on an annual basis.
11. Gender Expression - An individual's characteristics and behaviors (such as appearance, dress, mannerisms, speech patterns, and social interactions) that may be perceived as masculine or feminine.
12. Gender Identity - A person's internal, deeply felt sense of being male, female, or something other or in-between, regardless of the sex they were assigned at birth. Everyone has a gender identity.
13. Gender Non-Conforming - This term describes people who have, or are perceived to have, gender characteristics and/or behaviors that do not conform to traditional
or societal expectations. Keep in mind that these expectations can vary across cultures and have changed over time.
14. Immediate Family - That part of an employee's family which includes spouse, father, stepfather, grandfather, father-in-law, mother, stepmother, grandmother, mother-in-law, son, stepson, daughter, stepdaughter, brother, or sister.
15. LGBT - A common abbreviation that refers to the lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender community.
16. May - May is conditional and implies that there is discretion as to whether a condition exists, or an act or action will take place.
17. Separation - The termination of an employee from the services and payroll of the County.
18. Sexual Orientation - A person's physical or emotional attraction to people of the same and/or other gender. Straight, gay, and bisexual are some ways to describe sexual orientation. It is important to note that sexual orientation is distinct from gender identity and expression. Transgender people can be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or straight, just like non-transgender people.
19. Shall/Will - Terms which are unconditional and imply that a condition exists or an act or action will take place.
20. Training Period - A six (6)-month period of time, during which a new employee or an employee who has been promoted to a higher position is tested on job capability and performance. Those new or promoted employees who are required to have state certification as a requirement for their continued employment in their respective positions must do so within the required timeframe, i.e. firefighters, code enforcement, tax assessors, etc.
21. Transgender - An umbrella term that can be used to describe people whose gender identity and/or expression is different from their sex assigned at birth. A person whose sex assigned at birth was female but who identifies as male is a transgender man (also known as female-to-male transgender person, or FTM). A person whose sex assigned at birth was male but who identifies as female is a transgender woman (also known as male-to-female transgender person, or MTF). Some people described by this definition do not consider themselves transgender - they may use other words or may identify simply as a man or woman. A person does not need to identify as transgender in order for the County's nondiscrimination policies to apply to them.
22. Transition - The process of changing one's gender from the sex assigned at birth to one's gender identity. There are many different ways to transition. For some
people, it is a complex process that takes place over a long period of time, while for others it is a one- or two-step process that happens more quickly. Transition may include "coming out" (telling family, friends, and coworkers); changing the name and/or sex on legal documents; and, for many transgender people, accessing medical treatment such as hormones and surgery.
23. Unlawful Discrimination - Employment practices which are prohibited by state and/or federal laws and which include discrimination because of race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, mental or physical disability, political affiliation, military status, or other characteristic protected by law.

## D. PERSONNEL CATEGORIES

Each employee is designated as either "Nonexempt" or "Exempt" from federal and state wage and hour laws. Nonexempt employees are entitled to overtime pay under the specific provisions of federal and state laws. Exempt employees are excluded from specific provisions of federal and state wage and hour laws. An employee's Exempt or Nonexempt status may be changed only upon written notification by the Putnam County Board of Commissioners. In addition to the above classifications, each County employee will fall under one of the following employment categories:

## 1. Executive Personnel

Generally, in order to qualify as an "executive" employee, an employee's primary duties must involve the exercise of discretionary powers and take up 80 percent or more of his/her time, and must include (1) management of an organization or management of a subdivision or department of an organization, (2) supervision of two or more employees, and (3) the firing and hiring decisions. If the employee earns $\$ 455$ or more per week, manages an organization or department, and supervises the work of two or more employees, then the employee is automatically presumed to be an "executive" employee (29 C.F.R. Sec. 541.1).

## 2. Administrative Personnel

In order to qualify as an "administrative" employee, an employee's primary duties which involve 80 percent or more of his/her time must (1) consist of non-manual work directly related to management policies or business operations or administrative work, and (2) involve the exercise of discretion or independent judgment. An administrator is automatically presumed to be an "administrative" employee if he/she makes $\$ 455$ or more per week (29 C.F.R. Sec. 541.2).

## 3. Professional Personnel

In order to qualify as a "professional" employee, an employee's primary duties which take up 80 percent or more of his/her time must consist of (1) work requiring advanced knowledge of the type acquired through specialized study (e.g., accounting, law, medicine), or (2) original or creative work involving "artistic
endeavor." Additionally, the employee's work must (1) require the exercise of discretion and (2) be intellectual and varied in nature and involve output, which cannot be measured on the basis of standardized units of time. Generally, a person making $\$ 455$ or more per week whose duties include work involving advanced knowledge acquired through specialized training (e.g., accounting, law, medicine) will automatically be considered a "professional" employee (29 C.F.R. Sec. 541.3).

## 4. Seasonal Personnel

Employees hired to perform County functions on a seasonal basis. No hourly restrictions, maximum or minimum, apply to seasonal personnel. Seasonal personnel may include grass cutters, recreational personnel, amusement personnel, and any others deemed necessary by the Putnam County Board of Commissioners.

## 5. Part-Time Personnel

Employees hired for twenty-eight (28) or less hours per week averaged over a twenty-six (26) week period. Part-time employees are not eligible for County paid benefits. Firefighters and emergency medical service part-time employees may be hired as needed, not to exceed twenty-eight (28) hours per week averaged over a twenty-six (26) week period
This type of personnel hiring does not have to be advertised.
6. Temporary or Substitute Personnel

Employees hired to fill intermittent positions. These may be current employees, temporary agency help, or others. Those temporaries hired for a long-term project may not work longer than twenty-four (24) hours per week averaged over a twentysix (26) week period Firefighters and emergency medical service part-time employees may be hired as needed, not to exceed twenty-eight (28) hours per week averaged over a twenty-six (26) week period.

This type of personnel hiring does not have to be advertised.
7. Personnel-in-Training

Employees hired to fill a full-time position but who have not completed the initial training period as outlined in Section IV.
8. Full-Time Personnel

Employees who have successfully completed their training period and are eligible for full-time status and the benefits applicable under the classification as detailed in the Putnam County Personnel Manual.
9. Contract Personnel

Those individuals who enter into a contract with Putnam County to provide
specified services for a specified period of time.
10. Intern

Employees who are students, paid or unpaid, who work with Putnam County on a temporary basis as part of an academic program of study, either for credit or noncredit. An internship may be full-time or part-time and does not imply the promise of regular employment at the end of the internship period.

## II. RECRUITMENT

## A. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY AND PREJUDICIAL ACTS

Policy Putnam County is an equal opportunity employer and is committed to the principles of non-discrimination and equality of employment opportunities. In accordance with applicable law, Putnam County prohibits and will not tolerate discrimination, harassment or retaliation against any applicant or employee based on any legally-recognized basis, including, but not limited to: race, color, religion, sex, pregnancy (including childbirth, lactation or related medical conditions), sexual orientation, gender identity or expression, age (40 and over), national origin or ancestry, physical or mental disability, genetic information (including testing and characteristics), veteran status, uniformed servicemember status, or any other consideration protected by federal, state or local law. Such prohibition includes but is not limited to Georgia law's prohibition against discrimination of employees and applicants for employment based on age (40-70) and disability, and against wage differentials based on sex.
Putnam County's commitment to equal opportunity employment applies to all persons involved in County operations and prohibits unlawful discrimination, harassment, or retaliation by any employee, including supervisors and co-workers. In addition, any acts or threats of violence, property damage, harassment, intimidation, or other acts designed to infringe upon employees' rights as described by federal anti-discrimination laws or Putnam County Personnel Policies will not be tolerated.
This policy is designed to strictly prohibit all discrimination and harassment, including sexual harassment, by or against supervisory officials, employees, nonemployees, as well as clients and customers. Please note that employees may be found to be in violation of this policy even if their conduct does not rise to the level of legally cognizable discrimination, harassment, or retaliation.

## B. TRANSGENDER NON-DISCRIMINATION IN EMPLOYMENT

Putnam County does not discriminate in any way on the basis of sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, or gender expression. The purpose of this policy is to articulate Putnam County's commitment to comply with all non-discrimination provisions pursuant to Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, and demonstrate the County's desire to welcome and include transgender, gender non-conforming, and transitioning employees. This policy is designed to create a safe, productive, and inclusive workplace environment for all employees. This policy sets forth guidelines to address the needs of transgender and
gender non-conforming employees and clarifies how the relevant law and County policies should be implemented in situations where questions may arise about how to protect the rights or safety of such employees.

## C. ANTI-DISCRIMINATION/HARASSMENT/RETALIATION

It is unlawful and a violation of County policy to discriminate in any way (including, but not limited to, failure to hire, failure to promote, or unlawful termination) against an employee because of the employee's actual or perceived gender identity. Additionally, it is also unlawful and contrary to this policy to retaliate against any person objecting to, or supporting enforcement of legal protections against, gender identity discrimination in employment. The County is committed to creating a safe work environment for transgender and gender non-conforming employees. Any incident of discrimination, harassment, or violence based on gender identity or expression will be given immediate and effective attention, including, but not limited to, investigating the incident, taking suitable corrective action, and providing employees and staff with appropriate resources. These policies do not create a contract of employment. Employment for non-classified employees remains "at will".

## A. STATEMENT OF EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY (EEO) POLICY

It is the policy of Putnam County to foster, maintain, and promote equal employment epportunity. Putnam County is an Equal Opportunity Employer and shall select employees on the basis of applicants' qualifications and ability to perform the duties of the job; without regard to race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age, mental or physical disability, political affiliation, military stattrs, or other characteristic protected by law.

## B. IMPLEMENTATION OF EEO POLICY

All personnel responsible for recruitment and-employment shall-continte to review regularly the implementation of this personnel policy and relevant practices to assure that equal employment opportunity based on reasonable performance related job requirements is being actively observed to the end that no employee or applieant for employment shall suffer unlawful discrimination. The Human Resources Supervisor shall serve as Equal Employment Opportunity Officer. Notices with regard to equal employment matters shall be posted in conspicuous places on the premises in places where notices are customarily posted.

## D. ANNOUNCEMENT OF VACANT POSITIONS

Upon the request of the Department Head, and with the approval of the County Manager, the County Clerk or Human Resources Supervisor shall publicize vacant full-time positions by advertising internally by posting a notice at the Putnam County Administration Office and at all departments for ten (10) working days. If a qualified applicant is not found internally after the initial ten (10) working days, Putnam County shall publicize vacant fulltime positions by posting a notice at the Putnam County Administration Office and at all
departments for ten (10) working days, in addition to advertising for two (2) weeks in the designated Putnam County legal organ and on the Putnam County website. Additional efforts should be made to advertise for the position through additional avenues including but not limited to the Georgia Department of Labor, the Georgia Municipal Association, and the Association County Commissioners of Georgia. The announcement shall specify the title, salary dependent upon qualifications, skills, experience, position qualifications, application form availability, work hours, and position closing date.

## E. APPLICATION FOR EMPLOYMENT

All persons expressing interest in employment with Putnam County shall be given the opportunity to file an application for employment. Standard application forms shall be available at the Human Resources office and the Putnam County website. In employment areas such as public safety and finance where the public has a compelling interest in the security of property and life, applicants for employment, promotion, and transfer may be asked to supply personal information that would not be needed in other employment areas. Applicants may be required to furnish various criminal histories and other documents as requested and is applicable to job requirements. The requested documents will be furnished at the applicant's expense. All costs will be reimbursed upon hire.

All completed applications shall be returned to the Human Resources office.

## F. TESTING

Putnam County reserves the right to require tests for selected positions. All such tests will be job related and fairly administered. Said tests will be given and evaluated by qualified, unbiased personnel.

## G. DURATION OF APPLICATIONS

Applications will only be accepted for the position(s) advertised and only for the specific vacancy (ies). All qualified applications submitted for an advertised position will be kept for the duration of the training period ( 6 months) of the person hired. If the hired employee does not complete the training period, the applications on file can be reviewed in an attempt to fill the position instead of re-advertising the position.

## H. TYPES OF RECRUITMENT

All full-time positions shall be filled as provided in Section II.C (Announcement of Vacant Positions). Temporary and part-time positions need not be advertised and may be filled as needed under the authorization of the County Manager.

## I. QUALIFICATIONS

All applicants shall meet the qualifications and requirements established for the position in the job description. It is Putnam County's desire to fill vacancies from within its current
workforce. County employees interested in a newly opened position must file an application indicating a desire to be considered during the internal advertising period.

## III. APPOINTMENTS

## A. GENERAL

Appointments and promotions to all positions shall be solely on the basis of merit, which shall be determined by evaluation of the applicant's (1) training, education, experience, and physical fitness (if applicable); (2) oral interview; or (3) whenever practical, an examination or demonstration test. Position vacancies shall be filled by qualified applicants. Employees in the department where the vacancy occurs may apply for available positions if they possess the required qualifications.

## B. APPLICATION REVIEW

The Human Resources Supervisor, once applications are reviewed for completeness, shall forward all applications to the proper Department Head and the County Manager for review. The Department Head will interview all qualified applicants, except as noted below, and present his/her findings to the County Manager with recommendations. It shall be the sole responsibility of the County Manager and Department Head to fill the vacancy. They may accept or reject any and all applications; the County Manager shall determine the proper grade/step salary rate for the position. The County Manager shall have the responsibility to hire and/or remove all employees, except those appointments made by the Board of Commissioners under current legislation. The Putnam County Board of Commissioners reserves the authority to appoint and/or remove those appointments made under current legislation. The County Manager shall notify the Board of Commissioners of his/her appointments and/or removals.

## C. CONDITIONS

Job offers are subject to a pre-employment substance abuse screening by a qualified physician to be paid for by the County. Part-Time, temporary, and seasonal jobs will be subject to a substance abuse screening only. Full-time jobs will be subject to a substance abuse screening and physical. The physician must be presented with a general job description and must provide a statement of the applicant's ability to perform that job. The physician's statement and pre-employment substance abuse test results must be mailed or delivered directly to the Human Resources Supervisor. Other conditions of hiring may include, but not be limited to, written and/or performance tests and a physical examination. The Department Head and/or County Manager may require proof of education and/or certification.

Putnam County shall enroll in and participate in E-Verify, the electronic verification of work authorization program operated by the United States Department of Homeland Security, pursuant to the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigration Responsibility Act of 1996. The Human Resources Supervisor shall ensure that the E-Verify process is applied to all persons to be hired by Putnam County as County employees.

Motor Vehicle Records (MVRs) will be examined prior to the date of employment and every one (1) year thereafter. Any job offer made where the job requires a valid driver's license will be contingent upon a MVR meeting the required standards. Continued employment with the County in a position requiring a valid driver's license will require a MVR meeting the specified standards. Also anyone subject to utilizing their personal cars on County business will require a MVR meeting the specified standards.

## D. FORMS

All new employees must complete the Federal (I-9) "illegal alien" form as required by law, notice of Workers' Compensation procedures, the federal and State of Georgia tax withholding forms, and various other forms when applicable.

All new employees shall be briefed on their rights, benefits, disciplinary codes and all matters contained in this manual. A copy of this manual must be given to the new employee and his/her signature obtained as receipt of this manual for the personnel file. The Department Head will provide the employee with a job description, as well as introduction to fellow workers and County facilities.

## IV. TRAINING PERIOD

## A. INTENT

The training period shall be regarded as an integral part of the selection process and shall be utilized for closely observing the employee's work for securing the most effective adjustment of a new employee or his/her position and for rejecting any employee whose performance is not satisfactory.

## B. CONDITIONS

All new Putnam County employees shall spend the first 180 working days (approximately 6 months) of their employment in a training period. All new employees hired to full-time positions shall serve this training period during which there shall be no responsibility on the part of the County for their continued employment. Employees in full-time positions who are promoted or laterally transferred shall also serve a training period associated with a new position but shall retain the benefits they received before promotion during the training period including the automatic right to appeal a termination. Employees serving a training period shall receive all benefits provided in accordance with this policy with the following exceptions.

1. Dismissal of a new employee during a training period shall deny the employee the right to appeal the dismissal. (In the case of an employee promoted to a higher position in the County service, failure to successfully perform the duties in the higher position does not deny the employee the right to return to the position formerly held, or similar position, if the position is vacant and necessary or in the extreme the right to appeal a dismissal.
2. If an employee is laid off during a training period, and performance of duties has been satisfactory, then if rehired to the same department, that employee shall be given credit for the portion of the training period completed prior to the layoff.
3. Should the employee's performance be deemed questionable, unsatisfactory or further evaluation deemed necessary, for any reason including injury or illness, the County Manager may extend the training period for an additional period of time, with entire training period to last no more than 365 days. If the training period is extended, the employee's personnel record must be so annotated and acknowledged by the employee. If deemed necessary, the employee may be terminated subject to the conditions contained in paragraph B. above.

## C. REPORTS

The Department Head shall meet with the employee twice during the training period to inform the employee of his/her progress. The mid-point training period review shall be conducted at the end of the first ninety (90) days of the training period. At least twenty (20) working days prior to the end of the employee's training period, the Department Head shall notify the County Manager in writing:

1. Whether the employee is performing satisfactory work;
2. Whether the employee should be retained in the current position;
3. Whether the employee should be given full-time status;
4. Whether the employee, if a new hire, should be discharged;
5. Whether the employee, if in training following a promotion, should be reinstated to the employee's previous classification; or
6. Whether the training period should be extended.

The County Manager shall accept or reject the Department Head's recommendation. The County Manager's decision shall be final.

## D. DISMISSAL

At any time during the training period, the Department Head may recommend the removal of an employee if, in his/her opinion, the training period indicates that such employee is unable or unwilling to perform the duties of the position satisfactorily or that his/her habits and lack of dependability do not merit his/her continuance with the service. Upon such recommendation, the Department Head shall immediately submit to the County Manager a written report establishing cause. Disciplinary decisions, including dismissal, are ultimately the responsibility of the County Manager. An employee serving their initial
training period does not have the right of appeal unless it is alleged that the civil rights of the employee as offered by the U.S. Constitution have been violated.

If an employee has committed an offense, which is considered cause for disciplinary action under the provisions of these regulations, the County Manager may dismiss the employee without prior notice. The written report described above is mandatory.

An employee found to have been hired through fraud or intentional error on the part of the employee shall be removed immediately.

## V. REMUNERATION

## A. THE PAY PLAN

The schedule of salary ranges and class titles assigned to salary ranges, as most recently adopted and subsequent amendments thereto is the pay plan for Putnam County. The County Manager, with assistance from the Finance Director and Human Resources Supervisor, shall be responsible for the administration and maintenance of the pay plan. The pay plan is intended to provide equitable compensation for all positions when considered in relation to each other, to general rates of pay for similar employment in the private sector and in other public jurisdictions in the area, to changes in the cost of living, to financial conditions of the County, and other factors. To this end, the County Manager shall from time to time make comparative studies of all factors affecting the level of salary ranges and shall recommend to the Board of Commissioners such changes in salary ranges as appears to be warranted.

## B. PAYMENT AT A LISTED RATE

All employees covered by the pay plan shall be paid at an identified listed rate within the salary ranges established for their respective job classes except for employees whose present salaries are above the maximum rate following transition to a new or revised pay plan.

## C. PAY RATES IN PROMOTION, DEMOTION, AND TRANSFER

When an employee is promoted, demoted, or transferred, the rate of pay for the new position will be established in accordance with the following rules:

1. An employee who is promoted to a position with a job classification higher than the previous position shall be placed at the minimum entry range but no lower than the employee's current rate of pay. As deemed necessary, the County Manager may award an out-of-sequence increase in recognition of exemplary job performance.
2. An employee who is demoted shall receive a salary commensurate with the position's responsibilities and level of difficulty.
3. An employee transferred from one position to another position having the same job classification shall continue to be paid at the same rate.

## D. PAY RATES FOR PEAKED OUT EMPLOYEES

When an employee has served for a full year in the maximum range to which his/her position is assigned, that person may, by virtue of the annual employee evaluation process, be considered for a pay increase in the amount of the standard percentage established by the Board of Commissioners in the grade to which his/her position is assigned.

## E. PAY FOR PART-TIME WORK

The pay plan established by this policy is for full-time service. An employee hired for less than full-time service will be paid an amount recommended by the Department Head and approved by the County Manager.

## F. OVERTIME

Overtime work shall be that work performed by an employee which either exceeds the number of hours constituting the established work week for the employee's position (40 hours per week or hours worked which exceed the declared schedule for firefighters). Positions considered professional or managerial are exempt from overtime pay, and include at the minimum all Executive and Administrative Personnel as defined in Section I. Other positions as determined by the County Manager in accordance with the Fair Labor Standards Act may be declared exempt from recognized overtime compensation. For any exempt employee under this policy, if a natural or manmade disaster is declared, these employees become nonexempt and revert to an hourly rate until the said natural or manmade disaster is over.

Overtime opportunities will be distributed as equally as practicable based on level of skills and experience among employees in the same job class and department regardless of age, sex, race, color, creed, religion, national origin, mental or physical disability, political affiliation, military status, or other characteristic protected by law.

Employees required to work overtime may be compensated with time off determined at a rate of one and one-half hours off for every one hour of overtime worked. Otherwise, employees will be compensated at a rate of one and one-half times their normal rate of pay for approved overtime. All such overtime must be authorized by the Department Head and approved by the County Manager or their designee.

## G. PAY PERIOD

Putnam County employees are paid biweekly (every other week) on the Friday following the close of the pay period. The pay period begins on Monday and ends on Sunday, two
weeks later. Should the Friday that employees are to be paid fall on a holiday, employees will be paid on the last working day prior to the holiday.

Checks will normally be distributed to the Department Head on the morning of the workday on payday. Employees on vacation may pick up their check at any time during the payday at the Payroll Office, provided an authorization from the Department Head or County Manager has been received by the Payroll office. Should an employee designate another individual to pick up his/her paycheck, the issuer must have written permission from the employee, and his/her check will be issued in the same manner, as it would be to the employee. Photo identification and signed acknowledgement of receipt of check will be required of the individual receiving check.
The County Manager or designee may require employees to provide photo identification prior to receiving their paycheck.

## H. PAYROLL DEDUCTIONS

Federal and state income taxes, social security tax, other amounts required by law, and items authorized by the Board of Commissioners (i.e., benefits, Peace Officers Pension Plan, Firefighters Pension Plan) shall be deducted each pay period, monthly, or semiweekly from the employee's pay.

## VI. CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT

## A. WORK HOURS

The workweek for full-time employees, except for firefighters will be forty (40) hours. Firefighters will be paid in accordance with the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA 29 USC 207).

## B. WORKWEEK

The workweek shall be established by the Department Heads, with approval from the County Manager, and shall be in accordance with the needs of the service provided. The workweek shall be the same for all persons occupying full-time positions in the same class under the same conditions.

## C. ATTENDANCE

Employees are required to be punctual. Repetitive tardiness must be documented by the Department Head and placed in the employee's file. An employee who is on twenty-four (24) hour call and/or has a County vehicle at his/her residence is considered on the job when he/she leaves his/her residence/domicile in response to a call. Each Department Head is responsible for a complete attendance record for each departmental employee. Attendance records shall be submitted to the Payroll office, including attendance, leave time and unauthorized absence, on the Monday after the payroll week ends.

Employees must notify their respective Department Head within thirty (30) minutes prior to the regularly scheduled workday if they do not intend to be on the job for that day, including the reason for the absence. Employees who are absent from work for three (3) consecutive 8 -hour periods without leave approval (or without having called in to report the absence) will be considered as having voluntarily abandoned his/her job. Insufficient notice, as stated above, is considered no notice. In some circumstances a doctor's excuse may be necessary prior to return to work. Anyone absent three consecutive 8 -hour periods will be required to bring a doctors excuse prior to their return to work. The absence of an employee from duty, including any absence for a day or part of a day that is not authorized by a specific grant or leave request will be deemed to be an absence without leave and any such absence shall be deemed to be an absence without leave. Any such absence shall be without pay and may be cause for disciplinary action, including and up to termination. Absences of three consecutive 8-hour periods or longer or absences of leave without pay must be reported to the Human Resources Supervisor in writing.

## D. BREAKS

Employees shall be given the opportunity to take one fifteen (15)-minute break within a continuous four-hour work period. Each Department Head shall schedule these breaks so that normal department operations are not jeopardized. Employees shall be given the opportunity to take a meal break for at least one-half hour, but not to exceed one (1) hour as close to the middle of the employee's shift as possible. Each Department Head shall schedule the meal breaks so that normal department operations are not jeopardized. Public Safety breaks will be determined by their supervisors.

Employees shall be given the opportunity to take an additional meal break should the shift be scheduled over eight (8) hours in a given twenty-four (24) hour period. Hours are under the same restrictions as the meal break. Any and all break time cannot be accumulated and in no instance can be saved for the purpose of leaving work early or accumulating sick or wacen annual time.

## E. OVERTIME

The employee categories of executive personnel, administrative personnel, contract personnel and professional personnel, are exempt from the following overtime rules and will not be compensated for overtime. All other employees will be paid at one-and-onehalf times their hourly rate in accordance with the provisions of the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA 29 USC 207). Hours worked will not include hours off the work site (i.e., sick time, wacation annual leave, holiday, etc.), except for time physically worked on a regularly scheduled holiday. Department Heads shall arrange work schedules to minimize overtime pay and allow an equitable distribution of the workload to current employees.

## F. TESTING

Employees may be required and subject to periodic testing for job competency, physical ability to perform job, substance abuse, or other tests deemed appropriate by the County Manager.
An annual physical may be required for those positions that affect public welfare and safety. These positions are Firefighters, Emergency Medical Service personnel, Law Enforcement personnel, County vehicle operators, Transit System drivers, and personnel that perform maintenance on those vehicles.
Putnam County is a drug-free and alcohol-free workplace. The improper use of alcohol and controlled substances by Putnam County employees constitutes a direct threat to property and the safety of others. The work involved in many positions is inherently dangerous, and the safety of citizens and fellow employees depends upon the ability of employees to think clearly with unimpaired faculties.

It is the objective of Putnam County to provide safe and effective public service. To meet this objective, the problem of alcohol and controlled substance abuse must be identified, confronted, and defeated. In order to achieve this, Putnam County has developed a comprehensive alcohol and controlled substance policy. As used in this Manual, the term "controlled substance" shall have the meaning and include the substances defined as "controlled substances" in the Georgia Controlled Substance Act, O.C.G.A., S16-13-20, et seq., and especially O.C.G.A., S16-12-21(4) as said Section and said Act shall appear from time to time.
Substance abuse testing is required for each of the following circumstances:

## 1. Pre-Employment Testing

A pre-employment drug screening shall be conducted when an individual applies for an employment position with Putnam County. Any job applicant who refuses to submit to a pre-employment drug test or who has a confirmed positive test shall not be hired. An employee who transfers from one position covered by this manual to another position covered by this manual does not require pre-employment testing. Potential hires must go for testing at the specified time and place as directed. Once they are at the testing facility, they may not leave for any reason until testing is complete.
2. Post-Accident Testing

Following any accident that involves damage to property or personal injury, Putnam County will promptly test each surviving employee for alcohol and/or drugs.

Any employee who is involved in an accident while on duty or on County business in their personal vehicle must remain available for alcohol and drug testing. Each employee who is requested to submit to testing shall do so within two hours of the accident. Employees who have been involved in an accident may not consume alcohol for eight hours following the accident or until an alcohol test has been conducted.

An employee who is subject to post-accident testing and who fails to remain readily available for such testing may be deemed to have refused to submit to testing. An employee who leaves the scene of the accident prior to submission to an alcohol and drug test without first notifying his or her supervisor shall be deemed to have refused to submit to testing unless the employee left the scene to seek emergency medical attention or assistance in responding to the accident. If an employee who is subject to post-accident testing is hospitalized, the hospital or medical facility shall be asked to obtain samples for alcohol and/or drug testing.

If an alcohol test is required pursuant to this section and is not administered within two hours following the accident, the supervisor for the employee shall prepare a written report explaining why the test was not promptly administered and shall forward that report to the County Manager. If an alcohol test is required pursuant to this section and is not administered within eight hours following an accident, the County shall discontinue efforts to administer an alcohol test and the supervisor for that employee shall prepare a written report explaining why the alcohol test was not conducted. The written report shall be sent to the County Manager.

If a drug test is required pursuant to this section and is not administered within thirty-two (32) hours following an accident, the County shall discontinue efforts to administer a drug test, and the supervisor for that employee shall prepare a written report explaining why the drug test was not conducted. The written report shall be sent to the County Manager.

## 3. Reasonable Suspicion Testing

Reasonable suspicion testing is designed to identify alcohol or drug-affected employees who may pose a danger to themselves or to others in their job performance.

The decision to test an employee for alcohol or drugs pursuant to this section must be based on a reasonable and articulable suspicion of alcohol or drug use by the employee on the basis of specific contemporaneous physical, behavioral, or performance indicators. In addition, an employee's close association with law enforcement identified drug dealers and/or drug users may be used as "reasonable suspicion" for a decision to test. The observations which underlie the decision to test on the basis of reasonable suspicion for alcohol must be made during, just before, or just after the performance of covered functions by the employee.

If a reasonable suspicion test is not administered within two hours following the determination that testing is appropriate, the supervisor shall prepare a written report explaining why the test was not promptly administered and forward the report to the County Manager. If the test is not performed within eight hours of the determination, then the County will discontinue efforts to administer a test, and the supervisor shall prepare a written report explaining why the test was not
administered and forward the report to the County Manager. Failure of the employee to have the test conducted could result in adverse action, up to and including dismissal.

Putnam County will not permit an employee to report for duty or to remain on duty requiring the performance of covered functions while the employee is under the influence of or impaired by alcohol or drugs, as shown by the behavioral, speech, or performance indicators of alcohol or drug misuse.

## 4. Random Testing

All employees who work in a position which is covered by this manual shall be subject to unannounced drug testing based on a random selection process. To insure that the selections are random, employees shall be placed in a common pool via their employee numbers, and the selections shall be computer-generated. Employees shall be tested at a rate to be determined by the County Manager. Test dates shall also be randomly selected. A person may be selected for drug testing more than once or not at all during the course of random testing.

## G. ZERO TOLERANCE

Any Putnam County employee that has a verified positive drug or alcohol test will be removed from his/her position, informed of educational and rehabilitation programs available, referred to a Substance Abuse Professional (SAP) for assessment, and will be terminated.

## VII. OBSERVED HOLIDAYS

HOLIDAYS
Putnam County observes the following holidays as paid holidays:

New Year's Day<br>Martin Luther King's Birthday<br>Good Friday<br>Memorial Day<br>Independence Day<br>Labor Day<br>Veteran's Day<br>Thanksgiving Day<br>Day after Thanksgiving Day<br>Christmas Eve Day<br>Christmas Day

January 1
Third Monday/January
Friday before Easter
Last Monday/May
July 4
First Monday/September
November 11
Fourth Thursday/November
Fourth Friday/November
December 24
December 25

When any of the above holidays fall on Sunday, it will be observed on the following Monday. When any of the above holidays fall on Saturday, it will be observed on the preceding Friday.

Some non-essential employees may be required to work. Employees required to work on the above holidays can elect to be compensated for the holiday pay (eight hours) plus time and a half pay for the time worked regardless of the overtime ruling or receive equal time off with holiday pay and regular (straight-time) pay. Any employee who has exhausted all sick and annual leave shall not be eligible for holiday pay if they do not work during the pay period in which the holiday falls.

All full-time employees in good standing are eligible for holiday pay as outlined above. Part-time, temporary, seasonal, contract or intern, and substitute employees are not eligible for holiday pay.

## VIII. LEAVE

## A. VACATION ANNUAL LEAVE

## 1. General

Vacations are for the purpose of rejuvenating both physical and mental faculties, and all employees are urged to avail themselves of vacation periods. No employee shall receive pay in lieu of vacation. No employee may take leave for more than two (2) consecutive weeks without the approval of the County Manager. Compensation for vacation annual leave shall not be paid for more than a normal shift on any given day.

All full-time employees shall be entitled to accrue annual leave with full pay after the first six (6) months of employment, in accordance with this policy.
Five (5) days annual leave will be earned and available for use after the completion of six (6) months of service. The employee will then accrue an additional five (5) days of annual leave during the second six-months of employment. After completion of the first year of service, the employee will continue to accrue ten (10) days annually. After completion of five years of service, the employee will accrue 15 days annually. After completion of ten years of service, the employee will accrue 20 days annually.

## 2. Carry Over Annual Leave

Putnam County provides access to retirement investment with the option of converting excess annual carry-over leave (more than 240 hours) into a 457 Deferred Compensation Plan. This investment is only provided if funds are available. Employees can take advantage of this opportunity or elect to have their excess annual carry-over leave amount submitted to ACCG Retirement Services to count towards credited service in the Define Benefit Pension if applicable.

## 2. Persons Entitled

All full time employees, after the completion of a six (6) month training period, may begin utilizing vacation leave. Part-time, temporary, seasonal, contract or intern, and substitute employees are not eligible for vacation leave.

## 3. Accrual of Vacation Leave

Full time eligible employees shall acerue four (4) hours leave per month (48 hours annually) beginning at the end of their six month training period. Full time eligible employees with at least five years' service shall acerue eight (8) hours per month ( 96 hours annually). Full time eligible employees with at least eight years' of service shall acerue twelve (12) hours leave per month ( 144 hours annmally).

Employees who are promoted may continue to aceumulate vacation and sick leave during the new training period.

Vacation leave will acerte to the credit of an eligible employee who is in a leavewith pay status for vacation, sick, civil, or military leave with pay. Employees whe are not at work because of an on the-job injury will not acerue leave beginning with the $14^{\text {th }}$ consecutive day off the job. Employees shall continue to acerue vacation leave during the time that they are on vacation leave.

## 3. Request for Vacation Annual Leave

Vacation Annual leave assignments will be made in accordance with the preferences of the employee when possible. A request for vacation annual leave shall be submitted to the respective Department Head as far in advance as possible. Leave may be taken only after approval of the appropriate Department Head so that, insofar as practical, the department can function without hiring additional temporary help. Requests for vacation annual leave for personal-business must be made as far in advance as possible. Department Heads must request leave to the County Manager as far in advance as possible.
5. Holidays

Putnam County observes the following holidays as paid holidays:

| New Year's Day | January 4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Martin Luther King's Birthday | Third Menday/Jantary |
| Geod Friday | Friday before Easter |
| Memorial Day | Last Monday/May |
| Independence Day | July 4 |
| Labor Day | First Menday/September |
| Veteran's Day | November 11 |

```
Thanksgiving Day Fourth Thursday/November
Day after Thanksgiving Day Fourth Friday/November
Christmas Eve Day December 24
Christmas Day December 25
```

When any of the above holidays fall on Sunday, it will be observed on the following Monday. When any of the above holidays fall on Saturday, it will be observed on the preceding Friday.

Some non essential employees may be required to work. Employees required to work on the above holidays can elect to be compensated for the holiday pay (eight hours) plus time and a half pay for the time worked regardless of the overtime ruling or receive equal time off with holiday pay and regular (straight time) pay. Any employee who has exhausted all sick and vacation leave shall not be eligible for holiday pay if they do not work during the pay period in which the holiday falls.

All full time employees in good standing are eligible for holiday pay as outlined above. Part time, temperary, seasenal, contract or intern, and substitute employees are not eligible for holiday pay.
4. Official Holidays and Vacation Annual Leave

If an official holiday should fall during an employee's vacation annual leave period, that official holiday shall not count as vacation annual leave.
5. Vacation Annual Leave Substituted for Sick Leave

If an employee has used up his/her allotment of sick leave, the employee may substitute vacation annual leave in case of further sickness.
6. Compensation for Vacation-Annual Leave

If an employee resigns or is dismissed by the County as an employee, the employee will be compensated for all accumulated vacation annual leave at the employee's ending rate of pay.
7. Records of Vacation Annual Leave

Records concerning vacation annual leave for employees shall be kept in the Human Resources office.
10. Accumulation

Vacation leave may be aceumulated up to wo humdred forty (240) hours and carried from one calendar year to the next. Any employee who carries two hundred forty (240) hours forward from one year to the next will be allowed to aceumulate leave
during the year but will in no case be allowed to carry more than two hundred forty (240) forward to the next calendar year. Unused vacation leave, which is not carried to the next calendar year, will be credited to time of service at retirement. Records of untused time will be maintained in the individtal's personnel file. Leave is acertued on a bi-weekly basis.

## C. SICK LEAVE

## 1. General

Sick leave shall be allowed to all eligible employees in the case of actual sickness, or disability of the employee or the sickness of a member of the employee's immediate family for medical, dental, or eye examination or treatment for which arrangements cannot be made outside of working hours. Please see definition of immediate family under C. Definitions. An employee shall report all instances of illness requiring absence. An employee may utilize his/her sick leave upon approval of the appropriate Department Head for absence due to illness or injury.
2. Persons Entitled

All full-time employees, after six (6) months' continuous employment, are eligible to use sick leave. Temporary, seasonal, and other part-time or substitute contract or intern employees are not eligible for sick leave.
3. Accrual of Sick Leave

Full-time eligible employees shall accrue sick leave at the rate of eight (8) hours per month, for a total of 96 hours per year. No employee shall be entitled to receive sick leave time until the employee shall have completed six (6) months training period. Sick leave shall not be accrued while an employee is on sick leave for more than one pay period.
4. Accumulation of Sick Leave

No employee shall accumulate more than 960 hours of sick leave without approval of the Board of Commissioners.
5. Reporting of Sick Leave

An employee who is absent from work because of illness is responsible for reporting to the employee's Department Head or supervisor prior to the regularly scheduled workday at the designated reporting time on the day of absence. Employees will be expected to keep the supervisor or Department Head informed of progress on a regular basis [every three (3) days unless written exemption is requested by a qualified physician]. Such leave will be charged against sick leave.

Where a relief employee is required in a department, which must provide 24 hours sustained service, the employee must report this absence two hours before the designated reporting time. In the event of failure of compliance with these provisions, the employee will be charged on the payroll with leave without pay.
6. Use of Sick Leave

Sick leave is not a right that an employee may use at his/her discretion, but a privilege not to be abused. Department Heads, after three (3) days, will require a medical certificate signed by a licensed physician to substantiate a request for sick leave. Abuse of sick leave may result in disciplinary action up to and including termination.

## 7. Sick Leave for On-the-Job Injury

An employee who sustains an injury on the job must at the time of the injury or as soon as possible thereafter notify the employee's Department Head or supervisor. If the injury necessitates the employee's absence from work, the employee shall receive only that compensation provided under Workers' Compensation. Workers' Compensation leave will not be charged against an employee's accrued sick leave. However, an employee may choose to be compensated for his/her accrued sick leave in lieu of Workers' Compensation, but under no circumstances by law can an employee be compensated by both the employer and Workers' Compensation. The employee must complete an "Employee's Statement of Injury" form and the Department Head must complete the Workers' Compensation Report of accident/injury and submit this form to the Human Resources Office within twentyfour (24) hours of the incident. Failure to complete the required report by the Department Head may result in disciplinary action.

## 8. Forfeiture of Sick Leave

Sick leave is a privilege; therefore, any employee who resigns or is terminated from County service before becoming eligible for retirement shall forfeit all unused sick leave, and shall not be paid for unused sick leave. Any employee leaving County employment and eligible for immediate retirement or deferred retirement shall have unused sick leave added as service time.

## 9. Donation of Sick Leave

The County Manager shall have the discretion to approve leave donation requests from prospective recipients or their supervisor. An approved announcement of the request may be circulated in order to encourage donations.

For the purpose of this section:
a. A recipient means an eligible employee who has been authorized to receive donations of leave from other employees.
b. A donor means an eligible employee who has elected to donate leave to another employee.

Leave donation shall be from employee to employee and shall be strictly voluntary. The identity of the donors shall be confidential and shall not be provided to the recipient or to any other individual unless necessary to administer the donation or required by law. An employee shall not be eligible to receive leave donations for any occupationally-related accident or illness, which is compensable under the Workers' Compensation benefits.

To be eligible to donate leave, a donor must:
a. Have been employed by Putnam County for not less than twelve (12) months in a position entitled to earn sick leave;
b. Have a balance of not less than forty-eight (48) hours of sick leave after the donation.

To be eligible to receive leave donations, a recipient must:
a. Be an employee who is eligible to accrue sick leave;
b. Have exhausted all accrued sick and vacation annual leave.

Donations in general:
a. Donations may not exceed forty (40) hours per donor.
b. Donations transferred to a recipient by all donors may not exceed four hundred eighty (480) hours.
c. Once a recipient has returned to work, any donated leave not used by the recipient will be returned to the donors on a prorated basis.
d. Multiple donations shall be permitted for the same recipient; provided, however, no recipient shall be credited with more than nine hundred sixty (960) hours of donated leave in any consecutive two-calendar-year period.

## D. FUNERAL LEAVE

1. Funeral leave is limited to use for the immediate family as previously defined. Employees desiring to attend the funeral of persons other than immediate family must use vacation leave.
2. Request for funeralleave must be made twenty four (24) hours in advance. Funeral leave will be charged to sick leave first and vacation leave second, when sick leave time is not available.
3. Funeral leave shall not be granted for more than three (3) days. Additional time required for funerats will be charged to vacation leave.

## BEREAVEMENT LEAVE

Bereavement leave with pay will be granted for an employee's absence from duty in the event of a death in the immediate family. Please see definition of immediate family under C. Definitions. An employee may utilize such leave to make funeral arrangements, settle family affairs, attend the funeral or memorial services, and for bereavement.

Full-time employees shall receive up to three (3) days of bereavement leave with pay.
As with other unscheduled absences, employees are expected to notify their immediate supervisor and/or department head as soon as they learn of the need for bereavement leave. Such notification should, if possible, be made prior to the employee's scheduled work shift or within 30 minutes after the start of the employee's work shift. Failure to provide proper notification may result in the denial of bereavement pay. Upon requesting bereavement leave employees should also inform their immediate supervisor and/or department head of their expected return to work date.

## E. MILITARY LEAVE

Leaves of absence to perform military duty in the Armed Forces of the United States, the Military Reserves, or the National Guard will be granted in accordance with applicable state and federal laws. The County will also comply with applicable federal and state laws in regards to the reemployment of individuals returning from military leave.

## F. CIVIL LEAVE (JURY DUTY)

An employee serving in a full time position shall be entitled to leave of absence from duties, without loss of pay or time, with the exception of fees received for serving as a juror or a witness, and without effect on his service rating, on all days during which he/she shall be subpoenaed by any court, federal, state, or political subdivision thereof, to serve as a juror or witness.

Employees must provide notification and a copy of the subpoena or notice to their supervisor upon receipt of such document. This document must then be forwarded to Human Resources.

Because jury duty is recognized as a civic responsibility, the County will continue to pay an employee serving in a full-time position regular salary when the employee is required to report for jury duty on a day, he/she is scheduled to work. An employee must report his/her need for jury duty/court leave in advance to his/her supervisor. Employees are required to present documentation from the court indicating jury service is required. Employees are not required to turn over to the County any fees received for participating
in jury duty. An employee is expected to return to work on any day he or she is dismissed from jury duty prior to 1:00 p.m. All employees subpoenaed or ordered to attend court or to appear as a witness in connection with the employee's County employment are working and will be paid accordingly.

## G. PARENTAL LEAVE

Paid parental leave is granted to employees following the birth of an employee's child or the placement of a child with an employee in connection with adoption. An employee may receive up to six (6) weeks of paid parental leave during any "rolling" 12- month period, measured backward from the date that any Parental Leave was utilized, which will run concurrently with Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), as applicable during any "rolling" 12- month period, measured backward from the date that any FMLA leave is to be used. FMLA leave for the birth or placement of a child for adoption must be concluded within 12 months of the birth or placement.

All eligible employees must be employed full-time with at least one (1) full year of service, having worked at least 1,250 hours during the last 12 consecutive months.

Employee must have given birth to a child, be the spouse of the individual who has given birth to a child or adopted a child (adopted child must be age 17 or younger).

1. The employee will provide their supervisor with notice of the request for leave at least 30 days prior to the proposed date of the leave (or if the leave was not foreseeable, as soon as possible). The employee must complete the necessary leave forms and provide all required documentation as stated in Putnam County's Leave of Absence Policy.
2. Employee parents of the same child working in the same department may not use paid parental leave at the same time.
3. Each week of paid parental leave is compensated at 100 percent of the employee's regular, straight time weekly pay, to be paid on Putnam County's regularly scheduled pay dates.
4. Approved paid parental leave may be taken at any time during the three-month period immediately following the birth, adoption, or placement of the child for adoption. Paid parental leave may not be used or extended beyond the three-month time frame.
5. Employees must take paid parental leave in a three-month period from the date of the qualified event. Any unused paid parental leave will be forfeited at the end of the three-months.
6. Paid parental leave taken under this policy will run concurrently with leave under FMLA; thus, any leave taken under this policy that falls under the definition of
circumstances qualifying for leave due to the birth or placement of a child due to adoption, the leave will be counted toward the 12 weeks of available FMLA leave per a 12 -month period. All other requirements and provisions under FMLA will apply. The total amount of leave granted to the employee under FMLA will not exceed 12 weeks during the 12-month FMLA period.
7. After the paid parental leave is exhausted, the balance of FMLA leave (if applicable) will be compensated through employee's accrued sick, annual, or compensatory leave. Upon exhaustion of accrued sick, annual, or compensatory leave, any remaining leave will be unpaid leave.
8. If a holiday occurs while the employee is on paid parental leave, such day will be charged to holiday pay; however, such holiday pay will not extend the total paid leave entitlement.
9. Upon termination of employment with Putnam County, any unused paid parental leave for which the employee was eligible will not be paid out.

## H. FAMILY MEDICAL LEAVE ACT

1. An eligible employee may take up to twelve (12) weeks of leave within a twelvemonth period, defined by the calendar year, under the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993 (FMLA), to care for a spouse, son, daughter, or parent with a serious health condition, or because of the employee's own serious illness or chronic/episodic health conditions, which includes the birth or adoption of a child. The twelve (12) weeks may include accrued paid and unpaid leave.
2. An "eligible employee" is defined as someone who has worked for the County for a period of at least one (1) year, having worked 1,250 hours (minimum required by the FMLA) over the previous twelve (12) months.
3. "Serious injury or health condition" is defined as an "Illness, injury, impairment, or chronic physical condition involving either inpatient care or continuing treatment by a health care provider."
4. An employee will take any reserve sick leave or vacation annual leave as part of the twelve-week FMLA period.
5. An employee may take leave intermittently or on a reduced leave schedule when medically necessary; however, an intermittent or reduced schedule to care for a newborn or newly adopted child must be approved by the Department Head and the County Manager.
6. An employee must request FMLA leave thirty (30) days in advance when possible.
7. An employee shall receive written notification of Putnam County's designation of
time as FMLA leave.
8. An employee on FMLA leave is entitled to receive health benefits while on unpaid leave under the same terms and conditions as when working. However, Putnam County will recover health coverage premiums paid for an employee who fails to return to work.
9. Putnam County must provide service credit for the employee during unpaid FMLA leave, but only for the purpose of avoiding a break in service in regards to retirement benefits.
10. Putnam County shall guarantee an employee on unpaid FMLA leave the right to return to the previous or a "virtually identical" position.
11. Spouses who are both employed by Putnam County are entitled to a total of twelve (12) weeks FMLA leave to care for a new child or sick parent. If the leave is required for care for a sick child or the other spouse, each spouse is entitled to twelve (12) weeks FMLA leave.
12. A medical certificate supporting a request for FMLA leave and signed by a licensed physician will be required by the Resources Office. Employees who have used FMLA leave for their own serious illness will be required to submit a "fitness-forduty" report before they can return to work.
13. After requesting FMLA leave to Department Head, employees will be referred to Human Resources.

## I. LEAVE WITHOUT PAY

1. Leave without Pay Defined

When it is deemed in the best interest of the County, a full-time employee may be granted leave without pay for personal or other reasons, provided such leave is approved by the Department Head and approved by the County Manager. Non-full-time employees are not eligible for grants of leave without pay.

## 2. Reasons for Granting

The Department Head may, with the approval of the County Manager, grant leave without pay for a period not to exceed 120 days, when it is deemed to be in the best interest of the County. Valid reasons shall include, but not be confined to, prolonged illness or disability of the employee or a member of the employee's household, educational or training enrichment, pregnancy and childbirth, and military service.

## 3. General Procedures

All departments are required to adhere to the following practices in granting leave without pay.
a. Failure of an employee to return to work at the expiration of approved leave shall be considered as absence without leave and grounds for dismissal.
b. An employee granted leave without pay and who wishes to return before the leave period has expired, shall be required to give his/her Department Head at least three (3) days notice. Upon receipt of such written notice, the employee may be permitted to return to work at the discretion of the Department Head.
c. The County Manager will determine whether or not sick leave, acation annual leave, time of service or credit toward merit increases will be earned by an employee for the time that the employee is on leave without pay.
d. An employee shall return from leave without pay to the same step of his/her salary grade as at the time of commencement of leave.
e. An employee, while on an authorized leave of absence without pay, who obtains either part-time or full-time employment elsewhere, is required to notify his/her Department Head in writing within three (3) days of accepting such employment.
f. The County Manager shall decide if an employee is entitled to keep health/insurance benefits when leave without pay is approved for a period of more than thirty (30) days.
4. Procedure for Requesting Leave without Pay

An application for leave without pay shall be submitted in writing one month in advance showing the employee's reason for requesting such leave and shall contain a statement that the employee intends to return to the County service upon expiration of such leave, and that the employee agrees to the terms and conditions as outlined in these policies. In emergency situations, when an employee does not have accrued leave and is unable to return to work as scheduled as a result of illness or emergency reasons, the employee's Department Head may recommend approval of the granting of leave without pay without prior application by the employee, or the County Manager may investigate and make such recommendations in the absence of the Department Head.

## 5. Rights of Employee on Leave without Pay

a. Reinstatement of Former Position

For employees granted leave without pay, every effort will be made to return the employee to the former position or to a comparable one. If a position is not available, the employee shall be listed on re-employment lists in the same manner as employees who are laid off in good standing.

## b. Continuity of Service

Employees granted leave without pay shall not be considered to have affected a break in service. Continuation of County insurance benefits for eligible employees during the time the employee is on leave without pay shall be in accordance with the provisions of the employee group insurance contracts and the approval of the Board of Commissioners.

## 6. Temporary Filling of Position of Employee on Leave without Pay

During the employee's approved leave of absence, the employee's position may be filled by temporary or substitution personnel. At the expiration of leave without pay, the employee is not guaranteed to receive the same position with the County.

## J. NOTIFICATION OF COUNTY MANAGER

When an employee has taken leave of any kind or is absent without leave, his/her Department Head shall notify the Human Resources Office in writing within the same pay period in which the leave is taken or the absence without leave occurs. The Human Resources Supervisor may inform the County Manager. Such notification may be by notation on a time card or attendance sheet or by memo, giving specific information covering type of leave, dates and hours, and other pertinent data.

File Attachments for Item:
18. Approval of American Rescue Plan (ARP) Hazard Pay for Putnam County Employees (staff-CM)

# American Rescue Plan (ARP) Hazard Pay for Putnam County Employees 

| Employees | Total Amount |
| :--- | ---: |
| Full Time Public Safety | $\$ 250,000$ |
| Full Time Non-Public | $\$ 151,875$ |
| Safety | $\$ 28,125$ |
| Active Part Time |  |

## 3. PREMIUM PAY FOR ESSENTIAL WORKERS

INTERIM FINAL RULE: REFERENCES P. 23-44, 119 | RULE DEFINITIONS P. 134

> Funds may be used by counties to provide premium pay to eligible workers performing essential work during the COVID-19 public health emergency or to provide grants to third-party employers within the county to compensate eligible workers for performing essential work.

Recovery Funds may be used by recipients, including counties, to provide premium pay to eligible county workers performing essential work during the COVID-19 public health emergency or to provide grants to thirdparty employers within the county to compensate those eligible workers who perform essential work.

- DEFINING THE CONCEPT OF PREMIUM PAY AND ESSENTIAL WORKERS: To ensure that premium pay is targeted to workers that faced or face heightened risks due to the character of their work, the Interim Final Rule defines essential work as work involving regular in-person interactions or regular physical handling of items that were also handled by others. An individual who teleworked from a residence may not receive premium pay
- PREMIUM PAY MAY BE PROVIDED RETROACTIVELY FOR WORK PERFORMED AT ANY TIME SINCE THE START OF THE COVID-19 public health emergency (January 27, 2020), where those workers have yet to be compensated adequately for work previously performed
- WORKERS THAT ARE ELIGIBLE FOR PREMIUM PAY include:

Any work performed by an employee of the state, local or tribal government $\not$
$\square$ Staff at nursing homes, hospitals, and home care settings
$\square$ Workers at farms, food production facilities, grocery stores, and restaurants
$\square$ Janitors and sanitation workers
$\square$ Truck drivers, transit staff and warehouse workers
$\square$ Public health and safety staff
$\square$ Childcare workers, educators and other school staff
$\square$ Social service and human services staff

- PREMIUM PAY DEFINITION: Premium pay means an amount up to $\$ 13$ per hour in addition to wages or remuneration the worker otherwise receives and in an aggregate amount not to

PREMIUM PAY MAY BE PROVIDED RETROSPECTIVELY FOR WORK PERFORMED AT ANY TIME SINCE THE START OF THE COVID19 PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY JANUARY 27, 2020 exceed $\$ 25,000$ per eligible worker

- TREASURY URGES COUNTIES TO PRIORITIZE PREMIUM PAY FOR LOW- AND MODERATE-INCOME PERSONS: Counties should prioritize low- and moderate-income persons, with additional written justification needed for essential workers above 150 percent of the residing state's average annual wage for all occupations or their residing county's average annual wage, whichever is higher

File Attachments for Item:
19. Discussion on Projects for State Rescue Money (BW)

Subject: State Funds Available

The State of Georgia has received direct funding through the ARPA which is separate from the funding that counties and cities have received. The total allocation for the State of Georgia is $\$ 4.8$ billion. The state has received $\$ 2.4$ billion in its first tranche of funding.

Counties may use the ARPA funding that they received directly from the U.S. Treasury as a match to expand or extend projects that you have identified for broadband infrastructure, water/sewer infrastructure or other projects to address negative economic impacts OR apply for grants for new projects that meet the eligible criteria. At the current time, these state grant funds may not be used to support public health expenditures, to replace lost public sector revenue or to provide premium pay.

The application process ends 8/31/21. Here is information and a short recording of their webinar ACCG / OPB Webinar Recording

Below are the grants we can apply for or partner with a business. We don't have to be the lead, but they want counties involved. If you want Putnam to apply for these funds, we'll need someone knowledgeable about the project to help write the application.

## Broadband Infrastructure

Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds may be used to invest in broadband infrastructure. Treasury's Interim Final Rule provides that investments in broadband be made in areas that are currently unserved or underserved-lacking a wireline connection that reliably delivers minimum speeds of 25 Mbps download and 3 Mbps upload.

Using these funds, applicants generally should propose broadband infrastructure projects with modern technologies in mind, specifically those projects that deliver services offering reliable 100 Mbps download and 1008 Mbps upload speeds, unless impracticable due to topography, geography, or financial cost. In addition, applicants are encouraged to pursue fiber optic investments. In view of the wide disparities in broadband access, assistance to households to support internet access or digital literacy is an eligible use to respond to the public health and negative economic impacts of the pandemic, as detailed above.

Treasury's Interim Final Rule encourages recipients to ensure that broadband projects use strong labor standards, including project labor agreements and community benefits agreements that offer wages at or above the prevailing rate and include local hire provisions.

## Apply Now

## Negative Economic Impact

Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds allow states to support industries that were particularly hard-hit by the COVID-19 emergency and are now beginning to mend, helping them to address financial challenges caused by the pandemic and to make investments in COVID-19 prevention and mitigation tactics. The Negative Economic Impact grant will aid in speeding the recovery of the tourism, travel, hospitality sectors, as well as other industries.

## Apply Now

## Water/Sewer Infrastructure

Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds may be used to invest in necessary improvements to water and sewer infrastructures, including projects that address the impacts of climate change. Applicants may use this funding to invest in an array of drinking water infrastructure projects, such as building or upgrading facilities and transmission, distribution, and storage systems, including the replacement of lead service lines.

Applicants may also use this funding to invest in wastewater infrastructure projects, including constructing publicly owned treatment infrastructure, managing and treating stormwater or subsurface drainage water, facilitating water reuse, and securing publicly owned treatment works. Treasury's Interim Final Rule encourages recipients to ensure that water and sewer projects use strong labor standards, including project labor agreements and community benefits agreements that offer wages at or above the prevailing rate and include local hire provisions.


[^0]:    The Board of Commissioners reserves the right to continue the meeting to another time and place in the event the number of people in attendance at the meeting, including the Board of Commissioners, staff, and members of the public exceeds the legal limits. The meeting cannot be closed to the public except by a majority vote of a quorum present for the meeting. The board can vote to go into an executive session on a legally exempt matter during a public meeting even if not advertised or listed on the agenda. Individuals with disabilities who require certain accommodations in order to allow them to observe and/or participate in this meeting, or who have questions regarding the accessibility of the meeting or the facilities are required to contact the ADA Compliance Officer, at least three business days in advance of the meeting at 706-485-2776 to allow the County to make reasonable accommodations for those persons.
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